In the Matter of:

Advisory Committee on Veteran Business Affairs

March 9, 2017 Public Meeting

Condensed Transcript with Word Index



For The Record, Inc. (301) 870-8025 - www.ftrinc.net - (800) 921-5555

1			
		1	3
1			1 PROCEEDINGS
2			2
3			3 MS. CARSON: Good morning. For those on the
4	U.S. SMALL BUSINESS ADMINISTRAT	ION	4 phone and those in the room, you are about to join the
5			5 Small Business Administration's Advisory Committee on Veterans
6	ADVISORY COMMITTEE ON		6 Business Affairs. As a reminder for those on the
7	VETERAN BUSINESS AFFAIRS		7 phone, please do mute. There will be opportunities for
8			8 you to ask questions at various points during this
9	PUBLIC MEETING		9 meeting.
10			10 Today, we would like to kick off and I am
11			11 extremely pleased to have our new Small Business
12	THURSDAY, MARCH 9, 2017		12 Administrator here, Linda McMahon. She, as you may
13	9:00 A.M.		13 already know, has a long history of supporting
14			14 veterans, both in hiring them and also supporting those
15			15 overseas who are deployed.
16			16 WWE was a recipient of the Bob Hope Award from
17			17 the USO, for their work there. She has also been a
18			18 strong advocate for women entrepreneurs with Women's
19 20			19 Leadership Live and other initiatives. So we could not
21			20 ask for a better person to lead the Small Business
22			21 Administration in Administrator McMahon, and I think we
23			22 are a group that is potentially near and dear, and I am
24			23 looking forward to introducing her to you today.
25	Recorded by: Jen Metcalf-Razzino	. CER	24 So I turn it over to you, ma'am. 25 (Applause.)
23		,	25 (Applause.)
		2	4
1	СОИТЕИТЯ	2	
1	CONTENTS	_	1 MS. MCMAHON: Thank you. Thank you very much,
2		PAGE	1 MS. MCMAHON: Thank you. Thank you very much, 2 Barb, for that nice intro. You know, I was upstairs,
2 3	CONTENTS Remarks of Administrator McMahon	_	1 MS. MCMAHON: Thank you. Thank you very much, 2 Barb, for that nice intro. You know, I was upstairs, 3 and I was telling Jim and Barb that I had a big you
2 3 4	Remarks of Administrator McMahon	PAGE	1 MS. MCMAHON: Thank you. Thank you very much, 2 Barb, for that nice intro. You know, I was upstairs, 3 and I was telling Jim and Barb that I had a big you
2 3 4 5		PAGE 4	1 MS. MCMAHON: Thank you. Thank you very much, 2 Barb, for that nice intro. You know, I was upstairs, 3 and I was telling Jim and Barb that I had a big you 4 know, I have got this big speech all prepared, and
2 3 4	Remarks of Administrator McMahon Roll Call/Opening Remarks	PAGE 4	1 MS. MCMAHON: Thank you. Thank you very much, 2 Barb, for that nice intro. You know, I was upstairs, 3 and I was telling Jim and Barb that I had a big you 4 know, I have got this big speech all prepared, and 5 there was like a podium, and I was going to have a live
2 3 4 5 6	Remarks of Administrator McMahon	PAGE 4	1 MS. MCMAHON: Thank you. Thank you very much, 2 Barb, for that nice intro. You know, I was upstairs, 3 and I was telling Jim and Barb that I had a big you 4 know, I have got this big speech all prepared, and 5 there was like a podium, and I was going to have a live 6 mic, and then I realized this is going to be a much
2 3 4 5 6 7	Remarks of Administrator McMahon Roll Call/Opening Remarks	PAGE 4	1 MS. MCMAHON: Thank you. Thank you very much, 2 Barb, for that nice intro. You know, I was upstairs, 3 and I was telling Jim and Barb that I had a big you 4 know, I have got this big speech all prepared, and 5 there was like a podium, and I was going to have a live 6 mic, and then I realized this is going to be a much 7 more intimate setting. So the speech is over here, I
2 3 4 5 6 7 8	Remarks of Administrator McMahon Roll Call/Opening Remarks OVBD Updates	PAGE 4 11 22	1 MS. MCMAHON: Thank you. Thank you very much, 2 Barb, for that nice intro. You know, I was upstairs, 3 and I was telling Jim and Barb that I had a big you 4 know, I have got this big speech all prepared, and 5 there was like a podium, and I was going to have a live 6 mic, and then I realized this is going to be a much 7 more intimate setting. So the speech is over here, I 8 am not even going to look at it, so we will just have a
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9	Remarks of Administrator McMahon Roll Call/Opening Remarks OVBD Updates	PAGE 4 11 22	1 MS. MCMAHON: Thank you. Thank you very much, 2 Barb, for that nice intro. You know, I was upstairs, 3 and I was telling Jim and Barb that I had a big you 4 know, I have got this big speech all prepared, and 5 there was like a podium, and I was going to have a live 6 mic, and then I realized this is going to be a much 7 more intimate setting. So the speech is over here, I 8 am not even going to look at it, so we will just have a 9 nice little conversation this morning before I have to
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9	Remarks of Administrator McMahon Roll Call/Opening Remarks OVBD Updates Office of Capital Access	PAGE 4 11 22 46	1 MS. MCMAHON: Thank you. Thank you very much, 2 Barb, for that nice intro. You know, I was upstairs, 3 and I was telling Jim and Barb that I had a big you 4 know, I have got this big speech all prepared, and 5 there was like a podium, and I was going to have a live 6 mic, and then I realized this is going to be a much 7 more intimate setting. So the speech is over here, I 8 am not even going to look at it, so we will just have a 9 nice little conversation this morning before I have to 10 leave. I really appreciate the opportunity to come and
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10	Remarks of Administrator McMahon Roll Call/Opening Remarks OVBD Updates Office of Capital Access	PAGE 4 11 22 46	1 MS. MCMAHON: Thank you. Thank you very much, 2 Barb, for that nice intro. You know, I was upstairs, 3 and I was telling Jim and Barb that I had a big you 4 know, I have got this big speech all prepared, and 5 there was like a podium, and I was going to have a live 6 mic, and then I realized this is going to be a much 7 more intimate setting. So the speech is over here, I 8 am not even going to look at it, so we will just have a 9 nice little conversation this morning before I have to 10 leave. I really appreciate the opportunity to come and 11 get to know you and let you get to know me a little
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11	Remarks of Administrator McMahon Roll Call/Opening Remarks OVBD Updates Office of Capital Access Veterans Chamber of Commerce	PAGE 4 11 22 46	1 MS. MCMAHON: Thank you. Thank you very much, 2 Barb, for that nice intro. You know, I was upstairs, 3 and I was telling Jim and Barb that I had a big you 4 know, I have got this big speech all prepared, and 5 there was like a podium, and I was going to have a live 6 mic, and then I realized this is going to be a much 7 more intimate setting. So the speech is over here, I 8 am not even going to look at it, so we will just have a 9 nice little conversation this morning before I have to 10 leave. I really appreciate the opportunity to come and 11 get to know you and let you get to know me a little 12 bit.
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12	Remarks of Administrator McMahon Roll Call/Opening Remarks OVBD Updates Office of Capital Access Veterans Chamber of Commerce SBA Government Contracting and	PAGE 4 11 22 46 72	1 MS. MCMAHON: Thank you. Thank you very much, 2 Barb, for that nice intro. You know, I was upstairs, 3 and I was telling Jim and Barb that I had a big you 4 know, I have got this big speech all prepared, and 5 there was like a podium, and I was going to have a live 6 mic, and then I realized this is going to be a much 7 more intimate setting. So the speech is over here, I 8 am not even going to look at it, so we will just have a 9 nice little conversation this morning before I have to 10 leave. I really appreciate the opportunity to come and 11 get to know you and let you get to know me a little 12 bit. 13 As Barb said, veterans are near and dear to my
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14	Remarks of Administrator McMahon Roll Call/Opening Remarks OVBD Updates Office of Capital Access Veterans Chamber of Commerce SBA Government Contracting and	PAGE 4 11 22 46 72	1 MS. MCMAHON: Thank you. Thank you very much, 2 Barb, for that nice intro. You know, I was upstairs, 3 and I was telling Jim and Barb that I had a big you 4 know, I have got this big speech all prepared, and 5 there was like a podium, and I was going to have a live 6 mic, and then I realized this is going to be a much 7 more intimate setting. So the speech is over here, I 8 am not even going to look at it, so we will just have a 9 nice little conversation this morning before I have to 10 leave. I really appreciate the opportunity to come and 11 get to know you and let you get to know me a little 12 bit. 13 As Barb said, veterans are near and dear to my 14 heart. Both my parents were Civil Service employees at
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17	Remarks of Administrator McMahon Roll Call/Opening Remarks OVBD Updates Office of Capital Access Veterans Chamber of Commerce SBA Government Contracting and Business Development Update	PAGE 4 11 22 46 72	MS. MCMAHON: Thank you. Thank you very much, Barb, for that nice intro. You know, I was upstairs, and I was telling Jim and Barb that I had a big you know, I have got this big speech all prepared, and there was like a podium, and I was going to have a live mic, and then I realized this is going to be a much more intimate setting. So the speech is over here, I am not even going to look at it, so we will just have a nice little conversation this morning before I have to leave. I really appreciate the opportunity to come and get to know you and let you get to know me a little bit. As Barb said, veterans are near and dear to my heart. Both my parents were Civil Service employees at Cherry Point Air Base, in Cherry Point, North Carolina, and while my parents were I'm sorry? (Off mic.)
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18	Remarks of Administrator McMahon Roll Call/Opening Remarks OVBD Updates Office of Capital Access Veterans Chamber of Commerce SBA Government Contracting and Business Development Update National Veteran Small Business Coalition	PAGE 4 11 22 46 72 92	MS. MCMAHON: Thank you. Thank you very much, Barb, for that nice intro. You know, I was upstairs, and I was telling Jim and Barb that I had a big you know, I have got this big speech all prepared, and there was like a podium, and I was going to have a live mic, and then I realized this is going to be a much more intimate setting. So the speech is over here, I am not even going to look at it, so we will just have a nice little conversation this morning before I have to leave. I really appreciate the opportunity to come and get to know you and let you get to know me a little bit. As Barb said, veterans are near and dear to my heart. Both my parents were Civil Service employees at Cherry Point Air Base, in Cherry Point, North Carolina, and while my parents were I'm sorry? (Off mic.) MS. MCMAHON: Ah, semper fi.
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19	Remarks of Administrator McMahon Roll Call/Opening Remarks OVBD Updates Office of Capital Access Veterans Chamber of Commerce SBA Government Contracting and Business Development Update National Veteran Small Business	PAGE 4 11 22 46 72	MS. MCMAHON: Thank you. Thank you very much, Barb, for that nice intro. You know, I was upstairs, and I was telling Jim and Barb that I had a big you know, I have got this big speech all prepared, and there was like a podium, and I was going to have a live mic, and then I realized this is going to be a much more intimate setting. So the speech is over here, I am not even going to look at it, so we will just have a nice little conversation this morning before I have to leave. I really appreciate the opportunity to come and get to know you and let you get to know me a little bit. As Barb said, veterans are near and dear to my heart. Both my parents were Civil Service employees at Cherry Point Air Base, in Cherry Point, North Carolina, and while my parents were I'm sorry? (Off mic.) MS. MCMAHON: Ah, semper fi. So even though we were civilians, we grew up,
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20	Remarks of Administrator McMahon Roll Call/Opening Remarks OVBD Updates Office of Capital Access Veterans Chamber of Commerce SBA Government Contracting and Business Development Update National Veteran Small Business Coalition Access to Capital	PAGE 4 11 22 46 72 92 109 159	MS. MCMAHON: Thank you. Thank you very much, Barb, for that nice intro. You know, I was upstairs, and I was telling Jim and Barb that I had a big you know, I have got this big speech all prepared, and there was like a podium, and I was going to have a live mic, and then I realized this is going to be a much more intimate setting. So the speech is over here, I am not even going to look at it, so we will just have a nice little conversation this morning before I have to leave. I really appreciate the opportunity to come and get to know you and let you get to know me a little bit. As Barb said, veterans are near and dear to my heart. Both my parents were Civil Service employees at Cherry Point Air Base, in Cherry Point, North Carolina, and while my parents were I'm sorry? (Off mic.) MS. MCMAHON: Ah, semper fi. So even though we were civilians, we grew up, you know, as part of a military post, and so that's
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21	Remarks of Administrator McMahon Roll Call/Opening Remarks OVBD Updates Office of Capital Access Veterans Chamber of Commerce SBA Government Contracting and Business Development Update National Veteran Small Business Coalition	PAGE 4 11 22 46 72 92	MS. MCMAHON: Thank you. Thank you very much, Barb, for that nice intro. You know, I was upstairs, and I was telling Jim and Barb that I had a big you know, I have got this big speech all prepared, and there was like a podium, and I was going to have a live mic, and then I realized this is going to be a much more intimate setting. So the speech is over here, I am not even going to look at it, so we will just have a nice little conversation this morning before I have to leave. I really appreciate the opportunity to come and get to know you and let you get to know me a little bit. As Barb said, veterans are near and dear to my heart. Both my parents were Civil Service employees at Cherry Point Air Base, in Cherry Point, North Carolina, and while my parents were I'm sorry? (Off mic.) MS. MCMAHON: Ah, semper fi. So even though we were civilians, we grew up, you know, as part of a military post, and so that's kind of in my DNA a little bit. And then WWE has my
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22	Remarks of Administrator McMahon Roll Call/Opening Remarks OVBD Updates Office of Capital Access Veterans Chamber of Commerce SBA Government Contracting and Business Development Update National Veteran Small Business Coalition Access to Capital Project TORCH	PAGE 4 11 22 46 72 92 109 159 187	1 MS. MCMAHON: Thank you. Thank you very much, 2 Barb, for that nice intro. You know, I was upstairs, 3 and I was telling Jim and Barb that I had a big you 4 know, I have got this big speech all prepared, and 5 there was like a podium, and I was going to have a live 6 mic, and then I realized this is going to be a much 7 more intimate setting. So the speech is over here, I 8 am not even going to look at it, so we will just have a 9 nice little conversation this morning before I have to 10 leave. I really appreciate the opportunity to come and 11 get to know you and let you get to know me a little 12 bit. 13 As Barb said, veterans are near and dear to my 14 heart. Both my parents were Civil Service employees at 15 Cherry Point Air Base, in Cherry Point, North Carolina, 16 and while my parents were I'm sorry? 17 (Off mic.) 18 MS. MCMAHON: Ah, semper fi. 19 So even though we were civilians, we grew up, 20 you know, as part of a military post, and so that's 21 kind of in my DNA a little bit. And then WWE has my 22 former company, WWE has participated in the
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22 23	Remarks of Administrator McMahon Roll Call/Opening Remarks OVBD Updates Office of Capital Access Veterans Chamber of Commerce SBA Government Contracting and Business Development Update National Veteran Small Business Coalition Access to Capital	PAGE 4 11 22 46 72 92 109 159	MS. MCMAHON: Thank you. Thank you very much, Barb, for that nice intro. You know, I was upstairs, and I was telling Jim and Barb that I had a big you know, I have got this big speech all prepared, and there was like a podium, and I was going to have a live mic, and then I realized this is going to be a much more intimate setting. So the speech is over here, I am not even going to look at it, so we will just have a nice little conversation this morning before I have to leave. I really appreciate the opportunity to come and get to know you and let you get to know me a little bit. As Barb said, veterans are near and dear to my heart. Both my parents were Civil Service employees at Cherry Point Air Base, in Cherry Point, North Carolina, and while my parents were I'm sorry? (Off mic.) MS. MCMAHON: Ah, semper fi. So even though we were civilians, we grew up, you know, as part of a military post, and so that's kind of in my DNA a little bit. And then WWE has my former company, WWE has participated in the Hire-A-Vet Program and also has made visits overseas
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22 23 24	Remarks of Administrator McMahon Roll Call/Opening Remarks OVBD Updates Office of Capital Access Veterans Chamber of Commerce SBA Government Contracting and Business Development Update National Veteran Small Business Coalition Access to Capital Project TORCH	PAGE 4 11 22 46 72 92 109 159 187	MS. MCMAHON: Thank you. Thank you very much, Barb, for that nice intro. You know, I was upstairs, and I was telling Jim and Barb that I had a big you know, I have got this big speech all prepared, and there was like a podium, and I was going to have a live mic, and then I realized this is going to be a much more intimate setting. So the speech is over here, I am not even going to look at it, so we will just have a nice little conversation this morning before I have to leave. I really appreciate the opportunity to come and get to know you and let you get to know me a little bit. As Barb said, veterans are near and dear to my heart. Both my parents were Civil Service employees at Cherry Point Air Base, in Cherry Point, North Carolina, and while my parents were I'm sorry? (Off mic.) MS. MCMAHON: Ah, semper fi. So even though we were civilians, we grew up, you know, as part of a military post, and so that's kind of in my DNA a little bit. And then WWE has my former company, WWE has participated in the Hire-A-Vet Program and also has made visits overseas every year to our forwarding operating bases for our
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22 23	Remarks of Administrator McMahon Roll Call/Opening Remarks OVBD Updates Office of Capital Access Veterans Chamber of Commerce SBA Government Contracting and Business Development Update National Veteran Small Business Coalition Access to Capital Project TORCH	PAGE 4 11 22 46 72 92 109 159 187	MS. MCMAHON: Thank you. Thank you very much, Barb, for that nice intro. You know, I was upstairs, and I was telling Jim and Barb that I had a big you know, I have got this big speech all prepared, and there was like a podium, and I was going to have a live mic, and then I realized this is going to be a much more intimate setting. So the speech is over here, I am not even going to look at it, so we will just have a nice little conversation this morning before I have to leave. I really appreciate the opportunity to come and get to know you and let you get to know me a little bit. As Barb said, veterans are near and dear to my heart. Both my parents were Civil Service employees at Cherry Point Air Base, in Cherry Point, North Carolina, and while my parents were I'm sorry? (Off mic.) MS. MCMAHON: Ah, semper fi. So even though we were civilians, we grew up, you know, as part of a military post, and so that's kind of in my DNA a little bit. And then WWE has my former company, WWE has participated in the Hire-A-Vet Program and also has made visits overseas

