Report on the Most Serious Management and Performance Challenges Facing the Small Business Administration In Fiscal Year 2015



October 17, 2014 REPORT NUMBER 15-01



U.S. Small Business Administration Office of Inspector General Washington, D.C. 20416

MEMORANDUM

MANAGEMENT CHALLENGES

DATE: October 17, 2014

TO: Maria Contreras-Sweet

Administrator

FROM: Peggy E. Gustafson /s/

Inspector General

SUBJECT: Report on the Most Serious Management and Performance Challenges Facing the Small

Business Administration in Fiscal Year 2015

In accordance with the Reports Consolidation Act of 2000, we are providing you with the Office of Inspector General's (OIG) Report on the Most Serious Management and Performance Challenges Facing the Small Business Administration (SBA) in Fiscal Year (FY) 2015.

This report represents our current assessment of Agency programs and/or activities that pose significant risks, including those that are particularly vulnerable to fraud, waste, error, mismanagement, or inefficiencies. The Challenges are not presented in order of priority, as we believe that all are critical management or performance issues.

Our report is based on specific OIG, Government Accountability Office (GAO), and other official reports, as well as our general knowledge of the SBA's programs and operations. Our analysis generally considers those accomplishments that the SBA reported as of September 30, 2014.

Within each Management Challenge, there are a series of "recommended actions" to resolve the Challenge. Each recommended action is assigned a color "status" score. The scores are as follows: green for "implemented," yellow for "substantial progress," orange for "limited progress," and red for "no progress." An arrow in the color box indicates that the color score went up or down from the prior year. If a recommended action was added since last year's report, no color score was assigned, and the recommended action has been designated as "new."

As part of the OIG's continuing evaluation of the Management Challenges, certain Challenges have been updated or revised. In addition, actions that were scored green last year, which remained green this year, have been moved up to the "history bar" above the recommended actions. The history bar highlights any progress that the Agency has made on a Challenge over the past four fiscal years (or as long as the Challenge has existed, if shorter) by showing the number of actions that have moved to green each year.

The following table provides a summary of the Most Serious Management and Performance Challenges Facing the SBA in FY 2015.

Table 1. Summary of the Most Serious Management and Performance Challenges Facing the SBA in FY 2015

		Color Scores								
		S	Status at En	Change from Prior Year						
	Challenge	Green	Yellow	Orange	Red	Up ↑	Down ↓			
1	Small Business Contracting	1	1			1				
2	IT Security		3	2		1	1			
3	Human Capital		3							
4	Loan Guaranty Purchase	1				1				
5	Lender Oversight		2			2				
6	8(a) Business Development Program			2	1		1			
7	Loan Agent Fraud		1	2						
8	Loan Management and Accounting System		4			3				
9	Improper Payments – 7(a) program	2	4			1				
10	Improper Payments – Disaster Loan program	1				1				
11	Acquisition Management			5						
	TOTAL	5	18	11	1	10	2			

We would like to thank the SBA's management and staff for their cooperation in providing us with information needed to prepare this report. We look forward to continuing to work with the SBA's leadership team in addressing the Agency's Management Challenges.

Contents

Challenge 1. Procurement flaws allow large firms to obtain small business awards, and allow agencies to count contracts performed by large firms towards their small business goals
Challenge 2. Weaknesses in information systems security controls pose significant risks to the Agency2
Challenge 3. The SBA needs effective human capital strategies to carry out its mission successfully and become a high-performing organization
Challenge 4. The SBA needs to implement a quality control program in its loan centers4
Challenge 5. The SBA needs to further strengthen its oversight of lending participants
Challenge 6. The SBA needs to modify the Section 8(a) Business Development Program so more firms receive business development assistance, standards for determining economic disadvantage are justifiable, and the SBA ensures that firms follow 8(a) regulations when completing contracts
Challenge 7. Effective tracking and enforcement would reduce financial losses from loan agent fraud 7
Challenge 8. The SBA needs to modernize its Loan Accounting System and migrate it off the mainframe.
Challenge 9. The SBA needs to accurately report, significantly reduce, and strengthen efforts to recover improper payments in the 7(a) Loan Program
Challenge 10. The SBA Needs to Significantly Reduce Improper Payments in the Disaster Loan Program
Challenge 11: The SBA Needs to Effectively Manage the Acquisition Program
Appendix: Relevant Reports

Challenge 1. Procurement flaws allow large firms to obtain small business awards, and allow agencies to count contracts performed by large firms towards their small business goals.

The Small Business Act established a Government-wide goal that 23 percent of the total value of all prime contracts be awarded to small businesses each fiscal year. As the advocate for small business, the SBA should strive to ensure that only small firms obtain and perform small business awards. Further, the SBA should ensure that procuring agencies accurately report contracts awarded to small businesses when representing their progress in meeting small business contracting goals.

In September 2014, we issued a report that identified over \$400 million in FY 2013 contract actions that may have been awarded to ineligible firms. We also identified over \$1.5 billion dollars in contract actions for which the firms were in the 8(a) or HUBZone programs at the time of contract award, but were no longer in these programs in FY 2013. Previous OIG audits and other Government studies have shown widespread misreporting by procuring agencies, since many contract awards that were reported as having gone to small firms have actually been performed by larger companies. While some contractors may misrepresent or erroneously calculate their size, most of the incorrect reporting results from errors made by Government contracting personnel, including misapplication of small business contracting rules. In addition, contracting officers do not always review the on-line certifications that contractors enter into Government databases prior to awarding contracts. The SBA should ensure that procuring agencies accurately report contracts awarded to small businesses when representing their progress in meeting small business contracting goals, and that contracting personnel are reviewing on-line certifications prior to awarding contracts.

The SBA revised its regulations to require firms to meet the size standard for each specific order to address a loophole within General Services Administration Multiple Awards Schedule (MAS) contracts, which contain multiple industrial codes that determine the size of the company. Previously, a company awarded an MAS contract could identify itself as a small business on individual task orders awarded under that contract, even though it did not meet the size criteria for the applicable task. Thus, agencies received small business credit for using a firm classified as small, when the firm was not small for specific orders under the MAS contract. In addition, the SBA submitted a final rule to the Federal Acquisition Regulations (FAR) Council to implement the changes made to its regulations in the FAR. The SBA also updated its standard operating procedure (SOP) to ensure consistency in conducting its surveillance reviews to assess Federal agencies' management of their small business programs and compliance with regulations and applicable procedures.