to

zones; going, you know, by Blackhawk helicopter out to the forward operating bases to visit our men and women who are deployed, to bring a little bit of home around Christmastime to them.

And I'll tell you a little brief side story, if you will allow me to digress for a second. My husband, Vince McMahon, who is now the chairman and CEO, he had come back from the visit in Iraq, and he was in his study all afternoon one Sunday, right after coming back, and I thought, what on earth is he doing holed up in the study all this time? So I went in and I tapped on the door, and he gives me -- he says, "I'm almost done. I'm almost done."

So he came out a little bit later, and I said,
"What were you doing?" And he said, "I had all these
calls that I had promised young men and women that I
met at the forward operating bases that I would make
either to parents, wives, husbands, brothers, sisters,"
and he said, "So it's really taken me, like, an hour
and a half, but it's the most rewarding thing I've
done." Because people would say, "Yeah, sure, it's
Vince McMahon, right." And he would say, "No, really,
and here is how you will know that I did actually talk
to your son or daughter." And he would have a little
nugget or a little tidbit that would be personal. So

products that are sold go to support veterans, and also veterans can come in and participate in kind of learning a skill of baking, if they want. Sometimes I think that's just to clear their heads or sometimes to actually learn a skill.

So we have all of these different programs and organizations and advice, and I'm so appreciative of the Advisory Group here that will bring kind of disruptive thinking, hopefully, for us now, that we can grow and we can expand and we can have new, innovative ideas

When I was going through my confirmation hearing, I think it was Senator Todd Young, and I think he's from Indiana -- I need to check that -- but he asked what my view was about letting our veterans, instead of taking the GI Bill for educational purposes, what did we think about letting them use it to start a business. So he was an advocate for that.

On the other side of the coin, Senator
Duckworth, a newly elected senator from Illinois, who
is a disabled veteran herself, expressed reservation
about that because she said, look, I can just think
about myself returning, and if I wanted to start a
business, I might not have known how to do a business
plan; I might have needed coaching; I might have needed

it's really a very special thing for us.

So not only do I have the opportunity, thanks to the invitation of President Trump, to head SBA but to also be involved now in two areas that are very special to me, which is small business and also our veterans, and to combine those two so that we can help our returning veterans transition from the military into the workplace, either as employees at companies, as WWE has done, or to start their own businesses, and how can we support them in that effort.

I know you all have been much more involved in that for longer than I have, and I thank you for that. I am really looking forward to working with the Advisory Committee and working with members to make sure that we are providing the best assistance that we can, you know, for our veterans.

Oh, our transcriber, I thought, gave me a little signal to hold up for a second. Okay?

So that is our mission. The programs that are already in place, that need to be enhanced -- you know, our Boots to Business, which have grown, Barb was telling me this morning, to now over 200 worldwide, and I was intrigued by a company here in Washington, I think it's the Dog Tag Bakery, which I think is the coolest thing. You know, Dog Tag Chandelier and the

all of the things that this group supplies. If I had taken my money and invested in a business that I didn't really know how to operate or establish, she said, I would maybe have lost that money and lost the opportunity then for the education.

So I think those are the kinds of things that we need to really evaluate, you know, and look at as to how we can be innovative and creative. So it's my goal and my vision here at SBA to continue to increase that access to capital that our -- not only our veterans, but all of our small business developers are looking for. We want to help them with government contracts through the programs that we have here, and we want to offer continuing counseling for them through our Veterans Outreach Centers, through our women's Business Development Centers, also that have an outreach to our women veterans. So we have a lot of work to do.

I am learning. I have been here -- this will be three weeks tomorrow, and so you already know more than I know, but I'm really trying to continue to drink from the water house. I have -- or the fire hose, and I have really good help here with Barb and other people here at SBA. So I just want you to know that you have my full commitment to be cooperative with you and welcoming your ideas and your advice, and I just want

2 (Pages 5 to 8)

this to be a tremendous partnership.

I look for advice from you. When I have information also from the private sector, I will look forward to sharing that. So I think this is an opportunity for us all to work together with great synergy for the benefit of not only our veterans, but for our small businesses in general, so that we are going to do exactly what President Trump is hoping, and that is help grow our economy and look at our small businesses as the backbone and the life blood of our economy.

So I thank you for the opportunity just to chat with you for a little bit this morning and not for it to be too formal, and I will look forward to other opportunities of hearing your advice, how we can, you know, propose legislation, how we can get that through here, get it through Congress, all of the things that I know that you'll bring to the table. So thank you so very, very much for your time this morning. I really appreciate it.

(Applause.)

MR. O'FARRELL: Thank you, Administrator McMahon, for being here. Thank you, Administrator McMahon, for being here this morning -- trying to keep this on the record -- and we really appreciate the time

Obviously, there are some restraints or constraints on that having to do with the fact that we are on the record now.

So I wanted to start with -- if we can go to the next slide -- by introducing those that are in the room from the committee and those that are on the phone from the committee, if we could go around -- we don't have to follow the slide, but if we start with Fran. As you all introduce yourself, could you give just, you know, 90 seconds on your background with the organization you support, if you're with a veterans service organization, for example. Thank you.

MS. PEREZ-WILHITE: Good morning, everyone. I'm Fran Perez-Wilhite. Please excuse my voice. I'm getting over a head cold. I'm past the contagious stage, so don't worry about that.

I'm a former Army officer, then I went corporate for about 13 years, and then I decided I wanted to give back to my fellow veterans, and I have been with the North Carolina Military Business Center for the last 11 years. We help existing companies win federal contracts. We're statewide. Our services are free because we're state-funded. I am charged with the great task of helping veteran business owners throughout the State of North Carolina.

you have taken out of your day this morning to be down here and say -- you know, give your -- more than your two cents, your buck-fifty on this. We really appreciate it, and we especially appreciate the connection of you growing up in Cherry Point and having parents who were Civil Servants, who worked directly with military folks. Just about everybody in this room, if you -- how many folks in this room were -- served in the military in some capacity?

(Show of hands.)

MR. O'FARRELL: So you are looking at a room full of folks who are veterans and really -- and feel the spirit of what you said this morning. So thank you.

MS. MCMAHON: Thank you. Thank you, again, very, very much.

(Pause in the proceedings.)
MR. O'FARRELL: Okay. Good morning, everyone, again. Thank you so much and thanks for being here today. I wanted to say, first of all, I'm Jim O'Farrell. I am the newly minted chairman of the Advisory Committee on Veterans Business Affairs, so if I have any mistakes today, just feel free to laugh at me because, you know, I -- if I can't laugh at myself, who can I laugh at? So we want this to be a dialogue.

It's been very rewarding, especially when I reach out to women business -- women veteran business owners. I hear from them that I'm the first person who's ever reached out to them to help them. So that's been a great time. Not only have I helped people save their businesses, I have also found out that I have helped some people keep their homes. So it's been very rewarding. I love to be part of this committee. Thank you.

MR. FIELDER: Ed Fielder, retired Marine lieutenant colonel logistician. My company is called Fielder's Choice Consulting. I work in business development, helping small businesses and, frankly, matching large businesses to small businesses to do both commercial and government work.

MR. SHARPE: Joe Sharpe. I'm with the American Legion. I'm the director of the Employment Education Division.

MS. CARSON: Good morning. This is Barb Carson, Associate Administrator for SBA's Office of Veterans Business Development.

MR. O'FARRELL: Jim O'Farrell. As I said, the chairman and former Navy officer, and I'm a veteran business owner. I'm thrilled to have folks -- I wanted to mention, like, Ed Fielder, who mentored me when I

3 (Pages 9 to 12)

 was on the -- when I joined the committee two years ago when he was the chairman. I expect Mike Phipps is going to show up here, and the same with Mike Phipps, who is our past chair from last year.

MS. STROMAN: I'm sorry. I'm turning it off instead of on.

Good morning, everybody, Bekah Stroman. I'm the director of business and state engagement with Hiring our Heroes. It's a long title, but I work under the U.S. Chamber of Commerce Foundation umbrella. I come from a Chamber background. I have been over at the Chamber of Commerce for seven years, moved to the Foundation side about 3 1/2 years ago. What we do at Hiring our Heroes is we help businesses across the country find veterans, military spouses, and transitioning service members to employ them. So we work with both the job seeker and the employer equally, and all of the resources that we offer are free for job seekers to use and also for employers to access as well.

MR. ZACCHEA: Mike Zacchea. I am a combat-wounded, medically retired Marine lieutenant colonel. I started the Yukon Entrepreneurial Boot Camp for Veterans. I've also started the Connecticut Veterans Chamber of Commerce, and later on this

lot of times people focus just on the charter, but we actually, on the committee, have specific duties, and we have specific mandates and authority that we can act under to perform the duties of the ACVBA. So you see down there, up on the slide, A through F.

Review, coordinate, and monitor plans and programs. Promote the collection of business information and survey data. We do often get involved in requesting surveys from different organizations. For example, last year or the last two years, we had the Naval Postgraduate School looking at, you know, veteran involvement in government contracting, things like that.

Monitor and promote plans, programs, and operations of the departments and agencies. We promote plans and operations like the Boots to Business Program, a program that didn't exist several years ago and now has over 200 operations going globally.

Develop and promote initiatives, policies, programs, and plans, designed to foster the growth of small businesses. Hold hearings, sit and act at such times and places, take such testimony, and receive such evidence as the committee considers advisable.

If you all can't tell, that's what we're doing today, so -- and we do that on a quarterly basis, and

morning, I'll be presenting on the United States Veterans Chamber of Commerce, which is a new organization that we're working on.

MR. O'FARRELL: And for those that we have on the phone, could you please introduce yourselves, those that are members of the committee.

MR. MCADAMS: This is Rich McAdams. I'm the founder and CEO of ReliaONE, a former Army officer, combat veteran from Desert Storm, and been on the committee for about two years.

MR. O'FARRELL: Okay. We will go ahead and move on, then, to the next slide.

Okay. So one thing I wanted to do this morning, because we do have several new members, we have folks in the audience from the public that might be interested in knowing a quick overview of the background of the ACVBA. I'll make this really quick. The bottom line is it was -- this committee was founded after the -- based on the Small Business Development Act of 1999, and our mission is to serve as an independent source of advice and policy recommendations to the SBA Administrator, the Associate -- the Congress, the President, and U.S. policymakers.

So the next slide, please. A real quick overview of the description of the duties. You know, a

at the end of the year, we produce a report which has recommendations, which, as I said, go to the Congress, go to the Administrator who was just here a little while ago, and goes to the White House.

So we feel like this committee has an impact on the operations of our government vis-à-vis our veterans and veteran-owned businesses.

With the next slide, the success stories. I actually called Ed Fielder and I have spoken with Mike Phipps kind of over the last couple of days. One of the things when I last joined the committee -- and so I'm saying this for those of you from the public who might be here for your first meeting and those that are new to the committee -- and so what have you guys done? What has the committee actually accomplished?

And notwithstanding the fact that we are an advisory body, advising and bringing things up that need to be brought up and putting heat where heat needs to be applied can oftentime result in actions being taken by our government. And so three of those examples, Boots to Business, the Mentor-Protegè Program, and Access to Capital, they are all topics that are hot topics right now, topics that we feel like, over the years, that we -- the SBA and other entities in our government benefited from our digging

4 (Pages 13 to 16)

into these areas. I attended the IATF, the Interagency Task Force meeting yesterday, and all three of these topics were topics of discussion across the entire Federal Government, which is basically represented in that IATF.

Next slide. Okay, so now putting Mike Phipps on the spot, FY2016 -- Mike, if you could just give us -- it doesn't have to be in any kind of detail, but if you could just give us, you know, a couple of minutes on, hey, we met last year, and we came up with some recommendations that are going forward as part of our annual report, I'd be greatly appreciative.

13 Thanks.

MR. PHIPPS: No details. MR. O'FARRELL: No details.

MR. PHIPPS: So the annual report for 2016 is in its final draft format. We had a little bit of a different format this past year where the committee members themselves did their own due diligence on the topics and on the issues that we were addressing, and they came up with recommendations and some supporting evidence for those recommendations. So that's complete. The committee has that, and we're making final changes before submission.

Yesterday, during the IATF, there were several

year. There are always things to be talked about. We will talk about that today.

Entrepreneur training. We have legal matters. There's been discussion of having a -- with our board, our committee, putting forth the idea of having some legal training that can be provided to veteran-owned small businesses. The Women Veterans Business Outreach, state and federal programs, and services for veteran entrepreneurs. Certification, you are going to hear about that today from Mike Zacchea. Veteran farmer outreach, business development, and several flavors of business development, whether it's government contracting, commercial, and then the marketing side of business development. Exports, encouraging VOSB companies to export their products and services.

So these are topics that we're taking on this year and as the focus areas, but what we do know and what I know from this being my third year on the committee is that during the course of our meetings this year, other things will bubble to the surface, and they can end up being recommendations that we didn't even consider. I'm looking at Fran right now, for example, and I'm looking at Bekah, I'm looking at Joe Sharpe, new members of the committee who are bringing

members of the IATF board that had maybe some additional comments that we might be able to get into our recommendations, one being on the certification, Vietnam Veterans America had some recommendations, and so we are going to take and advise some possible additional comments for that and then hopefully finalize the report by the end of March.

MR. O'FARRELL: Okay. Thanks, Mike.

Okay, next slide. So for 2017, we have kind of a baker's dozen. It was neat that the Administrator mentioned Dog Tag Bakery. I'm a huge fan of that organization in Georgetown, here in D.C. The FY2017 focus areas, we have about 12 of those. Some of them are repeats from last year because we kind of got together as a group at the end of the year and decided that we shouldn't just hit these topics one time and then leave them. And, in fact, it was Ed Fielder who recommended some of these things, we need to keep pushing and pushing and pushing, because it takes that -- like I said, shining the light on them.

So you will see the list. For those that aren't in the room, I'm not sure that they have the -- that they're seeing the slide deck, but maybe if they -- if they aren't, the topic areas for 2017, access to capital, which is a -- one that we hit every

new and thoughtful approaches to how we can serve veteran-owned small businesses.

Next slide. Okay. So with that, we are going to move on to the next part of the agenda, and, Barb, if you want to take it from here.

MS. CARSON: Thank you, Jim. I appreciate that. Thanks for joining as Chair. This is the first public meeting we have had under your leadership, and, Mike Phipps, thank you for your leadership last year. We are accountable to you at SBA, and I appreciate the way that you have been able to provide specific guidance and expectations, and our team looks forward to continuing to serve alongside you.

I'm also pleased that today I will have more robust coverage and engagement from some of my colleagues you will hear from shortly, the Office of Capital Access Government Contracting and also the National Ombudsman, acting. So you will hear a little bit more than you usually do from SBA. We look forward to your feedback on that.

I am going to talk to you about the core areas and give you fast updates, but I will pause at any time if anyone has a question. As a housekeeping, for those of you who are attending in the room, there is a microphone toward the end where it says "Guest

5 (Pages 17 to 20)

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

1

2

3

4

5

6

7

8 9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

1

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15 16

17

18

19

20 21

22

23

24

25

23

21

Speaker," and we do want to get you on the record so that we can follow up on anything you might add to the conversation.

I am going to start with the Veterans Business Outreach Centers. As you know, we received a larger appropriation last year, and we quickly turned around to grow the area where we have the most need and the most -- the highest chance to effect change, and that would be through our veterans Business Outreach Centers. We now have 20. They are all going to expire next spring. We have used this time over the last 3 1/2 years to bring them back to their statutory mission, and they are performing extremely well. That mission is to serve, first and foremost, service members and military spouses transitioning from active-duty service to civilian life, who want to become entrepreneurs or at least think about it, be connected with the resources where they could make that decision further down the road.

So in a few moments you'll see a chart that shows the increase in their participation and their statutory mission, and they also, because there are just 20 of them, have become experts at building, within the ecosystems that exist around the country, good referral networks among other SBA resource

1 great competition in the actual Boots to Business

2 Program announcement. So I look forward to telling you

3 who was awarded. We hope to award by the end of the

4 month if at all possible. We learned some lessons over

5 this last three years, and one was that perhaps a

6 modular approach would be good, that not every 7

institution is able to manage -- although they did a

8 fantastic job, it was Syracuse Institute for Veterans

and Military Families -- but each area of expertise 10 requires special talent consideration and

follow-through that can be complex with the Federal

Government, to put it lightly.

So we did look at curriculum distinct from overseas delivery and follow-on training, the integration of resources, how do they work with SBA, how do they work within the great ecosystem, and then, finally, evaluation. Continuous improvement not only of the curriculum, but also taking a look at the outcomes. What is the difference if someone goes to Boots to Business? We want to know that. So we currently are in source selection, as I said, and I will report out to you in June on that. I will also have the results of this second survey of the Boots to Business graduates and what's happening with their business formation and more.

22

partners and with non-profits that are engaged in the same space and doing well, much like the American Legion has been an invaluable partner to us with Boots to Business Reboot, for example.

So, Ray Milano is the director of this program. He is not in the room this morning, but I will have him here this afternoon, and I encourage the members to meet him. He also extends an invitation to you to go and visit your closest Veterans Business Outreach Center before our next meeting so you really can experience the training they provide and meet some of our partners.

For Boots to Business, these are exciting times. The program was first funded in 2014, and at that time, we had a grant competition. This is, though, right now open for competition again. Actually, I will clarify. It's closed, and we are evaluating all the proposals. We did something that has never been done before, and I credit Deputy Administrator -- Associate Administrator Craig Heilman with doing a statement of interest process. It was much like an RFP, going out to see who's out there, what can they provide, how has the landscape changed since we have looked at offerors three years ago.

We got an incredible response, and, therefore,

24 For Boots to Business Reboot, we learned a lot 1 2 on this program. For those of you who are new, it's

3 the same curriculum that we deliver on installation for 4 the Boots to Business participants. This is for 5 veterans of all eras who didn't have access to Boots to 6 Business, or it's for Reserve and Guard, who are

getting shorted a bit, perhaps, on their transition experience. So the same curriculum out in communities.

The American Legion has been with us from the start on this one. Thanks, Joe Sharpe, for all that you've done

to encourage it personally and throughout your organization.

Next slide. Continuing with entrepreneurial development, also this month we will award new grants in the Veteran Women Entrepreneurship Training. It's provided for in statute. We are looking forward to working with potentially multiple new grantees in this arena. So stay tuned for that.

And, finally, the Veterans Institute for Procurement will offer its first ever international curriculum for veteran-owned businesses that want to do business overseas, and we're not just talking procurement. We're talking commercial overseas business. You know, I'll speak really quickly to what that first class demographic looks like.

6 (Pages 21 to 24)

There are over 13 states represented with the companies that are going to attend. There are approximately 52 that are registered right now. So this is an outside-the-Beltway program. This has impact across the United States, and that has always been the case for each of the VIP programs. So we're thrilled about that.

A little more, a deeper dive into the actual businesses themselves, 87 percent of them are service-disabled veteran-owned small businesses; 44 percent are small disadvantaged businesses; 13 percent HUBZone; 63 percent minority-owned; and 23 percent are women-owned. That women-owned number is big for small business. I would like to see it bigger, but I think this is an incredible diversity of the types of companies that -- the ownership types, and they also are diverse in what they offer, their sectors. So I look forward to telling you more about that in June when we reconvene, about how that first class goes.

I'm not going to spend time myself on contracting and capital this morning, as both of those questions are going to be covered by my colleagues in those areas. I do want to hit a few high-level outreach events that are coming up. Transition Summits, alongside the Hiring our Heroes, the U.S.

see it on the phone, I'll send you any of these slides that you don't have in front of you, if you write me at veteransbusiness@sba.gov. That's "veterans," plural. This shows our V-to-B throughput continues to climb. We have got over 56,000 service members and military spouses have taken advantage of the program since it was enacted in 2013. The difference -- the purple bar shows those who are receiving that instruction online, because they may be on a ship or remote or that's the way they prefer to learn. We would always encourage them to meet face to face, though. Then, finally, the little orange bar is the Reboot program. So Boots to Business Reboot, out in communities around the United States, others get the curriculum that way.

Next slide. And here's the resource partner delivery that I had mentioned. My office does grant to each of the SBA's resource partners, Women's Business Centers, Small Business Development Centers, SCORE mentors, and the Veterans Business Outreach Centers to deliver Boots to Business. It is complex to manage, but it is the diversity that we must have because they bring such different perspectives in the way they teach and the expertise that they have.

But what I'm extremely pleased about on this particular slide is the increase in share of the

Chamber of Commerce Foundation, thanks to Bekah for your membership on this committee and your enduring support of the work we're doing in transitioning service members, recognizing entrepreneurship is something that folks want maybe instead of employment, as their first choice. So you have made that possible for us to reach a lot of people.

The Veterans in Business Conference is going to be hosted by our closest -- geographically, anyway -- VBOC, at the Community Business Partnership later this month on March 23rd. Charles McCaffrey, the VBOC director there, is here today, so I hope that you will have a chance to speak with him.

And we do have a strategic alliance with the National Veterans Small Business Coalition. You will hear from Executive Director Scott Denniston later this morning, so we will be participating in that in June 2017, trying something new. That is generally for companies that are already participating in federal procurement or are ready to do it. We will provide an entire day of entrepreneurial development activities for those who are just not at that level. They are beginning. They are launching. We can help them make the right decisions along the way.

Next, some trend lines. For those who can't

1 Veteran Business Outreach Center instruction. I have

 $2 \qquad \text{asked them to -- I can't yet mandate directly, it will} \\$

3 be a new grant award next year, but they have

4 responded. They are responsible now for providing 100

5 percent Boots to Business instruction in the state

6 where they are located and 50 percent of the

instruction for any other states that they cover within their territory. So many thanks to the VBOCs for that if you're on the line.

I am going to, unless you have questions, turn it over to my colleague. I'll pause. Go ahead.

MR. FIELDER: I didn't want to interrupt your flow, but going back to Boots to Business evaluation, we have talked many times about outcome measurements rather than how many participated. I am very interested in hearing more details about what those outcomes measures were or would be, because previously we had been told by Craig that it was very difficult to collect them, and we were looking for outcome measures, something like how many are still in business after three years; how many are successful; that kind of outcome measurement. So if you could talk to that or maybe Craig could come talk to us later on about that.

MS. CARSON: I would love to respond briefly and tell you we have only done one survey thus far at

the one-year point after graduation, and we have just collected for the second time. As you know, there are limitations in survey response. The first is being able to see other data. So we are still working on that as well. RAND is specifically going to do a study with us through the Department of Defense, the broader program of assessing all TAP outcomes, and we are doing a data dictionary investigation. I have got one of my team members, John Lira, working on that, also working on an agreement with Census. I need some facts that I can see.

 And one more limitation -- these are not excuses but how we are trying to proceed -- is that I don't yet have a unique identifier for SBA clients. So there's no way for me to track that a person who attended Boots to Business then went on and touched a Small Business Development Center or a Women's Business Center and track that person along their route.

So some of that's privacy, but I can address that through appropriate channels within government. It just takes a long time. So what I -- and we are doing that, we are working on that. I need some information systems infrastructure also within SBA for that unique identifier. What I know so far from survey respondents is approximately 35 percent of Boots to

MR. FIELDER: The two statistics that you mentioned coming out of the first survey are remarkable, 35 percent and 90 percent. That's a good, solid outcome measure.

MS. CARSON: Thanks for the question and the feedback.

Any other questions?

(No response.)

MS. CARSON: Okay. I am going to turn it over to my colleague Natalie Duncan, who is the Acting National Ombudsman for the U.S. Small Business Administration, and she's a great resource and one that we haven't heard from in a long time. So thanks, Natalie, for joining us.