While the SBA has made substantial progress on this challenge, we are working with the Agency to verify that the surveillance reviews were conducted in a thorough and consistent manner.

Number of Actions Accomplished (Green Status) During Last Four Fiscal Years (Challenge first reported in FY 2005)	2010: 0	2011: 1	2012	2: 1	2013: 0
Recommended Actions		us at end of FY 2014			
1. Revise the surveillance review process to ensure and consistent manner. (<i>Previously action 2</i>)		Yellow			
2. Issue regulations that require firms to meet the they receive under a GSA schedule and Government and show that the regulations are being followers.	(Green1			
Green-Implemented Yellow-Substantial Pro	, ,	ge-Limited Progre	ss R	ed-No l	Progress

Challenge 2. Weaknesses in information systems security controls pose significant risks to the Agency.

The SBA's computer security program operates in a dynamic and highly decentralized environment, and requires management attention and resources as weaknesses are continually identified. The SBA has shown progress in establishing an entity-wide incident management and response program, and has improved network port security access controls. However, the SBA still needs to address long-standing security weaknesses identified in 35 open information technology (IT) audit recommendations in the following areas:

- The SBA's system software controls have 6 open recommendations averaging more than 700 days past their original target corrective action date. These recommendations highlight significant security vulnerabilities, including establishing baseline configurations of the SBA's IT platforms; establishing an effective configuration management program; and patching operating systems, devices, and database management systems in a timely manner.
- The SBA's segregation of duty controls have 13 open recommendations averaging more than 500 days past their original target corrective action date. These recommendations include restricting access to system software, and effectively reviewing system and application logs.
- The SBA's IT security management program has 11 recommendations averaging more than 500 days past their original target corrective action date. Many of these vulnerabilities are statutory requirements which, if remediated, would improve the SBA's IT security oversight as well as improve the SBA's compliance with guidance provided in the Federal Information Security Management Act of 2002 (FISMA).

To show improvement in the above areas, the SBA's Office of the Chief Information Officer, in conjunction with the SBA's program offices, will need to implement tools and capabilities to provide effective oversight and continuously monitor computer security controls.

Number of Actions Accomplished (Green Status) During Last Four Fiscal Years (Challenge first reported in FY 1999)	2010: 0	2011: 1	2012: 0	2013: 1
Recommended Actions	Status at end of FY 2014			
 Access controls are in place and operating effect system access until they have obtained the requ security clearances. 	Yellow			
2. System software controls are in place and operat	Orange†			
3. Segregation of duty controls are in place and ope	Orange			
4. The plan of action and milestones accurately repo corrective actions.	Yellow↓			
5. The IT security management program is effective systems that support the operations and assets of	Yellow			
Green-Implemented Yellow-Substantial Pro	ogress Ora	nge-Limited Pro	gress Rec	-No Progress

Challenge 3. The SBA needs effective human capital strategies to carry out its mission successfully and become a high-performing organization.

The Office of Human Resource Solutions has taken significant steps toward addressing this management challenge, including ensuring an effective, comprehensive workforce and succession plan that aligns talent needs and capabilities with the Agency's 2011-2016 strategic plan. For example, in FY 2012, the SBA initiated a leadership development program designed to recruit and develop future leaders. In FY 2013, the SBA developed Agency-wide core competencies and training focused on those competencies, and issued a leadership succession plan. The SBA also launched its learning management system in FY 2014. Reported next steps include thoroughly reviewing work processes to ensure crossfunctional understanding of SBA products and services and new required skills that reflect the Agency's mission and organizational priorities. In order to complete this recommended action, the SBA's workforce and succession planning goals should establish appropriate metrics to gauge its success at having the right people, in the right jobs, at the right time.

The SBA has also made substantial progress to update and establish standard operating procedures for human capital management. This recommended action was intended to encourage the Agency to update and establish critical procedures in support of its long-term goals and objectives and Government-wide human capital management initiatives. The Agency continues to make progress in this area. In FY 2014, the Agency issued SOPs for telecommuting, compensatory time for travel, and addressing domestic violence—all previously identified as agency priorities prior to FY 2014.

In accordance with the Office of Management and Budget (OMB) FY 2012 budget guidance, agencies are tasked with directing resources toward areas most needing improvement, as identified in the Employee Viewpoint Survey. The SBA established the "SBA Way" initiative to increase employee engagement and foster collaboration across the Agency. Charters outlining membership and responsibilities for the Executive Steering Committee and Action Planning Committee and subcommittees were finalized, and a process for soliciting and vetting suggestions from SBA employees regarding organizational improvement was established. Members were active throughout FY 2014 with plans to launch an employee training survey and employee recognition initiative in FY 2015.

Number of Actions Accomplished (Green Status) During Last Four Fiscal Years (Challenge first reported in FY 2001, revised FY 2007)	2010: 0	2011: 0	2012:	0	2013: 1
Recommended Actions for		itus at end f FY 2014			
1. Ensure the Agency has an effective, comprehensive workforce and succession plan that aligns talent needs and capabilities with the SBA's FY 2011-2016 strategic plan. The SBA's workforce and succession planning goals should reflect the need to recruit and retain the appropriate talent, and should establish appropriate metrics to gauge the SBA's success at having the right people, in the right jobs, at the right time.					Yellow
Ensure that human capital management SOPs are updated and appropriately structured to support the Agency's long-term goals and objectives and Government-wide human capital management initiatives. (Previously recommended action #3)					Yellow
3. Demonstrate sustained progress toward a high-performing, employee-driven culture through activity and effort as prioritized by the SBA's employee engagement initiative for two consecutive years (end of FY 2015) (Previously recommended action #4 – modified in February 2014).					Yellow

Challenge 4. The SBA needs to implement a quality control program in its loan centers.