MS. DUNCAN: Thank you, Barb, for this opportunity, and good morning, everyone. It is a privilege to be with you, and as somebody who's been a DoD civilian for the -- for six years prior to my arrival to SBA and someone who's married into a three-generation Navy family with a husband who's a combat veteran with 41 years of service, I feel like I am amongst kindred spirits.

So in support of the Presidential transition, I was designated to serve as the Acting Ombudsman and Assistant Administrator for Regulatory Enforcement

Business graduates have started a business, of those who responded to the survey, and 90 percent of them have stayed in business since the first survey, which is just last year.

Remember, we have only delivered since 2014. So it's early, but I'm happy with where we're trending. I also -- we need to think about what's the actual outcome that we could expect after a two-day intervention. So it would not be fair to claim all credit for those starts, nor would it be a failure perhaps of the Boots to Business program to say that we don't have a higher start rate. We are becoming more tuned in to the need to connect them with resources being the biggest success promoter, that by hearing about resources and their connection then to follow on is an important outcome of the Boots to Business Program, because I have heard -- go ahead.

MR. FIELDER: I'm not familiar with the term "data dictionary," if you could help me.

MS. CARSON: That means where are all the places within the government and outside that collect information that would help us follow and learn from veteran entrepreneurs.

MR. FIELDER: Then just a final comment.

MS. CARSON: Sure.

Fairness, and rather than go through all the slides which are in your packet, I'd rather just spend a few minutes with you to talk a little bit about the office and how we could assist small businesses. And I'll take any questions you may have, and feel free to interrupt me or wait until the end.

So our office was established by the Small Business Regulatory Enforcement Fairness Act of 1996, SBREFA for short, and it is charged with assisting small businesses when they experience unfair, excessive federal regulatory enforcement actions by federal agencies, and by that I mean excessive fines, penalties, investigations, and audits. So that's by statute, but as a practical matter, we also have a number of cases come in where people have other nonenforcement concerns. So they're unhappy because their loan application is taking so long or it's turned down or something to that effect, and we will work those issues.

So we fulfill our mission by listening to small businesses and learning about their regulatory concerns through hearings that are public and forums and round tables across ten regions in the country, as well as with trade associations and other entities here in Washington, D.C., and we solicit comments and

8 (Pages 29 to 32)

complaints through a formal complaint and comment process where you can go online and just provide us with the authorization to look into the matter on your behalf and take it to the federal agency that's in question.

And so we raise awareness of these issues by surfacing them to federal agencies and working with them for timely resolution, with the aim point of saving you time and money. And we raise those issues to Members of Congress and have an annual report that we send up to them each year. We serve as really a neutral, confidential, and independent third party for small businesses to voice their concerns without fear of retaliation.

One thing that was actually very interesting to me, as I've talked to small businesses -- mind you, I have only been in this acting capacity for 30 days -- but as I've talked to my board members as well as to small businesses, there are people who have raised concerns about retaliation to me, and it's these anecdotes that really just come to life. I have to just admit that I am just absolutely stunned when I hear that. So -- and what we do is I think we do have agencies at least, you know, publicly commit that they will not engage in retaliation.

disruptive thinking.

So in closing, I just have three requests. So if you know of a small business owner or yourself are a small business owner that's hitting roadblocks due to regulatory compliance issues involving a federal agency, please direct them to us for assistance.

And secondly, we are actively soliciting nominations for small businesses to serve -- business owners, excuse me, to serve as board members and to fill vacancies within specific regions, and we have quite a number of vacancies, and the boards really by statute have to have a composition that's fairly balanced. So there is ten boards, five members each, and three of those five members have to be of one political -- one party and two of the other to have that sense of balance. So if you are aware of any high-caliber individuals who may be willing and able to serve, we're accepting nominations for the Administrator's consideration.

Lastly, if there are events that your organizations are hosting and you're looking for a panelist or a speaker, we would welcome the opportunity to address an audience that may benefit from our services and also to promote our outreach activities in the regions.

So yesterday I met with the president of the National Small Business Association, and one thing that he mentioned that was kind of interesting was that a number of entities that he deals with, and really we're a little bit confused as to what -- when to go to the Office of Advocacy versus the Ombudsman. So in kind of simple terms, if a regulation is in the rulemaking process and there is concerns or issues that you want to bring forward that could adversely impact small businesses, go to Advocacy; and if there are regulations that are already enacted and there is a compliance issue, then you would come to us to -- for resolution. And, of course, it's regulations that aren't just SBA ones, but Federal Government wide.

So by statute, we have established ten boards across -- they are called Regulatory Fairness Boards across ten regions of the country, and these board members, like most -- I think all FACA board members, are -- they serve without compensation, are appointed by the Administrator for terms of up to three years, and serve at his or her pleasure, and they help us to extend our reach by serving as listening posts out in their respective regions and bringing forth those concerns and providing us with counsel and advice and just great ideas, or as the Administrator said,

So thank you very much for your time, and I'm happy to respond to any questions you may have.

MR. O'FARRELL: Yes, Natalie, do you -- you know, at the intersection of this, being the Advisory Committee on Veterans Business Affairs and you being someone who -- your organization takes in comments and obviously issues from various small businesses, do you track veteran comments?

MS. DUNCAN: That's a very good question. So we actually currently don't do that in that there may be people who -- they will self-identify on the comment form as veterans, but they -- but unless they put it in the free text, we don't track it. So it's something that we could do and, in fact, I asked my staff that question as I was preparing, since it's only 30 days on the job, and this is not something that we can't do, but they were telling me that the comment form just got approved by OMB last year, and so to do -- to make any changes to it, it would require a change to the form that would require OPM -- I'm sorry, OMB clearance. So not to say that we can't do it, but that's what I've learned. So it may be something that's worth pursuing.

MR. O'FARRELL: I would ask other members of the committee -- first of all, do you have additional questions for her, but also, as a followup to that

question, does anyone else on the committee think it might be appropriate to have information on how many veterans are asking questions? And I was also thinking, you know, what are the top ten issues that veterans -- I mean, it just seems like an incredible source of information for us to help fix, if there are issues that we can -- I'm seeing nods from --

MS. DUNCAN: So, are there issues that you see within your domains?

MR. PHIPPS: Hi, Natalie. So one of the recommendations in our 2016 report is under the legal area, which is a lot of the issues that your organization addresses, and so we're -- one of the requests that we're going to have from the SBA is to do a study that says what are the issues that service-disabled veteran-owned small businesses and all small businesses really are having.

And so your office, being able to collect the information and the subject matter so we can first get kind of a lay of the land and really just address what are the biggest hot spots first, that's kind of the first step, and that might even be something we can even get a jump start on with the SBA and provide some of that data, or as you're starting to go through this process and at the beginning of it, maybe we set up

providers, so that's a top issue.

And another one is less of an enforcement issue, but we have heard a number of cases -- this is over several years -- is with HUBZone applications, that it's taken a long time to get it back. Yes, so those are just a few things.

MR. FIELDER: Jim, just a followup on Mike's thought, which I think is exceptional. Natalie, if there was a chance -- and, Jim, if you would agree to this -- to invite Natalie back or one of her staffmembers to sort of dissect some of those numbers in more detail, in a very specific presentation on what are the issues that all small businesses are dealing with in general, and then specifically if they can cut them out -- I don't know if they could cut them out -- but if they could cut them out and say, and these are the issues that veteran-owned businesses are dealing with specifically.

MR. O'FARRELL: I completely concur, and, Natalie, we would, on the record, like to make a formal invitation to come back -- and we can talk about whether that's at the June meeting or the September meeting to give you enough time to prepare -- but we would like to have you come back and do that.

MS. DUNCAN: Okay. I'd be happy to do that.

some metrics right away so we can start tracking that issue and really addressing the hottest spots first.

MS. DUNCAN: Yes, that would be great. So if -- one way that -- in terms of formalizing, you know, the -- that thought is, you know, if you wanted to put that in a comment form and, you know, identify those issues, we certainly will look into it.

In terms of looking at small businesses writ large in terms of what are the top issues we face in terms of just the sheer volume of comments over the last several years, the number one has been with Centers for Medicare and Medicaid, CMS, part of HHS, having to do -- so that's part of it, and it's really three parts. So one is having -- and it's tied to, you know, the Affordable Care Act, and so there is a -- and also -- so a few things.

So one is having to do with competitive bidding practices of CMS, so that's one that's an issue, and the other is -- so in terms of the reimbursement rates. Then the other is having to deal with excessive audits by CMS. Then really a third dimension is that any of the cases where there's appeals, that it's -- that there's a backlog at CMS for adjudicating the cases that are appealed. A lot of them we hear from small business providers that are medical durable equipment

Just a note is that I think, as I mentioned earlier, is that in terms of how it's currently tracked, so if somebody tells us in their comment form that they're a veteran-owned disabled small business, then we would know that, but if they didn't identify themselves, then there's no way we would know that. So we can only track -- so that's why the statistics may be incomplete, but we could -- and they would state that in their free flow text. So we'll do what we can with the data that we have, understanding that there are those caveats.

MR. O'FARRELL: Okay. And if you have -- is there some sort of a longer term plan for updating the form? Do you do a three-year plan, a five-year plan, so that -- in other words, I understand completely that they just got the plan through OMB or the form, and so making a change to it is -- but if there's, you know, kind of like a software release, "Hey, in the next release, we are going to add this new capability," so that it's on the list, and if we can help move it to -- higher on the priority list, we would be happy to make a recommendation for that.

MS. DUNCAN: So I think that's a good point, and I don't think there's currently a plan, but it's something that we should do. And, of course, any time

10 (Pages 37 to 40)

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

1

2

3

4

5

6 7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17 18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

1

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

43

44

41

you make a change like that, we should, you know, incorporate additional changes from other groups too, so...

MR. O'FARRELL: Okay. Thanks again, Natalie. We appreciate you being here today.

MS. DUNCAN: Well, thank you so much. I appreciate this opportunity.

MR. O'FARRELL: Next up we have the Office of Capital Access.

While they're getting situated, so this morning, from the Office of Capital Access, we have Linda Reilly, who is the chief of the 504 Loan Program, as well as Robert Carpenter, the Acting Chief of the 7(a) Loan Program, to make their presentation. Thank

MS. REILLY: Thank you, everyone, for letting us come and speak with you today. Bob and I are representing today John Miller, our Deputy Associate Administrator for the Office of Capital Access, as well as our boss, Dianna Seaborn, who is the Director of the Office of Financial Assistance. We are also not only representing the two largest loan programs within SBA, we also are -- as Natalie was -- we are also people who are from military families. I am the daughter of a Marine, and my nephew is serving in the 82nd Airborne steadily increasing, as has the 504 lending activity over the last four years. We're seeing a trend of an increase of about 12 percent when you compare them to last year for the total dollars, and we're seeing -we're expecting a total end-of-year number to hover right around the \$24 billion mark for SBA 7(a) lending, which was the lending authority provided to us by Congress last year, which had to be increased to 27 billion, and we are expecting somewhere -- to fall somewhere between that 24 and 27 billion.

We don't expect to have to go to Congress to ask for an increase to the authority at this time based on these trends; however, we would love nothing better than to go to Congress and say that the program is doing great and we need more money and the authority to provide for that. This is not subsidy, this is not taxpayer funds; this is just the authority for lending. We don't use any subsidy for this program. So it's a zero subsidized program, and as long as it stays a zero subsidized program, we can provide fee relief.

So if we can go on to the next slide, and --MR. O'FARRELL: Before you go on to the next slide, can I interrupt?

24 MR. CARPENTER: Yes. 25

MR. O'FARRELL: This is Jim O'Farrell, the

42

right now. Bob is an Air Force family military person. So I was so happy to hear that Natalie had Navy background so that all the Services were represented today.

So we want parity for veterans, and we also are proud of what they've done. My father, when he started his small business, would have loved to have known about the things that are available today. So Bob and I, in our mission as chiefs of the programs, we want to encourage as much veteran outreach as we can, because having the programs strong and having opportunities for our veterans is one thing, but making that connection, that's where we need your help. So thank you for being here and helping us to serve the mission of SBA and veterans outreach.

So Bob's going to talk to you about the 7(a) program. I'll talk at the end about the 504 program. The 7(a) program has a lot more fee incentives specifically for veterans. The 504 normally just has a fee waiver for all businesses when we have one. So I am going to turn it over to Bob.

MR. CARPENTER: All right, good morning. Some of you were here yesterday, and this will be old hat for you, but anyway, as you can see, the 7(a) lending activity over the last five years or so has been

Chairman again. So if we go back one slide --1 2 MR. CARPENTER: Sure.

> MR. O'FARRELL: -- so can you talk to us about the number of approved loans and -- in 7(a) and why that number has dropped in '17 versus '16, '15?

MR. CARPENTER: The number of loans? It's -right now, we're just seeing the -- the -- a bigger loan volume, but we're seeing numbers slightly less. But if you look at what they were compared to '15, they're right around the same number. We're -- we have had some regulatory changes recently, and I think right now there's a number of factors. The banking industry is kind of in flux. We're seeing changes in regulatory issues with the banking industry. We're seeing a lot of transition in the overall economic factors. So we're -- everyone I think is just kind of taking a look back and saying, "Let's see what happens and pans out," so --

MR. O'FARRELL: So let's see what happens with the new Administration, in other words, with the --

MR. CARPENTER: Exactly.

MR. O'FARRELL: -- deregulation that may be coming?

MR. CARPENTER: Yep, yep. MR. O'FARRELL: Okay, thanks.

11 (Pages 41 to 44)

MR. CARPENTER: That's my personal belief. That's not the agency's opinion, but my personal belief is I think it's just take a step back and see what pans out before we move forward, see what happens.

Anyway, the next slide is there, is the loans approved to veterans, and you can see a slight decrease to the loans approved to veterans for this fiscal year. This is -- these are the numbers as of January 31st for each year, so we can compare a date and time so you don't have the seasonality issues and -- affecting this or -- you know, these aren't end-of-year numbers. These are just for the first four months of the fiscal year for each of these years.

We had a dramatic increase in loans to veterans last year, and that was primarily because of the fee relief that was increased last fiscal year, and much of that fee relief has been reduced this fiscal year, which may be the primary cause for this. And the other cause we believe to be the decrease in loans to veterans is just a coding issue, where if -- prior to this year, any loans up to \$5 million could get benefit of fee relief if you were a veteran. So there was an incentive to state your veteran status and provide your DD-214 to the lender and SBA.

That fee relief was reduced from loans up to \$5

heard the words that we think it's a coding issue, but we don't know definitively that it is.

MR. CARPENTER: Exactly.

MR. O'FARRELL: So let's take an example. Did I hear -- I heard a minute ago the Ombudsman said there are ten regions. Are you all -- are you broken into ten regions when you gather data or do you go right down to the --

MR. CARPENTER: It's national.

MR. O'FARRELL: Okay. So nationally --

MR. CARPENTER: We can break it down to -- we could break it down to zip code if we need to.

MR. O'FARRELL: Okay. So maybe we don't need it by zip code, but I was thinking if there was a way to understand maybe some of these -- is it happening nationally that the coding issue is occurring everywhere, every place, every time, or is there a way to decide or figure out if there are may be some best practices out there that are getting past this coding issue and are actually getting us the real data?

MS. REILLY: One of the reasons that we think it's a coding issue is because it's inconsistently reported between loan size cohorts.

MR. CARPENTER: Yeah.

MS. REILLY: It's consistently reported on some

million down to a cap of \$500,000. So the larger loans no longer get the fee relief to veterans, but the smaller loans still do, and we anticipate that because these larger loans no longer are getting the fee relief, that they may not be incentivised to disclose their veteran status and provide the additional documentation, the DD-214, for instance, to show that -- their veteran status.

As such, it's all voluntary to disclose this information anyway, so if I'm not going to get a benefit for it, why should I disclose it? And we think that's a coding issue, and we're stressing to the field that we're encouraging lenders to have their borrowers provide that data, especially for the veteran status. We understand race, ethnicity, and gender, and veteran status is all voluntary information to collect; however, we're trying to get the most accurate and best data to provide for tracking purposes.

MR. O'FARRELL: So what's going through my mind right now is root cause analysis. So if we asked enough of the why, why, why questions, I mean, hearing that it is a coding error is --

MR. CARPENTER: Not a coding error. A coding ssue.

MR. O'FARRELL: A coding issue, but -- I also

1 size loan cohorts. It doesn't appear to be

consistently reported under others. And when overall lending appears to be increasing, but then you see that

lending appears to be increasing, but then you see certain cohorts of loan sizes, you see anomalies, it

5 makes us think we need to dig deeper to see if it is

6 truly a coding issue or if there's something else at

play. And so the regional approach is another thing,

if we could also maybe layer that in, we could suggest

that. I know the district offices and the RAs each

have regional reports that have to be corrected. So

have regional reports that have to be corrected. So

we'll take that back to our bosses.

MR. O'FARRELL: So I think you understand what I'm saying. If in a region all loans are going up but the veterans aren't, but in another region, all loans are going up but -- and veteran loans are going up as well, there's something --

MS. REILLY: Other economic factors.

18 MR. O'FARRELL: Right.

MR. CARPENTER: Yeah. Well, the numbers we have for the underserved lending report reflect for loans under \$350,000, which includes all of those loans to veterans that receive the fee relief, the percentage of loans to veterans is actually up 7.6 percent. So we are seeing an increase where there is the incentive.

MR. O'FARRELL: Okay.

12 (Pages 45 to 48)

MR. CARPENTER: However, where the incentive was there last year and it is no longer there, we are seeing the decrease, where everything else is up. So we think it's just, "I don't want to go through the extra work to get the paperwork, so I'm just not going to say it."

MR. O'FARRELL: Okay. So how does that -- how do we -- Mike, you're looking like --

MR. PHIPPS: So, there -- and thank you, guys, very much for what you've done, and I've had the opportunity to hear you guys yesterday, so I have probably been able to collect my thoughts a little bit. This is Michael Phipps.

Some of the issue is they can't force people to give the information, so if it is a coding issue, it -- their office can't be responsible for telling the banks that you have to collect this data because it's voluntary. There may be other steps that we could discuss to encourage that.

One of the things that stuck out yesterday and that you've repeated today is that the loan cap for fee relief is capped at 500,000 because we're a zero subsidy program.

MR. O'FARRELL: Right.

MR. PHIPPS: So my question is, is there

MR. O'FARRELL: So one thing we might want to do just to get on the record is that we would consider having the subsidy team come in and make a presentation and talk a little bit about --

MR. CARPENTER: Thank you. They may be able to.

MR. O'FARRELL: Yeah.

MR. CARPENTER: But the fee relief currently, it went from -- like I said, we were providing half -- half of the benefit, so if you had to pay 3 percent for a guarantee fee, now you would only have to have paid 1 1/2 percent for that guarantee fee last year for it. So we had the transition. You got 50 percent savings on the fee for anything over 700,000. This year, it's been reduced to 500,000, and there is no fee for the first 150,000 of any 7(a) loan. There is no fee for anything up to 350 under Express. And there is half the fee for other 7(a) loans up to 500,000. So the veterans still get benefit of that.

The other issue is the ongoing guarantee fee that is required to be paid by the lender and not to be passed on to the borrower. This was provided to the lenders last year, and they were saving the ongoing fee of 47.3 bps on the outstanding guaranteed portion of that loan.

25 that loan

anything that you guys are doing or could do to get back to -- even if it's not the \$5 million mark, you know, the 1, 2, and maybe use some of the fee collection to encourage larger loans?

MR. CARPENTER: Well, the -- as to the fee collection and balance of what level do we offer the fee incentive to veterans, that is strictly based on the subsidy models and the economic factors, and our subsidy team, they dictate that to us, and we have to weigh the differences to keep at zero subsidy, because if we -- if we have to go to Congress and request subsidy, all fee relief goes away, period.

MR. PHIPPS: All right.

MR. CARPENTER: So statutorily, right now, we have fee relief only for SBA Express loans, one loan program, up to \$350,000. Currently, we are providing fee relief to veterans in excess of that based on the subsidy modeling, but as we can see, with changes in the subsidy modeling -- and we are expecting additional changes with the new Administration. They're taking a more conservative approach to this subsidy modeling. We are seeing the reduced factor. So currently we are providing all 7(a) loans, up to \$500,000.

If you can go to the next slide, and I'll go to the fee relief.

MR. PHIPPS: What's a bp?

MR. CARPENTER: Basis points, so basically 0.473 percent, and that fee increased slightly to 0.546 percent this fiscal year, as part of the subsidy model. In increasing that, we also took away the fee relief to the lenders when they made loans to veterans, and they were receiving that fee relief for the smaller loans only, up to 150. Anything over 150, they still had to pay the fee, but there was -- there is no incentive now for the lenders to even accurately disclose that, because they're not getting the fee relief. So you have two sources there.

If the borrower is not getting the fee relief for the larger loans, there is no incentive for them to notify us. If the lender is not getting the fee relief on their ongoing servicing fee, there is no incentive for them to even verify it. So we think that these reductions and the levels have had a coding issue and are causing this coding issue.

There may be other factors as well, you know, Bekah may be doing her job so well that they are just placing people into employment as opposed to starting their own businesses, so --

MS. REILLY: The Office of Credit Risk Management utilizes a mission scorecard, and so 13 (Pages 49 to 52)

something like that that would track participation of veterans regardless of how we might dial up or dial down the fee relief, might be something that would be an incentive for the lender to say, well, we want to always identify the veterans, because it would help us with our mission scorecard, even if -- even if the percentages of fee relief change over time.

So we don't know how that will go. That's only been introduced in the early concept stages.

MR. O'FARRELL: So you don't necessarily have a timeline or is there some kind of a general timeline of when that might be rolled out?

MS. REILLY: The Administrator is only now just reviewing it with OCRM, so we would never want to know -- I mean, the Administrator will have to tell us if approximate she's even interested.

MR. O'FARRELL: Okay, okay.

MR. CARPENTER: All right, and the next slide.

All right. You're seeing here the total fee relief in the last five years, as you can see, and fee relief was introduced in 2014 and significantly increased in '15 and in '16 was the maximum fee relief. We don't have the numbers right now for '17. I believe it's close to about 2 million in savings, a little more than that maybe, but we are on pace to do the same

long-term fixed interest for 20 years is something that people might not be aware of. It's one of those if I don't know, you know, I wouldn't know to ask for it. So that's why raising awareness is so important to us.

And energy efficiency also, too, if they're working on a project that would have an energy-efficient component, they can also be eligible for the higher loan amount of 5.5 million, and they can apply more than once. So how this is different is with the 7(a) program, you can apply more than once, and your maximum is 5 million in the 7(a) program.

Next slide, please. So what happens with the 504 program is that if you don't have manufacturing or if you don't have energy public policy in 504, you are also capped at 5 million; however, for manufacturing or energy reduction, not only can you go up to 5.5 million, but you can apply more than once. So what we want to caution our veterans is, is that as you're structuring a project, sometimes they may have some 7(a) financing and some 504 financing, and we want to make sure that they maximize everything that they are entitled to, have access to.

So we caution them to apply for the 50 -- the 7(a) first, because the 7(a) maxes out, but in the 504, you can apply for 504 after 7(a), and you have a higher

approval in loans, slightly higher in loans. Like I said, we're at about 7 1/2 percent increase for total loans to veterans under the smaller fee relief structure.

But we're seeing at least 35 million -- you know, 33 on this chart, add fiscal year '17's numbers and you have got \$35 in cash returned back to those veteran business owners. So they can do with that to expand, hire, improve their business standing.

All right, the next slide.

MS. REILLY: Many of you have already heard presentations in the past about the 504 loan program, and we don't want to drill down or repeat old information. You are already aware that the 504 program can be 40 percent of a project, that we need a third-party lender to do the first position.

One of the things that people might not focus on or be as aware of is that the 504 program can offer a higher loan amount than the 7(a) program. Our manufacturing can -- loan debenture can go up to 5.5 million, and the borrower can apply more than once. The 504 Refinance Program is maxed out at 500,000, but that's -- we have a refinance option for refinance with expansion and refinance without expansion. So having the opportunity to refinance at a fixed-rate --

limit, and you also can apply more than once. So that's something that a lot of our vets don't know, so we appreciate your interest in learning about this so we can get the word out more consistently in our veterans communities.

Another thing, too, is that while we don't have the fee relief that the 7(a) program has had -- obviously fee relief is set by statute, and we can't control that -- but what we have is that we have -- in the program we have a goal for serving veterans, and we have the authority to reduce the dollar-per-job creation requirement. So for the 504 program, that's one job for every \$65,000 of assistance that you're asking for, and with our veterans, we're allowed to be a lot more flexible with that goal.

We're a job creation program. We never want to say we don't require jobs. We're a job creation program. But what we are allowed to do, by statute, is to be a lot more flexible. If they are not meeting that one per \$65,000 ratio, we can adjust it down to whatever the veteran needs and still have them to be eligible to qualify. So that gives them an incentive for being a veteran. So there's that.

And then, you know, I'll reference back to my comment about my dad. My dad would have loved to have

14 (Pages 53 to 56)

had contact with a certified development company. Bob has 4000 lenders in his network of 7(a) lenders. We have 260 one-shot economic development shop certified development companies. There's one in every state but Alaska, but Alaska even has coverage, because we cover them through Washington State. We have loans -- 504 loans in every zip code of the country, and so does Bob.

So we have one-stop economic development shops because many times the borrowers that our CDCs are seeing, they are startup businesses. They don't know much about finance. They don't know where to start. And so the certified development companies that are in our network, they not only know our program, they also know 7(a), and they also know every state incentive in their state. So we like to make sure that veterans are aware of the Certified Development Company National Network because it is a tremendous resource to them and it can help them -- they can go in not knowing anything about where to start, and they can get one-on-one, in-person assistance right there in their community.

MR. PHIPPS: This is Michael Phipps.

Linda, can you tell us where we would -- where a company can find a certified development company and how we get access to them?

proposed or -- and this is a proposed rule that we put out and published in August and received comment back, and we received no negative comments whatsoever on adding the memorialization of that statute to the regulations.

So that is one where it would, I think, emphasize it a little more and put it in reg and get it out there and have it known, that there is this fee waiver for veterans, because it's currently not published in the regulation, it's only in the statute, and if you talk to lenders about statute, they don't even know where to look for that. But they know where the reg is. They know where 13 CFR is, especially Section 120. So we see that, and if we can get that in the reg -- I know it's a new reg and we have to take two out to put one in, but it's one of those things where we think it's important enough to put it in the reg and memorialize it.

MR. ZACCHEA: I understand what you're saying, and it seems to me like this is an ideal opportunity to identify regulations that may have a suppressive effect on --

MR. CARPENTER: Yes, and we are taking a look at that internally right now within the agency and with our resource partners and the industry. We're looking

MS. REILLY: We have a map of the certified development companies that has, like, a search engine by state. It's already on the SBA website. We will send that link to Barb and let her share that with this committee.

MR. PHIPPS: Thank you.

MS. REILLY: Absolutely.

MR. O'FARRELL: I also just wanted to mention, for those members of the public that are here today, to restate what Barb said earlier, if you have any questions for our guest speakers today, please come up to the table and voice your concern or question.

MR. ZACCHEA: My question is for Robert. Very early on in the first slide that you mentioned, you talked about possible regulatory changes. Are you aware of or can you identify specific regulations that would have a measurable effect on the number of loans that are made?

MR. CARPENTER: Not offhand. There are a number of regulatory changes that we've had. We did a big reg cleanup last year, and one of those regs was to memorialize the HR-2499 Veterans Entrepreneurship Act of 2015, and we were going to memorialize that in reg, and that has been put on hold due to the Administration change. They're taking a look at all regs that were

at regulations that may have impact and that may need to be revised or repealed, and we're looking to do that right now. We're creating a list. I got off the phone with our director this morning, and we're putting together a list to take to the Administrator for next week of the regulations we think would have an impact by removing them, a positive impact by removing these regulations.