The Office of Financial Program Operations (OFPO) has made significant progress in developing and implementing a quality control (QC) program for all of its loan centers to verify and document compliance with the loan process, from origination to close-out, and to identify where material deficiencies may exist so that remedial action can be taken. Relevant parties within the Office of Capital Access have developed and agreed upon a QC project guide. The QC program will assess the overall quality of loan centers' deliverables to provide confidence to stakeholders. The SBA assigned a QC manager to oversee the development of the program and established QC specialist positions for each center. Furthermore, the SBA (1) developed and documented quality program manuals and review checklists for each center; (2) assessed center functions by risk to prioritize required QC reviews; (3) refined feedback, training and reporting processes; and (4) developed new systems to improve the tracking of quality control deficiencies and corrective actions.

While the SBA has made significant progress in implementing a QC program, further improvement is needed for the SBA to continue to demonstrate that all elements of the QC program are being completed, and that the program remains effective at identifying and correcting material deficiencies. For example, an FY 2014 OIG evaluation of the QC program determined that loan centers were not performing required reviews over significant loan center operations, and were not tracking corrective actions, as required. This report included two key recommendations to improve the QC program managed by the OFPO. The OFPO made significant progress during FY 2014 to address these remaining areas of weakness within the QC program. Additionally, QC reports submitted to the OIG at the end of the fiscal year continued to show that SBA loan centers did not always achieve the required levels of review. Finally, our reviews of the SBA's QC plans noted inconsistent coverage of loan center operations, which we brought to the SBA's attention.

In order to maintain a status of "implemented" for this challenge, the SBA must continue to demonstrate that the QC program is operating effectively. The OIG will continue to monitor the QC program during FY 2015 to verify that: (1) required QC reviews are being completed, (2) QC activities provide adequate coverage over loan center operations, and (3) QC reviews are effective at identifying and correcting material deficiencies.

Number of Actions Accomplished (Green Status) During Last Four Fiscal Years (Challenge first reported in FY 2007)	2010: 1	2011: 0	2012: 0	2013: 0
Recommended Actions		Status at end of FY 2014		
1. Implement a quality control program for all SBA		Green†		
Green-Implemented Yellow-Substantial pr	ogress Oran	ge-Limited Prog	ress Rec	-No progress

Challenge 5. The SBA needs to further strengthen its oversight of lending participants.

Prior OIG and GAO reports disclosed weaknesses in the SBA's oversight of the lenders that participate in its programs. In a September 2012 <u>audit report</u>, the OIG found that the SBA did not always recognize the significance of lender weaknesses or determine the risks they posed to the Agency during its onsite reviews. Additionally, the SBA did not link the risks associated with the weaknesses to the lenders' corresponding risk ratings and assessments of operations. Further, the SBA did not require lenders to correct performance problems that could have exposed the SBA to unacceptable levels of financial risk. The risks inherent in delegated lending require an effective oversight program to (1) monitor compliance with SBA policies and procedures, and (2) take corrective actions when a material noncompliance is detected.

Since this management challenge was first issued in 2001, the SBA has made significant progress in its oversight of lending participants. In FY 2013, the SBA (1) developed risk profiles and lender performance thresholds, (2) developed a select analytical review process to allow for virtual risk-based reviews, (3) updated its lender risk rating model to better stratify and predict risk, and (4) conducted test reviews under the new risk-based review protocol. These efforts have demonstrated that onsite reviews are now conducted on the highest-risk lending participants based on expanded selection criteria. In FY 2014, the SBA improved its monitoring and verification of corrective actions by lenders by: (1) developing corrective action assessment procedures, (2) finalizing a system to facilitate the corrective action process, and (3) populating the system with lender oversight results requiring corrective action. However, in order to fully resolve this management challenge, the SBA must demonstrate the effectiveness of the process for monitoring and verifying lenders' implementation of corrective actions.

Number of Actions Accomplished (Green Status) During Past Four Fiscal Years (Challenge first reported in FY 2001)	2010: 0	2011: 0	7(a)	2012 loans: 1 loans: 1	2013 7(a) loans: 2 504 loans: 2
Recommended Actions for F	Status at end of FY 2014				
				7(a)	504
1. Monitor and verify implementation of corrective resolution prior to close-out. (<i>Previously Recomm</i>	Yellow1	Yellow1			
Green-Implemented Yellow-Substantial progress Orange-Limited Progress Red-No progress					

Challenge 6. The SBA needs to modify the Section 8(a) Business Development Program so more firms receive business development assistance, standards for determining economic disadvantage are justifiable, and the SBA ensures that firms follow 8(a) regulations when completing contracts.

The SBA's 8(a) Business Development (BD) Program was created to assist eligible small disadvantaged business concerns to compete in the American economy through business development. Previously, the SBA did not place adequate emphasis on business development to enhance the ability of 8(a) firms to compete, and did not adequately ensure that only 8(a) firms with economically disadvantaged owners in need of business development remained in the program. Companies that were "business successes" were allowed to remain in the program and continue to receive 8(a) contracts, causing fewer companies to receive most of the 8(a) contract dollars and many to receive none.

The SBA has made progress towards addressing issues that hinder its ability to deliver an effective 8(a) BD Program. For example, the SBA expanded its ability to provide assistance to program participants through its resource partners—small business development centers, service corps of retired executives, and procurement technical assistance centers. In addition, the SBA has taken steps to ensure business opportunity specialists assess program participants' business development needs during site visits. The SBA also revised its regulations, effective March 2011, to ensure that companies deemed "business successes" graduate from the program. These regulations also establish additional standards to address the definition of "economic disadvantage." Agency officials stated that the rule-making process served as an adequate proxy to objectively and reasonably determine effective measures for economic disadvantage, and were not aware of any reliable sources of data to determine economic disadvantage.

However, for the second consecutive year, the SBA has not completed updating its SOP for the 8(a) BD Program to reflect the March 2011 regulatory changes. In addition, we continue to maintain that the SBA's standards for determining economic disadvantage are not justified or objective based on the absence of economic analysis. In December 2011, the SBA awarded a contract to develop and deploy a new IT system by December 2012 to assist the SBA in monitoring 8(a) program participants. However, the new system has not been deployed, and its delivery date and capabilities are undetermined at this time.

Number of Actions Accomplished (Green Status) During Past Four Fiscal Years (Challenge first reported in FY 2003)	2010: 0	2011: 0	2012: 0	2013: 0
Recommended Actions	Status at end of FY 2014			
1. Develop and implement a plan, including SOP pro Program identifies and addresses program partici an individualized basis.				
Develop and implement regulations and SOP proving graduate once they reach the levels defined as "b	Orange ↓			
3. Establish objective and reasonable criteria that eff disadvantage," and implement the new criteria.	Red			
Green-Implemented Yellow-Substantial Prog	ress Orange	-Limited Prog	ress Red	-No Progress

Challenge 7. Effective tracking and enforcement would reduce financial losses from loan agent fraud.

For years, OIG investigations have revealed a pattern of fraud by loan packagers and other for-fee agents in the 7(a) Loan Program involving hundreds of millions of dollars. Yet the SBA's oversight of loan agents has been limited, putting taxpayer dollars at risk. The Agency could reduce this risk through effective loan agent disclosure requirements, a database or equivalent means to track loan agent activity, updated regulations, new guidance for lenders, and a registration system.

Tracking Loan Agent Data. In response to this Challenge, the SBA has proposed various methods of tracking loan agent activity. The SBA eventually decided to have lenders fax a loan agent disclosure form (Form 159) to the SBA's fiscal and transfer agent (FTA) and require the FTA to enter the data into a database accessible to the SBA. The SBA also began to link Form 159 information with its loan data. Despite some data problems, quality is improving. Moreover, the FTA is testing an automated Form 159.

Updating Regulations. Any Government enforcement program requires effective regulations and procedures. In response to OIG concerns that the SBA loan agent enforcement regulations are outdated, the SBA drafted revised regulations that the OMB is reviewing.

Notice of SBA Enforcement Actions. Lenders need to ensure that agents involved with their loans have not been subject to enforcement action by the SBA. The SBA now lists the names of loan agents and others named in SBA enforcement actions on its website, and updated an SOP in FY 2014 to instruct lenders to consult this list to avoid problematic loan agents.

Registration System. The SBA needs to develop a system to assign a unique identifier to loan agents that participate in the 7(a) program. Without these identifiers, the SBA cannot effectively track loan agents to ensure suspended agents do not simply change their name and continue participating in the program. The SBA has not committed to implementing a registration system, and is waiting for the OIG to complete an audit on loan agents. As such, the OIG has not assigned a color to this action.

Number of Actions Accomplished (Green Status) During Past Four Fiscal Years (Challenge first reported in FY 2000)	2010: 0	2011: 0	2012: 1	2013: 0
Recommended Actions	Status at end of FY 2014			
1. Develop an effective method of disclosing and trade business loan programs.	Yellow			
2. Update regulations (13 CFR Part 103) regarding los enforcement procedures.	Orange			
3. Issue guidance that lenders must (1) review the SE have been subject to an enforcement action and (agent appearing on the list during the time that ar the 7(a) program.	Orange			
 Implement a loan agent registration system, included identifying number for each agent. 	New			
Green-Implemented Yellow-Substantial Prog	ress Orang	e-Limited Prog	ress Re	d-No Progress

Challenge 8. The SBA needs to modernize its Loan Accounting System and migrate it off the mainframe.

The Loan Accounting System is the core system of record used to account for the SBA's \$106.8 billion loan portfolio. In November 2005, the SBA initiated the loan management accounting system (LMAS) project. Since 2010, the LMAS modernization effort has been structured into multiple components or incremental improvement projects (IIPs). This project has been reviewed by GAO and was the subject of a Congressional hearing in 2012. The SBA has issued reports to Congress regarding project progress, with the most recent issued in March 2014.

In September 2014, the OIG issued a report assessing project progress and oversight controls. We reported that the SBA needs to improve its management oversight of the individual LMAS Incremental Improvement Projects (IIP) and ensure management actions comply with the SBA's SOPs.

The LMAS project team is working on the Transition to Production Planning IIP. This IIP is critical to the overall success of the LMAS project because its completion is needed to move all of the SBA's financial data from an outdated mainframe environment to a new, server-based operating platform.

Current plans call for the full completion of the mainframe migration by December 2014 and project completion by February 2015. The SBA also anticipates that the LMAS IIPs' cost will not exceed its revised FY 2010 project estimate of \$97.3 million.

Number of Actions Accomplished (Green Status) During Past Four Fiscal Years (Challenge first reported FY 2010)	2010: 0	2011: 0	2012: 0	2013: 0
Recommended Actions		Status at end of FY 2014		
Migrate LMAS to a new operating platform before in 2015.	act expires	Yellow		
2. Modify the LMAS quality assurance/independent contract and establish an effective quality assuran management independent assurance that LMAS d project deliverables meet SBA quality standards.	es senior	Yellow1		
3. Establish a process for reviewing and accepting LN quality assurance and systems development methoring or fully staffing an IV&V entity to validate details.		Yellow1		
4. Implement a quality assurance process in LMAS in QA Plan.	interprise	Yellow1		

8

_

¹ Report GAO-12-295, SBA Needs to Strengthen Oversight of Its Loan Management and Accounting System Modernization issued January 2012.

Challenge 9. The SBA needs to accurately report, significantly reduce, and strengthen efforts to recover improper payments in the 7(a) Loan Program.

Previous OIG audits have determined that reported improper payment rates for 7(a) loan approvals and purchases were significantly understated because the SBA did not adequately review loans, used flawed sampling methodologies, and did not accurately project review findings. In FY 2011, the SBA's reported improper payment rate for 7(a) purchases was 1.73 percent, or \$40.7 million, when the rate could have been as high as 20 percent, or about \$472 million. Furthermore, in FY 2011, the SBA reported no improper payments for 7(a) loan approvals.

However, an FY 2011 OIG audit estimated that at least 1,196 Recovery Act 7(a) loans were not originated and closed in compliance with SBA requirements, resulting in at least \$869.5 million in inappropriate or unsupported loan approvals.

Further, recent OIG audits have identified 7(a) loans that were ineligible, lacked repayment ability, or were not properly closed. In 2012, we reported that the limited reviews of lender underwriting performed during guaranty purchase reviews were contrary to SBA procedures, resulting in improper payments. We also reported that high-dollar, early-defaulted loans were not reviewed with the scrutiny required to identify improper payments. In 2013, we reported that the SBA made \$4.6 million of improper payments on high-dollar early-defaulted 7(a) loans. In 2014, we reported that six SBA approved loans with material underwriting deficiencies defaulted, resulting in \$4.8 million in unnecessary losses.