MR. ZACCHEA: Okay. So just to finalize --MR. CARPENTER: I can't speculate on which those regs would be.

MR. ZACCHEA: Right. Just to -- what I would like to make a recommendation to, or the Chair of the committee, is maybe we could offer support in identifying regulations that suppression --

MR. CARPENTER: Yeah, feel free. My email is robert.carpenter@sba.gov, and you can send me -- if you have an issue with any regs, specifically within 13 CFR -- I can't deal with regs on Treasury or --

MR. ZACCHEA: Right, understood.

MR. CARPENTER: -- banking regulations, but 13 CFR, and any regulations you think may have a detrimental impact, we would gladly take a look at those to see how we can revise them or if they need to be repealed or revised.

15 (Pages 57 to 60)

a break, and it is going to a shorter break than we had

MR. O'FARRELL: Okay, so we are back on the

planned so we can try and get somewhat back on

record. I am very pleased this morning to have not

only a member of our ACVBA committee but also an

outstanding person who I'll give a shameless plug for

schedule, so if we can take five minutes.

(A brief recess was taken.)

Thank you, Barbara.

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

61 63 MR. ZACCHEA: All right. Thank you, sir. 1 1 his book that he's just published called The Ragged 2 2 MR. O'FARRELL: And I would also open that up Edge, about his time in Iraq leading a battalion of 3 to the public that are here today, and Barb gave her --3 Iraqi Army folks that he had to -- in terms of the email address for the committee earlier. Actually, 4 4 reconstituting the Iraqi Army, a great book. Mike, I'm 5 it was for your office. I noticed it wasn't your 5 sure you will introduce the other members of your team personal email address, which is good, but send those 6 6 that are here today, and you're going to be talking 7 emails with that information. I'm sure there are 7 about the United States Veterans Chamber of Commerce. 8 people who are here in the public domain who have some 8 MR. ZACCHEA: All right. Thank you, Jim. 9 ideas on regulations that might be cast aside with the 9 So many of you know me, Mike Zacchea. I'm a 10 new Administration, that would help veterans get loans. 10 medically retired Marine lieutenant colonel, have been 11 MR. PHIPPS: It's actually our first 11 working in the area of veteran business and veteran 12 recommendation from the committee this year, is to 12 entrepreneurship now for about nine years. I started a 13 evaluate regulations at the SBA level, and so if your 13 program at the University of Connecticut called the 14 team would maybe take a quick look at our 14 Entrepreneurial Boot Camp for Veterans. It's basically 15 recommendations to hone that, because that is going to 15 a subsidiary of the Institute for Veterans and Military 16 go to the White House, Congress, and to the 16 Families. Several years ago, four years ago, I started Administrator, and that might make it a lot more 17 17 the Connecticut Veterans Chamber of Commerce, and we 18 18 have been very successful in getting laws passed that 19 MR. CARPENTER: Please send them to us. We are 19 promote veteran business ownership in our state. So 20 meeting later -- actually --20 based on market feedback, a lot of people from around 21 MS. BULLARD-MARSHALL: Are these comments to 21 the country have contacted me about recreating their 22 Mr. Carpenter just for capital or all SBA regs? 22 own state chambers. 23 MR. O'FARRELL: Capital access. 23 UNIDENTIFIED: This is us on the phone. We 24 MR. PHIPPS: Linda, should I send that to you, 24 don't hear anything. Is anyone speaking yet? 25 our recommendations, or I don't have --25 MS. CARSON: Just a moment. We're working on 62 64 MS. REILLY: You can send it to Bob and I both. 1 the audio. Thanks for the heads-up. 1 2 You have got --2 MR. ZACCHEA: Is that better? Can you hear me 3 MR. PHIPPS: I have got Linda's email. 3 on the phone? 4 4 MS. REILLY: He's got my card. I can put yours UNIDENTIFIED: Yes, thank you. 5 5 on it. If you send it to me, I'll send it to Bob. MR. ZACCHEA: Okay, great. So Mike Zacchea 6 MR. O'FARRELL: Did the speaker on the phone from the University of Connecticut Entrepreneur Boot 6 7 Camp for Veterans and the Connecticut Veterans Chamber 7 have any other comments? Did we answer your question? 8 8 MS. BULLARD-MARSHALL: I just wondered about, of Commerce. We are starting a United States Veterans 9 is there a place where I could send comments that are 9 Chamber of Commerce. Our website, usvcc.org, just went 10 outside of capital regarding regulations for SBA that 10 live on March 1st. So we are off to a soft launch. 11 we might conduct? 11 And I have with me in the audience today, Adrian 12 12 MR. O'FARRELL: Yes. The Ombudsman would be Guglielmo is our vice president of marketing. Jay 13 the place to send those. 13 Wesley is supporting us. He's from Pitney Bowes, and 14 MS. BULLARD-MARSHALL: Okay, I wasn't sure. 14 he's supporting us on supplier diversity. We have Pat 15 Thank you. 15 Birmingham from WBENC, and we have Mike Braham, who is 16 MR. O'FARRELL: With that, we are going to take 16 the chairman of the executive board of GI Film

16 (Pages 61 to 64)

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

that.

Festival. They are all members of our team.

Can we go to the next slide, please? All

right, so this is our mission statement. You can read

Okay, the next slide, please. All right, so

several weeks ago, a law firm to do our organizational

consents. We are applying for a 501(C)(6), which is a

membership dues organization, a 501(C)(3), which will

about the chamber. We are -- have just engaged,

be a charitable organization, and a 501(C)(4), which is an education organization. It will be an umbrella organization.

We are also working on a congressional charter. We have engaged legal counsel to develop the congressional charter, and we are working with legislative staffers on the Hill, both in the House and the Senate, on that. We are aware of what we need to do, and we hope to get that done soon.

Also, we do have some strategic partners at this point. Pitney Bowes is one of our strategic partners. IBM -- and this is just very recent, breaking news -- has agreed to come on board, is providing us with an IT platform for supplier diversity contracting. And then WBENC, WBENC is central to this. We are using the WBENC model for certification of women-owned businesses, but we are translating that to certification for veteran-owned businesses.

Next slide, please. Okay, so this is our organizational structure. We have a national umbrella organization. We anticipate having state -- we actually do have several chambers now that are members in the state -- several state chambers that are members, and we are anticipating a -- probably in the summer a training week where we will start -- we have

match-making sessions, education. Very specifically, we're talking about veteran business leader education. We're working with Fred McKinney at the Tuck School for his supplier diversity leadership program. Our charity piece would provide scholarships to veteran entrepreneurs to attend that program.

Financial tools, we talked about the Leaders Scholarship in healthcare. So one of the things that we're very sensitive to, and in my EBV program, we have had a number of veterans who have had to shut down their businesses because of health concerns. Going forward, we're aware that people sometimes need to step back from their business because of ongoing health concerns, particularly if they're combat veterans. So we want to create a program for business continuity for veteran-owned businesses, so that health concerns do not force them out of the marketplace.

The Veteran Employment Program, we're working with Jeff Klare at Hire a Hero. The Veteran Superstore, we will talk about this in a little bit, but that's going to be a retail piece where veterans who sell -- who create and sell products retail will be able to collect -- basically working with Amazon to sell their products. Veteran-owned business-to-business, so, in other words, veteran-owned

people from 14 states that will be starting their own chambers. And then, finally, we are looking at regional. So, for instance, the big states, California, New York, Florida, will have -- we will break down into either regional or municipal chambers for certification.

Next slide, please. So here are our chamber programs. The key to this is live certification. Our intent is to remove certification from government auspices, bring it as a chamber function, and then push it down to the state and the --

(Brief interruption.)

MR. ZACCHEA: Okay, thank you. Sorry about that.

So to take certification, privatize it, and push it down -- decentralize it and push it down to the local level. So we want the state -- primarily at the states, because that's where veteran businesses are being organized with the states and secretaries of state. The idea then would be that we have a single-source certification that is acceptable for corporate, for municipal, for state, and for corporate contracting, as well as several other programs.

So you can see here, we're talking about match-making sessions -- supplier diversity,

businesses doing business with other veteran-owned businesses. The Purple Pages, member directors.

And so if you know Angie's List, I get calls virtually every day from people who want to do business, say, for instance, with, you know, veteran contractors, electricians, carpenters, you know, virtually anything you can imagine. So what we really want to do is create a membership directory for those kinds of service entrepreneurs.

Then, finally, I want to draw your attention to the IBM Supplier Connection. This is a database that IBM runs. IBM has just committed to us that all of our veteran businesses that we certify will automatically be registered in their Supplier Connection database. So they have 60 corporations now in this database, so we are offering them a dramatic expansion of the number of veteran-owned businesses that would be in their Supplier Connection database. So that's a significant value-add.

Next slide, please. All right, so we talked -- I talked to this a little bit earlier, but we want to privatize the certification process, decentralize it, and it is not just based on but it is the WBENC line for live certification. We want to eliminate the self-certification, and we also want to eliminate the

17 (Pages 65 to 68)

or we want to have a site visit so that we can see how the business is being run and who's running it.

On a -- we will implement a quality control model with annual audits, including an attestation for the corporate members, that the corporate members are actually doing what they're saying they're doing and meeting the goals that they have set for themselves.

Peer review. Obviously, we have the birth/death rate of businesses, and we're sensitive to that. So we've found that a recertification process every two years is a necessity. We also intend to certify or work with Gold Star Families, many of whom need to start their own businesses in order to support their service member who is not able to be in the workforce. And then, finally, our certified businesses will get a USVCC seal, which will be serialized so that it cannot be copied illegitimately.

Okay, the next slide, please. So this is how it's going to work. This is our process. Pitney Bowes -- so, it's the IBM platform, but it's the Pitney Bowes process, so that's the partnership there. So you can see registering on the supplier diversity portal will begin the process, and then when they're certified, they're automatically entered into the database.

When I run my program every year, all of our products come from veteran-owned manufacturers.

Next slide, please. And then corporate, government, and non-profit membership, we expect, you know, that we will be -- our ecosystem will include both corporate and government entities at all levels, the municipal, the state, and the federal level. We -- and I will talk about this in a bit, but we expect to and hope to partner with SBA in facilitating the contracting efforts. And then we also are very interested in veteran mentoring, veteran businesses -- veteran business owners and SCORE executives who are veterans, and we have this model in Connecticut, mentoring veterans who are coming into business. We think that's an important piece to it, and we have been very successful at the local level doing that. We would like to emulate that nationally.

The next slide, please. So our first-year targets, we had our soft launch. In a slide or two, we are going to be showing you our board of directors and our board of advisors. We are going to have a hard launch in May. We have it -- we are working on an event at Aetna in Hartford that will sponsor our program. We should have something on the order of 100 to 150 corporations come to that. You see the rest of

Okay, next slide, please. All right, so here is our employment program, Hire a Hero. It has been in business since 2004, and they have employed and have records and they partner with Monster, but they have employed, you know, tens of thousands of veterans at this point or helped tens of thousands of veterans get employment. We have a call later on this month with LinkedIn. LinkedIn gives a one-year free premium membership to veterans, and we would like to bring that program to the chamber and partner with them on that, so that for every veteran business that's certified, as part of their membership, they will get a free premium membership for the duration of their certification.

Next, please. So the Veterans Superstore, we talked about this a little bit. Jackie Burrell is a former chief merchandising officer at Walgreens. She is taking this on. She has worked with Amazon to create a platform on Amazon where veterans who sell products, you will be able to type in in the search engine, you know, "veteran-owned products," something along those lines, and that will bring you to the Veterans Superstore, almost like a virtual grocery store or a virtual merchandise store. You will be able to find products made by veterans. I, myself, use this system in the Entrepreneurial Boot Camp For Veterans.

our -- our year-end goal is to have 508 veterans chambers stood up nationally and over 5000 businesses certified by the end of this year.

Okay, next slide, please. All right, here's our board of directors and our executive team. We are very excited that Paul Bucha, who is a Medal of Honor recipient and a very successful businessman, he was chairman of Foster Wheeler and president of the Medal of Honor Society, has agreed to be the chairman of our board. We have a number of other very successful businessmen and veterans.

Pat Birmingham is here from WBENC, obviously. Ben Downing is from Drexel Hamilton; that's an investment bank in New York City. Bruce Ferris from Prudential; Scott Higgins at Veterans Advantage; Neal Lawrence is a contractor; Saul Newton is from the Wisconsin Veterans Chamber of Commerce; Pam Eason; Rick Weidman has agreed to join our board as well; and then Jim Williams who's the former CEO of Easter Seals.

Then you see our board of advisors. Bill Elmore, who was the former administrator, has agreed to be an advisor for us; and then Linda Schwartz as well, from Cleveland, comes to us advocating for veterans' healthcare. She herself is a business owner as well, so she knows both sides of the issues. Joe Wynn from

18 (Pages 69 to 72)

2

3

4

5

6

7

8 9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22 23

24

25

1

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

75

73

Vet-Force, Joe is in the audience today, and he is an advisor as well.

So this is our team. We are very excited. It's a strong team, and I think that we are going to be able to create a lot of value for the veteran business community in the very near term.

Next slide, please. So what we're asking for from the SBA is a strategic partnership. We want to work with locally the SBA Veteran Business Development Offices, the VBOCs, and I am certainly aware of the VBOC program. And then, obviously, what we want to offer to the SBA is both the support connection piece and then, you know, through our work, we would like to support the work of the advisory committee here, both in issue development and research and, you know, that kind of stuff.

So --

1

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

1

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

MR. GUGLIELMO: You know, Mike, can I just add one piece to that?

MR. ZACCHEA: Yes, sure.

MR. GUGLIELMO: I'm sorry. Should I just stand? Hi everybody on the phone. Adrian Guglielmo, I am a former consultant for Mike Bloomberg on diversity issues in New York City and now consulting with Mike Zacchea. I like those Mikes, huh?

are going to -- how are you getting the buy-in from that private sector side with the global supply chains?