The Office of Capital Access (OCA) has taken actions to correct many of these deficiencies. The OCA has (1) formalized its improper payment sampling; (2) demonstrated that its review process is effective for 7(a) loan approvals; (3) formalized its process to review disputed cases; (4) formalized the recovery process and time standards for 7(a) purchases; (5) developed appropriate corrective action plans for 7(a) loans; and (6) established repayment ability review requirements that are effective at identifying improper payments. However, additional actions are needed. The OCA needs to demonstrate that its process over disputed cases is ensuring adequate and timely resolution. Additionally, the OCA needs to demonstrate that it is adhering to recovery time standards and that corrective action plans for the 7(a) loan program are effective.

Actions Accomplished (Green Status) During Past Four Fiscal Years (Challenge first reported FY 2010)	2010: 0	2011: 0	20)12: 0		2013 approvals: 1 Purchases: 0
					atus at en	nd of FY 2014
Recommended Actions for FY 2015				7(a) Ap	provals	7(a) Purchases
1. Ensure that processes for 7(a) loan approvals and purchases are designed and implemented to effectively identify improper payments as defined by OMB Circular A-123.				Gr	een	Green1
2. Reassign responsibility for final approval of disputed denial, repair, and improper payment decisions from the Office of Financial Assistance (OFA) to the Office of Credit Risk Management (OCRM) to ensure an adequate and timely resolution of disputes.				N	/A	Yellow
3. Establish a process and time standards to expeditiously recover improper payments identified during Agency reviews and OIG audits.				N	/A	Yellow
4. Demonstrate that corrective action improper payments in the 7(a) Loar	•	ive in reducing Yellow			llow	Yellow
Green- Implemented <mark>Yellow</mark> -Sเ	ıbstantial Progre	ess <mark>Orange</mark> -	Limite	ed Progre	ss Red	-No Progress

Challenge 10. The SBA Needs to Significantly Reduce Improper Payments in the Disaster Loan Program.

Previous OIG audits of the SBA's Disaster Loan program determined that the improper payment rates reported for this program were significantly understated. The SBA estimated that improper payments in the Disaster Loan program were about \$4.5 million, or 0.55 percent of loans approved in FY 2007, while the OIG reported that it was at least 46 percent, or approximately \$1.5 billion. The SBA's improper payment rates were understated because the SBA did not adequately review sampled loans, used flawed sampling methodologies, and did not accurately project review findings for the program.

Previously, Management Challenge 9 included both the Disaster Loan program and 7(a) program. There were three recommended actions applicable to the Disaster Assistance Program. Of the three recommended actions, one was implemented during FY 2010 and the two remaining actions were implemented during FY 2011. One recommended action was to develop and implement a corrective action plan to reduce improper payments. Although the Office of Disaster Assistance (ODA) implemented the recommended action, the SBA has not achieved its reduction targets since implementation. Specifically, the Agency missed its target goals of 16.7 percent for FY 2010, 20.0 percent for FY 2011, and 17.0 percent for FY 2012, instead reporting rates of 34.2 percent, 28.4 percent, and 17.9 percent, respectively.

At the end of FY 2011, a new recommended action was added requiring the SBA to demonstrate that the corrective action plan is effective in reducing improper payments in the Disaster Assistance Program. The Agency has implemented an improved corrective action plan that specifically addresses root causes and provides specific remedies, such as targeted training and inclusion of improper payments in personal business commitment plans for employees. If properly implemented, we believe this course of action should effectively reduce the improper payment rate in future years. The SBA's internal improper payment assessment for FY 2014 indicated a rate of 12 percent. This rate is lower than the 17 percent target rate necessary to achieve a green rating. Therefore, the color status for FY 2014 is green.

Actions Accomplished (Green Status) During Past Four Fiscal Years (Challenge first reported FY 2012)	2010: N/A	2011: N/A (New)	2012: 0	2013: 0

Recommended Actions for FY 2015				
Demonstrate that corrective action plans are effective in reducing improper payments in the Disaster Loan program.				
Green Implemented Yellow-Substantial Progress Orange-Limited Progress R	ed-No Progress			

Challenge 11: The SBA Needs to Effectively Manage the Acquisition Program

The SBA has taken steps to improve the acquisition process by providing training to acquisition personnel, conducting acquisition planning, and using the Contract Review Board for making acquisition decisions. While the SBA has made limited progress, challenges exist, including: (1) poorly defined requirements, (2) internal control deficiencies, (3) inadequate oversight of contractor performance, (4) high improper payments rate, and (5) an incomplete acquisition SOP.

We identified instances where the SBA inadequately planned and defined its requirements for the procurement of IT products and services. While the SBA interfaced the contract management system with the financial system, users continue to experience system operations issues. The SBA also continued to inadequately monitor contract performance, which does not ensure that products and services are delivered according to contract requirements. Additionally, the SBA reported an increase in the improper payment rate for disbursements of goods and services from 9.6 percent in FY 2012 to 11.6 percent in FY 2013. Further, its acquisition SOP does not include procedures to use modular contracting for major system acquisitions, the use of interagency acquisitions, or define post award contract administration requirements.

In July 2014, the Office of the Chief Financial Officer (OCFO) awarded a contract to perform an assessment of its acquisition function required in the OMB's memorandum for Chief Acquisition Officers: *Conducting Acquisition Assessments under OMB Circular A-123*, May 21, 2008.

Actions Accomplished (Green Status) During Past Four Fiscal Years (Challenge first reported FY 2013)	2010: N/A	2011: N/A	2012: N/A (New)	2013: 0
Recommended Actions for FY 2015				Status at end of FY 2014
1. Complete an assessment of the Agency's acquisition activities using the OMB's <i>Guidelines</i> for Assessing the Acquisition Function.				Orange
2. Create and implement a comprehensive improvement plan—based on the results of the acquisition function assessment—that has measurable goals, objectives, prioritized actions and timeframes to address deficiencies identified in the organizational alignment and leadership assessment area.				
3. Create and implement a comprehensive improvement plan—based on the results of the acquisition function assessment—that has measurable goals, objectives, prioritized actions and timeframes to address deficiencies identified in the acquisition policies and processes assessment area (i.e. acquisition management SOP).				Orange
4. Create and implement a comprehensive improvement plan—based on the results of the acquisition function assessment—that has measurable goals, objectives, prioritized actions and timeframes to address deficiencies identified in the acquisition workforce assessment area.				Orange
5. Create and implement a comprehensive improvement plan—based on the results of the acquisition function assessment—that has measurable goals, objectives, prioritized actions and timeframes to address deficiencies identified in the knowledge management and information systems assessment area.			Orange	
the state of the s	ea. bstantial progress	Orange-Limite	ed Progress Red-No	o progress

Appendix: Relevant Reports

Most of the SBA OIG Reports listed can be found at http://www.sba.gov/office-of-inspector-general.

Challenge 1

Small Business Administration Office of Inspector General

- Agencies are Overstating Small Disadvantaged Business and HUBZone Goaling Credit by Including Contracts Performed by Ineligible Firms, Report 14-18 (September 24, 2014).
- Opportunities Exist for the SBA to Improve the Monitoring of Non-Manufacturer Rule Waivers and Determine the Impact on Small Businesses, Report 14-15 (August 14, 2014).
- A Non-Manufacturer Rule Waiver Allowed an 8(a) Recovery Act Contract to Bypass Established Small Business Requirements, Report 12-19 (September 4, 2012).
- SBA's Planning and Award of the Customer Relationship Management Contracts, <u>ROM 10-16</u> (June 29, 2010).
- Review of Selected Small Business Procurements, Report 5-16 (March 8, 2005).
- SBA Small Business Procurement Awards Are Not Always Going to Small Businesses, Report 5-14 (February 24, 2005).
- Audit of SBA's Administration of the Procurement Activities of Asset Sale Due Diligence Contracts and Task Orders, Report 4-16 (March 17, 2004). pp. 8-9.

Government Accountability Office

• Contract Management: Reporting of Small Business Contract Awards Does Not Reflect Current Business Size, GAO-03-704T (May 7, 2003).

The Small Business Committee

- The Small Business Committee, U.S. House of Representatives Hearing, *Are Big Businesses Being Awarded Contracts Intended for Small Businesses?* Testimony of Mr. Fred C. Armendáriz, Associate Deputy Administrator, SBA, (May 7, 2003).
- The Small Business Committee, U.S. House of Representatives Hearing, Are Big Businesses Being Awarded Contracts Intended for Small Businesses? Testimony of Mr. Felipe Mendoza, Associate Administrator, Office of Small Business Utilization, U.S. General Services Administration, (May 7, 2003).

Other

- Interagency Task Force on Federal Contracting Opportunities for Small Businesses Report (September 2010).
- SBA Advocacy, Analysis of Type of Business Coding for the Top 1,000 Contractors Receiving Small Business Awards in FY 2002 (December, 2004).
- The Center for Public Integrity, *The Big Business of Small Business: Top defense contracting companies reap the benefits meant for small businesses* (September 29, 2004).
- The Center for Public Integrity, *The Pentagon's \$200 Million Shingle: Defense data shows billions in mistakes and mislabeled contracts* (September 29, 2004).

Challenge 2

Small Business Administration Office of Inspector General

- Weaknesses Identified During the FY 2013 Federal Information Security Management Act Review, Report 14-12 (April 30, 2014).
- Independent Auditors' Report on the SBA's FY 2013 Financial Statements, Report 14-04 (December 16, 2013).
- Audit of SBA's FY 2012 Financial Statements, Report 13-04 (November 14, 2012).
- Weaknesses Identified During the FY 2011 Federal Information Security Management Act Review, Report 12-15 (July 16, 2012).
- Audit of SBA's FY 2011 Financial Statements, Report 12-02 (November 14, 2011).
- Weaknesses Identified During the FY 2010 Federal Information Security Management Act Review, Report 11-06 (January, 28, 2011).
- Audit of SBA's FY 2010 Financial Statements, Report 11-03 (November 12, 2010).
- Audit of SBA's FY 2009 Financial Statements, Report 10-04 (November 13, 2009).
- SBA's FY2008 Financial Statements, Report 9-03 (November 14, 2008).
- Audit of SBA's Financial Statements for FY 2006, Report 7-03 (November 15, 2006).
- Audit of SBA's Information System Controls for FY 2004, Report 5-12 (February 24, 2005).

Challenge 3

Small Business Administration Office of Inspector General

- Significant Opportunities Exist to Improve the Management of the 7(a) Loan Guaranty Approval Process, Report 14-13 (June 6, 2014).
- The Colorado District Office's Servicing of 8(A) Business Development Program Participants, Report 10-15 (September 30, 2010).
- Adequacy of Procurement Staffing and Oversight of Contractors Supporting the Procurement Function, ROM 10-13 (April 9, 2010).

Government Accountability Office

- GAO, Office of Advocacy Needs to Improve Controls over Research, Regulatory, and Workforce Planning Activities, GAO-14-525 (July 22, 2014).
- Federal Workforce Human Capital Management Challenges and the Path to Reform, GAO-14-723T (July 15, 2014).

Office of Personnel Management

- 2010 Federal Employee Viewpoint Survey.
- 2011 Federal Employee Viewpoint Survey.

Partnership for Public Service

- Best Places to Work in the Federal Government 2011.
- Best Places to Work in the Federal Government 2010.

Challenge 4

- Improvement is Needed to Ensure Effective Quality Control at Loan Operation Centers, Report 14-08 (January 17, 2014).
- A Detailed Repayment Ability Analysis is Needed on High-Dollar Early-Defaulted Loans to Prevent Future Improper Payments, Report 12-18 (August 16, 2012).