MR. ZACCHEA: Right, so two pieces to that. So, one, I'll -- what I want to say is that we are talking to Jim Perduto -- do you know him?

MR. GREENTREE: He works for me.

MR. ZACCHEA: So, yeah, we have been talking to him about this. So to answer your question, we believe that a single-source certification is -- relieves all levels for certification and relieves the administrative and onus on the veteran business owner to have to have multiple registrations in order to do business with multiple entities, right? So that is, I think, the key value proposition.

The second part is that compared to what NVSBC is doing, they are not doing the -- they don't have the reach, they don't have the corporate reach that -- for our veterans who are going to be registered into the program, getting into the IBM Supplier Connection diversity database, and they don't have the process that Pitney Bowes is offering as well. So those are our value-adds over what NVSBC is doing.

Now, the next thing I want to mention is that Pat wants to address your question as well.

MR. GREENTREE: Okay.

74

So anyway, I just wanted to add in that yesterday we spoke very much about assigning a division for women-run veteran businesses, and we spoke to a former Navy officer who was going to join us. She just did her dissertation on entrepreneurship in women businesses, and along with WBENC, which I think is the premier diversity certification group and somebody that knows how to lift up businesses, we will be starting that recommendation, and we would so much like to work with the SBA on that. So that's what I would like to add. Thank you.

MR. GREENTREE: Hi, Dr. Vivian Greentree with First Data Corporation. So my question about -- well, a few just around the privatization of the certifications and devolving that to the regional or state authorities is, one, you know, what's the value proposition versus what NVSBC is doing or wants to do?

And then also, you know, so when you're talking about global companies, Fortune 500, you know, where does that fit in with -- a national certification is what we tend to gravitate towards because of -- we -we work not just between states but between countries, and so that's why that national certification piece is very -- you know, when you're looking at anyone, you know, on -- the largest supply chains for Fortune 500s

MS. BIRMINGHAM: Pat Birmingham from WBENC. One of the things that you asked about was global and national certification. So one of the advantages of utilizing the WBENC model to help the NVSBC incorporate certification into their benefits for veterans is our certification model is national. We have 14 regional partners in WBENC that execute our certification; however, any certified -- WBENC-certified women-owned business is certified nationally.

And we also have a sister organization, WBENC International, that does the same certification that we do here in the United States around the world. So we feel that we are primed to work with, advise, and support the NVSBC. We did it with the National Gay and Lesbian Chamber of Commerce when they started to help them set up a very robust certification. We are what is considered the gold standard for women's certification, as well as we do WSB certification for the SBA. So we really feel that this is a very robust model that will allow a veteran to go through the process once and get their certification and be recognized across the United States. So, thank you.

MR. ZACCHEA: I have one thing I want to add to that. So we have been approved by veterans organizations internationally, specifically, Canada and

19 (Pages 73 to 76)

77 79 1 Britain, about having similar programs in their 1 to reconcile the confusion out in the marketplace? 2 2 countries that we would work with. It's premature, For us, it might be easier to delineate, you 3 it's only in the talking stages, but we have been 3 know, the U.S. Chamber from some of these other approached internationally about this. 4 4 organizations, but there was another organization that 5 MR. GREENTREE: So, then, just one more 5 had worked with IBM and they had worked with a couple followup question then, because I know WBENC has been 6 of the top ten large businesses out there to work on a 6 national certification, and I don't even know the state 7 working with NaVOBA previous to this, so how is this 7 8 different from that partnership? And then as there are 8 of that program from our last -- from our --9 9 several certifications on the private side for MR. FIELDER: National Association of Veterans 10 10 veteran-owned businesses, what's the cost to the **Business Council?** 11 veteran-owned business? 11 MR. PHIPPS: Something like that. 12 MR. ZACCHEA: Okay. So specifically about 12 MR. FIELDER: Keith King. 13 NaVOBA, we have talked to NaVOBA as well. NaVOBA is a 13 MR. ZACCHEA: Yeah, and we have talked to Keith 14 for-profit company, right, and --14 King as well. We basically have talked to all the -all the people who are -- all the organizations that 15 MR. GUGLIELMO: It's not veteran-run. 15 16 MR. ZACCHEA: Well, it is not veteran-run, but 16 are doing this, and we have, I think, a unique model. 17 MR. PHIPPS: Are you going to work with them, 17 it's a for-profit company. This is a non-profit 18 company. It's completely veteran-run. It's -- the 18 take over their certification process, or are they 19 veteran piece is baked into the DNA of it. So I think 19 going to be in competition with you? 20 that that -- that the fact that it's a non-profit MR. ZACCHEA: We are not right now either 20 21 versus a for-profit is a significant value-add for 21 planning to either take over or to compete with them. 22 veterans. 22 We have a very different model. We have a very 23 So -- what was the other part of your question? different value proposition than what they are doing. 23 24 MR. GREENTREE: The cost. 24 They are only doing certification. We're doing 25 MR. ZACCHEA: Oh, the cost. We are using the 25 basically gatekeeping for certification into supplier 78 80 1 same WBENC model cost. So the first year for veterans, 1 diversity. So it's -- when you ask me the question, 2 the first certification, we will comp. After that, the 2 it's like saying, well, yes, they are both fruit, but 3 we're apples, he's oranges, as far as -- in the way I 3 veteran-owned business will have to pay to be 4 recertified. 4 look at it. 5 5 MR. GREENTREE: And then what is -- what is the MR. PHIPPS: Can you explain that? MR. O'FARRELL: Yeah, so let me interrupt for 6 6 difference between that and the one that WBENC is 7 one second. Because Mike Zacchea is on the board and 7 working with NaVOBA on? 8 8 MS. BIRMINGHAM: We are not working on he will be here all afternoon or all day, we are going 9 certification with NaVOBA. 9 to defer some of these questions. We do have someone 10 10 that's up to speak now, and so if we could, we can MS. CARSON: Can you come up to the microphone? MS. BIRMINGHAM: Yes, I'm sorry. 11 follow up with these questions, because he actually has 11 12 to take off for another meeting. 12 We are not working on a certification model 13 So, first of all, Mike, thanks for coming in, 13 with NaVOBA. We are -- we have worked with them on and my take on it is, first of all, you're very things in the past and done some media trades with them 14 14 passionate about it, and that counts a lot in my book, 15 because of their publications, to support diversity in 15 16 general, because WBENC fosters diversity in commerce 16 and also you appear to be, through the Veterans Chamber across all minority and diverse, but we are not 17 of Commerce organization that you're standing up, 17 18 taking a more holistic approach than just the 18 building a certification model for NaVOBA. 19 certification, although that is what we kind of focused 19 MR. ZACCHEA: And the cost is going to be --20 20 our initial cost point is \$500 for certification. on at the end. 21 MR. ZACCHEA: Right. There's an education 21 MR. PHIPPS: I think, Jim, on one of our first 22 piece and an advocacy piece and --22 committee hearings, we had a couple of organizations 23 MR. O'FARRELL: Correct. 23 come and talk to us about national certification. So 24 MR. ZACCHEA: -- and that's what I mean when I 24 my -- have you made a list of the other companies that are doing veteran certification and how are you going 25 say we have a completely different business model than, 25

you know, some of those other organizations. MR. O'FARRELL: Thanks, Mike.

MR. FIELDER: I just want to correct the record. National Veterans Business Development Council, and it's led by Keith King.

MR. O'FARRELL: Okay. So next we are pleased today to have Ken Dodds from the SBA's Government Contracting and Business Development Office to give us an update on government contracting.

MR. DODDS: Thank you. Good morning, everyone. Some of you were here yesterday, so this is the same -- the same slides you saw yesterday. I am going to update you on the recent changes to Federal Government contracting, you know, regulations and legislation.

So I think she's pulling it up right now, but I'll start with -- there it is. Okay. So, the limitations on subcontracting changes that came -- come out of the NDAA 2013, which changed the calculation and allow you to rely on similarly situated entities in performing set-aside contracts.

If we can go to the next slide. We finalized a rule in May, it was effective in June. There is an interim FAR rule open right now, and we have gone back and forth with various comments from various committees, and it's out for -- now that we have

Of course, any time you win a contract, your status as one of those can be protested. That's the self -- the self-certification protest process that we have for our programs, besides HUBZone and 8(a), and eventually women-owned small business will have to be a certification program as well based on the law of 2015.

A little different from 8(a) in that we don't review the joint venture agreements. 8(a) -- for an 8(a) contract, you have to get your joint venture approved by the district office. We are not going to be doing that, but we have specific rules that your joint venture must have, and if you win a contract, your status can be protested, and if your joint venture agreement is not in accordance with our rules, you will be found to be ineligible. So it's important, if you're a small business trying to joint venture or mentor-protegè, that you follow those rules, you know, to the letter.

One of the clarifications we have in this rule is that once you're no longer small in your primary NAICS code, you are not going to be able to continue in the mentor-protegè relationship. So it will end based on your outgrowing your size standard. So this is up and running. It started in October. Like I said, we have 104 approvals at this point.

adopted a lot of those comments, it's out for two more weeks of comments, and then hopefully you will see some movement on this rule, you know, in the next month or two.

Let's go to the next slide. We're concentrating this year a lot on HUBZone. HUBZone is one of those programs where we've never, ever met the goal. It's been around almost 20 years now. So we've made some changes to allow nonmanufacturers to participate in the HUBZone program and also to change the joint venture rules to make them align with other programs, allow HUBZones to joint venture with small businesses. So a lot of the rules for HUBZone were more strict than other programs.

Let's go to the next slide. A lot of you are probably interested in the Mentor-Protegè Program for all small businesses, so we did start that this fiscal year. We have about 104 approved mentor-protegè relationships. About 40 of them are with SDVOs. So SDVOs are the biggest group so far taking part in that program. It's a little different than the 8(a) Mentor-Protegè Program that you may be familiar with, because right now we do not certify small businesses or women-owned small businesses or service-disabled veteran-owned small businesses.

So, the next slide. This lower-tier contracting is a concept that really affects large primes, but we're -- you know, I'm just updating you. We did finalize a rule, and this is from the NDAA of 2014. It basically will require a large prime to have two sets of goals, one at the first tier, where they have a direct subcontract with the prime, and then a goal for lower tier subcontractors, where the large prime has lower tier subcontractors that are -- have to have subcontracting plans. Those are -- you know, so if a large business subcontracts to a large business and it's big enough, they have to have a subcontracting plan. So the idea is that they will have goals at both and they will be measured against both.

Let's go to the next slide. There's been some other final rules on implementing some of our stuff. This is actually from the Jobs Act of 2010. We did an SBA final rule, and now, in December of 2016, they finally did a final FAR rule on -- you know, this one has to do with paying subcontractors late and taking that into account when you're evaluating how the prime performed on the contract. Late payments should also be taken into account.

Let's go to the next slide. This is another FAR rule implementing something from the Jobs Act of

21 (Pages 81 to 84)

2010 that we did in a rule, and this was effective in November. This is about taking into account when a large prime uses a subcontractor -- a small business subcontractor to help prepare a proposal and then does not use them in performance, that should be -- there should be an explanation, and that should be considered when you're evaluating how the large prime performed on that contract.

Let's go to the next slide. Okay, so the NDAA of 2017 I think was signed in January/December. Some of the things that I'll highlight for you is, you know, we do have to provide, you know, resources on compliance with regulations. This is a -- this is something that they've put an obligation on OSDBU's mentors, SBA, PCRs, you know, everybody they could name. So I think there's a concern out there that there's -- there needs to be further education of small businesses on compliance.

Let's go to the next slide. The failure to file a subcontracting report can now be a material breach of a contract and should be considered in your evaluation of a large prime. So there is a problem out there with large primes not filing their subcontracting reports on time. OSDBUs will -- are now clearly responsible for reviewing subcontracting plans, and

All right, the next slide. Of interest to you all, I'm sure, is Section 1832. This basically says to the SBA and VA that we're going to come up with a common one definition for ownership and control. It will include the ability to use an ESOP, and it will include the surviving spouse provisions that I think are in the VA's definition but not in ours. And so we've met with the VA, and we're -- and we intend to amend our ownership and -- do a proposed rule to amend our ownership and control rules, and at the same time, they're going to amend their ownership and control rules and just tell -- you know, basically instruct you to look to our rules for ownership and control.

The other provisions will remain in place. So it -- VetFirst is still there, the CVE requirement is still there, you still have to be certified and in the database in order to compete for a VA contract. All the -- everything else, besides the VA, will be a self-certification program for now. This also -- this legislation also allows you to appeal to SBA's Office of Hearings and Appeals if you have a negative decision either getting certified or as a result of a protest from the VA. And so we're -- we're working on a separate set of procedural rules to implement that and allow that.

then we are going to be issuing examples of good faith compliance with subcontracting plans.

Let's go to the next slide. Something that they created to enable small business subcontractors to get past performance that they can use for a prime contract, we have to create a pilot program for a subcontractor that has never received a prime contractor, you know, past performance evaluation to allow them to get a past performance evaluation from the prime and then put that in CPAR so that they can use that to go after a prime contract. So we are going to have to decide, is this going to be in SBA's rules, the FAR, or outside of that? And that's one of the things we are going to talk about with -- as the transition continues with OMB and others, OFPP, to figure out how to implement this.

Let's go to the next slide. It will be a pilot program that will last for three years from the first time we actually pull this off. GAO will take a look at it, report back to Congress on how it's going. And we have to figure out -- one of the things we have to figure out is, you know, is it going to be SBA, CMRs? Is it going to be some other SBA employee, or is it going to go to the OSDBU, these requests for a subcontractor past performance evaluation.

Let's go to the next slide. Just of interest to you maybe, if you're interested in SBIR, it was extended until 2022.

Let's go to the next slide. I think that's just my contact information.

So I went through that quickly. If there's any questions, I'd be happy to take them now; otherwise, I will see you in three months.

MR. O'FARRELL: Any questions for Ken Dodds? Go ahead, on the phone?

MS. BULLARD-MARSHALL: I just -- can you hear me now?