- High-Dollar Early-Defaulted Loans Require an Increased Degree of Scrutiny and Improved Quality Control at the National Guaranty Purchase Center, Report 12-11R (March 23, 2012).
- SBA Generally Meets IPERA Reporting Guidance but Immediate Attention Is Needed to Prevent and Reduce Improper Payments, Report 12-10 (March 15, 2012).
- Origination and Closing Deficiencies Identified In 7(a) Recovery Act Loan Approvals, <u>ROM 11-07</u> (September 30, 2011).
- Material Deficiencies Identified in Five 7(a) Recovery Act Loans Resulted in \$2.7 Million of Questioned Costs, ROM 11-06 (August 25, 2011).
- Banco Popular Did Not Adequately Assess Borrower Repayment Ability When Originating Huntington Learning Center Franchise Loans, Report 11-16 (July 13, 2011).
- Material Deficiencies Identified in Four 7(a) Recovery Act Loans Resulted in \$3.2 Million of Questioned Costs, ROM 11-05 (June 29, 2011).
- America's Recovery Capital Loans Were Not Originated and Closed In Accordance With SBA's Policies and Procedures, ROM 11-03 (March 2, 2011).
- Material Deficiencies Identified in Early-Defaulted and Early-Problem Recovery Act Loans, <u>ROM 10-19</u> (September 24, 2010).
- SBA's Management of the Backlog of Post-purchase Reviews at the National Guaranty Purchase Center, Report 9-18 (August 25, 2009).
- The Small Business Administration's Fiscal Year 2008 Improper Payment Rate for the 7(a) Guaranty Loan Program, Report 9-16 (July 10, 2009).
- Review of Key Unresolved OIG Audit Recommendations in Program Areas Funded by the American Recovery and Reinvestment Act and Related Activities Need to Safeguard Funds, ROM 09-1 (April 30, 2009).
- Audit of the Liquidation Process at the National Guaranty Purchase Center, Report 9-08 (January 30, 2009).
- Audit of Six SBA Guaranteed Loans, Report 8-18 (September 8, 2008).
- Audit of Loan Classifications and Overpayments on Secondary Market Loans, Report 8-09 (March 26, 2008).
- Audit of UPS Capital Business Credit's Compliance with Selected 7(a) Lending Requirements, Report 8-08 (March 21, 2008).
- Audit of the Guarantee Purchase Process for Section 7(a) Loans at the National Guaranty Purchase Center, Report 7-23 (May 8, 2007).
- Audit of Deficiencies in OFA's Purchase Review Process for Backlogged Loans, Report 6-35 (September 29, 2006).
- Survey of the Quality Assurance Review Process, Report 6-26 (July 12, 2006).
- Management Advisory Report on the Transfer of Operations to the National Guaranty Purchase Center, Report 4-39 (August 31, 2004).

Government Accountability Office

• Major Management Challenges and Program Risks, GAO-01-260 (January 2001).

Challenge 5

- The SBA's Portfolio Risk Management Program Can be Strengthened, Report 13-17 (July 2, 2013).
- Addressing Performance Problems of High-Risk Lenders Remains a Challenge for the Small Business Administration, Report 12-20R (September 28, 2012).
- SBA's Oversight of SBA Supervised Lenders, Report 8-12 (May 9, 2008).

- UPS Capital Compliance with Selected 7(a) Lending Requirements, Report 8-08 (March 21, 2008).
- SBA's Oversight of Business Loan Center, LLC, Report 7-28 (July 11, 2007).
- SBA's Use of the Loan and Lender Monitoring System, Report 7-21 (May 2, 2007).
- Audit of the Office of Lender Oversight Corrective Action Process, Report 7-18 (March 14, 2007).
- SBA's Administration of the Supplemental Terrorist Activity Relief (STAR) Loan Program, Report 6-09 (December 23, 2005).
- Impact of Loan Splitting on Borrowers and SBA, Advisory Memorandum Report 2-31 (September 30, 2002).
- Improvements needed in SBLC Oversight, Advisory Memorandum Report 2-12 (March 20, 2002).
- Preferred Lender Oversight Program, Report 1-19 (September 27, 2001).
- SBA Follow-up on SBLC Examinations, Report 1-16 (August 17, 2001).

Government Accountability Office

- Actions Needed to Improve the Usefulness of the Agency's Lender Risk Rating System, GAO-10-53 (December 7, 2009).
- Small Business Administration: Additional Measures Needed to Assess 7(a) Loan Program's Performance, GAO-07-769 (July 13, 2007).
- Small Business Administration: Improvements Made, But Loan Programs Face Ongoing Management Challenges, GAO-06-605T (April 6, 2006).
- Small Business Administration: New Service for Lender Oversight Reflects Some Best Practices, But Strategy for Use Lags Behind, GAO-04-610 (June 8, 2004).
- Continued Improvements Needed in Lender Oversight, Report 03-90 (December 2002).

Challenge 6

Small Business Administration Office of Inspector General

- The SBA Did Not Follow Regulations and Guidance in the Acquisition of the OneTrack System, Report 14-10 (February 12, 2014).
- Audit on the Effectiveness of the SBA's Surveillance Review Process, Report 11-11 (March 31, 2011).
- Audit of Two 8(a) Sole –Source Contracts Awarded to Contractors in SBA's Mentor Protégé Program, Report 7-19 (March 30, 2007).
- Audit of Monitoring Compliance with 8(a) Business Development Regulations During 8(a) Business Development Contract Performance, Report 6-15 (March 16, 2006).
- Business Development Provided by SBA's 8(a) Business Development Program, Report 4-22 (June 2, 2004).
- SACS/MEDCOR: Ineffective and Inefficient, Report 4-15 (March 9, 2004).
- Section 8(a) Program Continuing Eligibility Reviews, Report 4-3-H-006-021 (September 30, 1994).

Challenge 7

- Applicant Character Verification in SBA's Business Loan Program, Report 3-43 (April 5, 2001).
- Summary Audit of Section 7(a) Loan Processing, Report 0-03 (January 11, 2000).
- Loan Agents and the Section 7(a) Program, Report 98-03-01 (March 31, 1998).
- Fraud Detection in SBA Programs, Report 97-11-01 (November 24, 1997).
- Operation Clean sweep, Memorandum (August 21, 1996).

Challenge 8

Small Business Administration Office of Inspector General

- Review of the LMAS Incremental Improvement Projects, Report 14-21 (September 30, 2014).
- The SBA's Loan Management and Accounting System Incremental Improvement Projects, Report 13-11 (March 12, 2013).
- Adequacy of Quality Assurance Oversight of the Loan Management and Accounting System *Project*, Report 10-14 (September 13, 2010).
- Review of Allegations Concerning How the Loan Management and Accounting System Modernization Project is Being Managed, Report 9-17 (July 30, 2009).
- Planning for the Loan Management and Accounting System Modernization and Development Effort, Report 8-13 (May 14, 2008).
- SBA Needs to Implement a Viable Solution to its Loan Accounting System Migration Problem, Report 5-29 (September 20, 2005).

Government Accountability Office

- SBA Needs to Strengthen Oversight of Its Loan Management and Accounting System Modernization, GAO-12-295 (January 25, 2012).
- Information Technology: Agencies Need to Improve the Accuracy and Reliability of Investment Information, GAO-06-250 (January 12, 2006).
- Major Management Challenges and Program Risks: Small Business Administration, GAO-03-116 (January 1, 2003).
- SBA Loan Monitoring System: Substantial Progress Yet Key Risks and Challenges Remain,
 Testimony of Joel C. Willemssen, Director, Civil Agencies Information Systems Accounting and
 Information Management Division, Before the Subcommittee on Government Programs
 Statement Committee on Small Business, House of Representatives, GAO/T-AIMD-00-113,
 (February 29, 2000).
- SBA Needs to Establish Policies and Procedures for Key IT Processes, Accounting and Information Management Division, GAO/AIMD-00-170 (May 31, 2000).

Challenge 9

- Significant Opportunities Exist to Improve the Management of the 7(a) Loan Guaranty Approval Process, Report 14-13 (June 6, 2014).
- Purchase Reviews Allowed \$3.1 Million in Improper Payments on 7(a) Recovery Act Loans, Report 14-09 (January 29, 2014).
- The Small Business Administration's Improper Payment Rate for 7(a) Guaranty Purchases Remains Significantly Underestimated, Report 13-07 (November 15, 2012).
- A Detailed Repayment Ability Analysis is Needed on High-Dollar Early-Defaulted Loans to Prevent Future Improper Payments, Report 12-18 (August 16, 2012).
- High-Dollar Early-Defaulted Loans Require an Increased Degree of Scrutiny and Improved Quality Control at the National Guaranty Purchase Center, Report 12-11R (March 23, 2012).
- SBA Generally Meets IPERA Reporting Guidance but Immediate Attention Is Needed to Prevent and Reduce Improper Payments, Report 12-10 (March 15, 2012).
- SBA OIG, Origination and Closing Deficiencies Identified In 7(a) Recovery Act Loan Approvals, ROM 11-07 (September 30, 2011).
- Material Deficiencies Identified in Five 7(a) Recovery Act Loans Resulted in \$2.7 Million of Questioned Costs, ROM 11-06 (August 25, 2011).

- Banco Popular Did Not Adequately Assess Borrower Repayment Ability When Originating Huntington Learning Center Franchise Loans, Report 11-16 (July 13, 2011).
- Material Deficiencies Identified in Four 7(a) Recovery Act Loans Resulted in \$3.2 Million of Questioned Costs, ROM 11-05 (June 29, 2011).
- America's Recovery Capital Loans Were Not Originated and Closed In Accordance With SBA's Policies and Procedures, ROM 11-03 (March 2, 2011).
- Material Deficiencies Identified in Early-Defaulted and Early-Problem Recovery Act Loans, <u>ROM 10-19</u> (September 24, 2010).
- SBA's Management of the Backlog of Post-Purchase Reviews at the National Guaranty Purchase Center, Report 9-18 (August 25, 2009).
- The Small Business Administration's Fiscal Year 2008 Improper Payment Rate for the 7(a) Guaranty Loan Program, Report 9-16 (July 10, 2009).
- Audit of Borrower Eligibility for Gulf Coast Disaster Loans, Report 9-09 (March 31, 2009).
- The Small Business Administration's Fiscal Year 2007 Improper Payment Rate for the Disaster Loan Program, Report 9-10 (March 26, 2009).
- Audit of the Liquidation Process at the National Guaranty Purchase Center, Report 9-08 (January 30, 2009).
- The Use of Proceeds From Gulf Coast Disaster Loans, Report 9-06 (January 15, 2009).
- Disaster Loss Verification Process, Report 8-15 (June 17, 2008).
- Review of the Adequacy of Supporting Documentation for Disbursements, Report 8-07 (January 29, 2008).
- The Quality of Loans Processed Under the Expedited Disaster Loan Program, Report 7-34 (September 28, 2007).
- SBA's Quality Assurance Reviews of Loss Verifications, Report 7-29 (July 23, 2007).
- Securing Collateral for Disaster Loan Disbursements, Report 7-22 (May 9, 2007).

Challenge 10

Small Business Administration Office of Inspector General

• Origination and Closing Deficiencies Identified In 7(a) Recovery Act Loan Approvals, ROM 11-07 (September 30, 2011).

Challenge 11

- SBA's Progress in complying with the Improper Payments Elimination and Recovery Act, Report 14-11 (April 10, 2014).
- The SBA Did Not Follow Federal Regulations and Guidance in the Acquisition of the OneTrack System, Report 14-10 (February 12, 2014).
- The Small Business Administration's Process Could Lead to Possible Anti-Deficiency Act Violations, Report 12-22 (September 28, 2012).
- The Small Business Administration's Inappropriate Use of the Government Purchase Card for Construction Purchases, Report 12-16 (August 6, 2012).
- The SBA's Improper Payment Review and Reporting for its Contracting Activities did not Comply with IPERA and IPIA Requirements During FY 2011, Report 12-07 (March 8, 2012).
- Small Business Administration's Funding of Information Technology Contracts Awarded to Isika Technologies, Inc., Report 11-14 (June 2, 2011).
- SBA's Procurement of Information Technology Hardware and Software through Isika Technologies, Inc., Report 11-08 (February 25, 2011).

- SBA's Planning and Award of the Customer Relationship Management Contracts, <u>ROM 10-16</u> (June 29, 2010).
- Adequacy of Procurement Staffing and Oversight of Contractors Supporting the Procurement Function, ROM 10-13 (April 9, 2010).
- SBA's Efforts to Improve the Quality of Acquisition Data in the Federal Procurement Data System, Report 10-08 (February 26, 2010).
- Office of Business Operations Contracting Personnel Qualifications and Warrant Authority, Report 9-14 (July 6, 2009).