MR. O'FARRELL: Yes, we can. It's a little bit broken. It is a little bit broken, Maggie, but we can hear you. Go ahead.

MS. BULLARD-MARSHALL: Okay. I just wondered if Ken could comment on the status of the new scorecard.

MR. DODDS: Sure. The -- right now, when we grade an agency, we give them a grade each year based on -- 80 percent of their grade is based on their prime performance, 10 percent is based on their subcontracting performance, and 10 percent is based on this peer review thing that we do.

The NDAA, I think, of 2015 or 2016 changed the

22 (Pages 85 to 88)

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

1

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

1

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17 18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

1

2

3

4

5

6

7 8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

91

89

scorecard. So for the fiscal year that we're in right now, agencies are going to be graded on -- prime contracting is only going to be 50 percent of their grade; subcontracting will be 20 percent of their grade. So the importance of prime is going down, the importance of subcontracting is going up, and then they added in a requirement to evaluate how many contracts an agency awarded to small businesses in one fiscal year to the prior fiscal year, because I think there was a, you know, obviously, we have met the small business overall goal for three years in a row now, and I think there was a, you know, congratulations, let's focus on something else.

There was a concern that the number of awards is actually going down. So while the percentages still are high, are going up, we're meeting the goal, the actual contract opportunities are shrinking, and so they want us to compare agencies from one fiscal year to another, and that will be part of their grade, 10 percent of their grade in this fiscal year.

MS. BULLARD-MARSHALL: Great, thank you.

MR. DODDS: And as far as reporting on FY16, we're going to probably -- you know, we're working on getting the data, getting the numbers, getting the peer review done, and that will hopefully be ready for

1 the ownership part, because one of the things that is a

- brake to growth is the 51 percent requirement -- equity
- 3 requirement for a veteran-owned business, and, you
- 4 know, when we talk to Ben Downing, it -- in the
- 5 Investment Bank, in terms of going public or, you know,
- 6 eventual exits from the business, that we need to find
- 7 a way to deal with either a merger and acquisition or
- 8 with going public while not losing the veteran business
- 9 owner status. That's -- you know, because there is 10 value to that. Now, if it's no longer a veteran-owned
- 11 business, then that's one thing, but the 51 percent 12
 - equity ceiling or floor, I guess, winds up being a brake to the --

13 14

MR. PHIPPS: Beginning equity.

MR. ZACCHEA: Right, to the ultimate growth of the business.

MR. DODDS: Yeah, I understand your obvious concern, but I think it's pretty standard for most of our programs, 8(a), women-owned, and, of course, service-disabled veteran, that we do require that to make sure that the benefits are actually flowing to the intended recipients. If nonveterans are owning these companies, the majority control of them, then, you know, that's -- it's just like for 8(a) or just like for women-owned business, we don't really consider that

90

issuance at the end of April. That's our target.

MR. O'FARRELL: Any other questions for Ken? MR. MCADAMS: Yes. Ken, I'm wondering if you -- you sound a little bit -- when you talked about the NDAA 2017, 1832, about ESOP and surviving spousal allowance, what did you mean on the ESOP? Is that as far as part of the ownership?

MR. DODDS: Yeah. One of the problems -- I think it's not allowed under SBA's rules, but I think maybe it's allowed under the VA's rules, and it causes a problem because the statute says you must be 51 percent owned by a service-disabled veteran, and if, you know, an ESOP owns 51 or 52 percent of you, then you are not owned by a veteran in the strictest sense of the term.

And then, you know, do we really want to get into figuring out who the employees are and are they veterans, you know, that kind of complication? So I think under the legislation, we basically will just -if you have an ESOP, that's fine. That will not be considered. So it will be 51 percent ownership basically of the remaining stock in that company, is how I think it will be interpreted.

MR. MCADAMS: I gotcha. Thank you. MR. ZACCHEA: So I'm really very interested in to be -- we don't want them to be able to participate in these contracts that are set aside for these groups if they are not owned by them.

So I think the legislation pretty clearly requires it, you know, subject to these exceptions, like for ESOPs or for surviving spouse and so forth. So you would probably have to somehow change the law, and I would be very concerned about that just because I could see a lot of people trying to take advantage of these programs that aren't -- you know, it's not intended to. I mean, to me, once you're going public or getting, you know, equity financing, you're successful, and you may not need these programs anymore, you know, you may not need these set-asides.

MR. PHIPPS: So one of the things that we discussed yesterday at the IATF meeting was just the ability for a veteran to bring equity into his company to protect the equity partner so the veteran can actually get some funds, and we're not talking about going public at this point; we're just talking about some small loans. Maybe he can't get a loan from a bank, say he's in private equity, and so the VA actually has some comments drawn up on how that can be done and how the veteran company can be protected, and we're working -- they are going to send us a copy.

92

23 (Pages 89 to 92)

23

24

25

agencies -- ultimately, the agency, their contracting

But, you know, instead of fighting all the

time, I think there's a lot of agencies, like DHS, for

officer, they make that decision.

93 95 1 It's not their official statements, but they are going 1 example, they require you to consider small business. 2 2 to send us a copy of some of those things that would They don't care how you -- what mechanism you are going 3 benefit the veteran-owned company to get equity without 3 to use. You consider small business first. That's the 4 4 kicking them out of the certification path, and we're smart way to run an agency, you know, and that's the 5 going to try to incorporate that into our final paper. 5 smart way to help us -- you know, all groups, including 6 6 MR. ZACCHEA: Great, thank you. That's exactly SDVOs. 7 my concern. Thank you. 7 MS. BULLARD-MARSHALL: Great, thank you. 8 MR. DODDS: Yeah, and let me just add that when 8 MR. O'FARRELL: Any other questions? 9 9 we -- when we do this, we will be issuing a proposed Okay, that's kind of a good segue, that last 10 10 rule for public comment. That's how we make these question, to our next invited speaker, who is Scott 11 decisions. That's how we write our rules. So you will 11 Denniston. He is the executive director of the NVSBC, 12 have your opportunity to bring that up, and we'll --12 and for those on the phone, that's the National 13 13 you know, we are certainly willing to listen to any Veterans Small Business Coalition. 14 idea that benefits service-disabled veterans. 14 Scott, welcome to the meeting. 15 MR. DENNISTON: Thank you. 15 We always look at it, where we see the bad 16 MR. O'FARRELL: Sure. 16 things, and we're always worried about, you know, 17 MR. DENNISTON: Good morning. This is Scott 17 trying to write these rules to help you but then not 18 let these clever people get around loopholes. So 18 Denniston with the National Veteran Small Business 19 Coalition. Next slide. 19 that's the concern. So anything we can do that is Our objective in the NVSBC is to help veterans 20 beneficial and that protects from, you know, fraud and 20 21 21 be successful in the federal marketplace. We can't be things like that, we will be willing to look at. 22 everything to everybody, and we figured that what we 22 MR. O'FARRELL: Okay. If there are no further need to do is to specialize in that that we know, and 23 23 questions, we are going to move on with the agenda --24 what we do know is the federal marketplace. 24 MS. BULLARD-MARSHALL: Oh, may I ask one more? 25 Before I go on, I'm remiss if I don't -- I have 25 MR. O'FARRELL: Yes. Please do. 94 96 1 MS. BULLARD-MARSHALL: I just wondered, is the 1 got with me one of our national board members, Eric 2 SBA going to continue to advocate that simplified 2 Dailey. Eric, stand up. Eric is president of Cotton 3 acquisition purchases be exclusively reserved for small 3 Medical Group, a medical supply company based in 4 4 business concerns regardless of whether or not a Indianapolis, Indiana. Don't hold it against him. 5 5 multiple award schedule is used? He's a Marine. But Eric's been instrumental since --6 6 MR. DODDS: Yeah. I mean, I think our general for the last several years in helping us grow the 7 7 position that we -- and a lot of agencies already do coalition, and our goal is to make sure that veterans 8 8 this. I mean, there's a lot of civilian agencies are given first consideration in federal contracting as 9 that -- they look at here's what I'm trying to buy. 9 a prime and a subcontractor, and we work very closely 10 10 with all the federal agencies and the major prime They figure out whether small business can do it, and 11 if small business can do it, you do it through a 11 contractors around town. 12 Next slide. So we promote policies and 12 contract, you do it through an order under your own 13 acquisition strategies that further the participation 13 contract, you do it through an order under somebody of veteran and service-disabled vet small businesses. 14 else's contract. So I think it just makes good 14 15 15 business sense. I had the pleasure of being the director of small 16 Legally, I think, you know, there are some, you 16 business at VA for 20 years, and it was during that 17 time that General Schwarzkopf ran over the desert and 17 know, parts of the Small Business Act that talk about 18 it was sexy to be a veteran again, and we had the 18 discretion and there's others that talk about "shall," 19 opportunity to develop programs to help veterans. 19 and so I think there will probably have to be a GAO bid 20 20 And I had the pleasure of serving on the protest case, you know, resolving that one way or the 21 Congressional Commission on Veteran Service Members 21 other, because we can advocate, but, you know,

Transition Assistance and then to go back to VA to

then after I retired eight years ago, I would have

actually implement that. And while I was at VA and

veterans from time to time that would come to talk to

22

23

24

25

me about a frustration that they had in dealing with the Federal Government, and it generally had to do with a policy, an acquisition strategy, something that if they went and approached the agency or the large business prime contractor, they were perceived to have a vested interest because you're business owners.

So I would say to all those folks, think about how the big guys do this, right? They organize collectively, and they have one voice. Think about AFCEA, Armed Forces Communications and Electronics Association. Think about NDIA, National Defense Industries of America. Think about SAME, Society of American Military Engineers. You can go right down the list, and every industry has, particularly in Washington, a trade association.

And I said if we, as veteran small businesses, are really serious about penetrating the veteran marketplace, we need to form an organization that can help us be that honest broker between federal agencies, large business prime contractors, and the veteran small business community. So over the last eight years, that's what the NVSBC has become.

Next slide. We think we're a little bit different because all of our members are veteran small business owners. We don't have advocates in our products and services that the Government needs to operate, and many times, some of those, the government agencies over the last few years have tried to bring those in-house. So we're part of that group, to make sure that we, as veteran small businesses, have a seat at the table.

One of the big initiatives of this group, that if you haven't heard about you will, for us old-timers in the room, we remember when we used to have White House conferences on small business. Well, this group is -- we're in the process of putting together a letter to President Trump, giving him the history of the White House conferences on small business, the part the White House played, the part the Congress played, encouraging him to sign an Executive Order that will develop what will ultimately be the next White House Conference on Small Business, which if any of you were involved in the ones in the past, the SBA had a major part in. So we would expect that SBA would have a major part in the one that we hope to have in the future.

The other thing that we have is we have an MOU with the SBA to promote better entrepreneurship.

Again, our focus is veterans that want to play in the federal marketplace, and I would argue that startup businesses, the federal marketplace is not a place for

1 the 2 for

organization. We have incredible support within our small business membership to help grow the organization. Most of you are familiar with the Kingdomware situation at the Supreme Court. We were the first veteran organization to develop an amicus brief. We were the only veteran organization to actually put money into that. So we put our money where our mouth is.

We also believe that it's important that we get veteran small businesses to not just be seen as being segregated as a small business group, so we're very active in two other organizations in town. One is the Coalition for Government Procurement, which is made up of just about all the large businesses that have federal supply schedules. That organization focuses on how we make federal supply schedules more efficient and effective for all government agencies.

The other organization that we're part of is called the Coalition for Fair Competition, and the goal of that organization is to make sure that the Government is not competing with the private sector in things that are noninherently governmental. So this whole issue of A-76 and the government contracting out, this is an organization that fights to make sure that the private sector has the ability to compete for the

them to play, and we could spend all day on the reasons for that, but we think that this is about forming a supply chain of veteran-owned small businesses to continue to grow, to take care of the national economy, and also to do business with the Federal Government.

Ken mentioned in his remarks the point of less opportunities even though governmentwide we're making the goal, and I would argue that that is because of consolidation and bundling, and one of the things that I want to talk about at the end, that unfortunately is not on a slide, because this is a very dynamic environment that we're in, and we had our D.C. dinner last night, which we do on a monthly basis at the Key Bridge Marriott, and we had as the speakers folks from the Ferlise Group. Now, the Ferlise Group is a consulting firm primarily in Eatontown, New Jersey, that supports the VA's TAC, and part of that discussion was some of the challenges that people have working in the VA environment in IT services, and I will get into some -- what some of those issues are.

But one of the other things that we know from statistics is not only are the number of opportunities going down, Ken, but the thing that's of more concern to me, as we talk about this pipeline of businesses, the number of discrete small businesses that are

25 (Pages 97 to 100)

working in the federal space -- and don't quote me on the numbers -- but if I remember it correctly, it's about half of what it was ten years ago.

So the problem that we have as a country is that we have less and less small businesses getting into the federal market for a myriad of reasons. So what does that portend for the future of small business participation in the Government to be? I think what's happening is the Government is seen as less and less of a good customer and a good match for all small businesses, not just service-disabled vets, and I think that's one of the reasons that the number of discrete businesses is going down, because people don't see the Government as a good market anymore, and on the other hand, you have more consolidation and bundling, you have more regulations.

Vic Ferlise used to be the deputy director of CECOM, the old Army Communications Electronics Command, that when they, because of BRAC, moved down to Fort Meade, they -- a lot of the old folks -- I'm a Jersey guy, so I can say this -- we love living in Jersey, right? So they wanted to stay up there. So VA started the TAC using those people, and he was frustrated about the fact that a contract today can take six months, where eight years ago, it would only take 30 days to

veteran small businesses in particular, so we could kind of -- would the SBA, do you think, be able to provide some of that kind of data to us?

MS. CARSON: There are two types. I mean, there's the census that could tell us some small businesses, and we didn't measure veteran until 2007, but if you're talking specifically about those that are participating in procurement, yes, we could look at the numbers -- right, Ken? -- in the systems from -- you said ten years back, correct? I think we could go that far back.

Would you agree, Ken? I don't know about this system. How about we -- I'll respond to the Chairman and the members of this board, and we will clarify exactly what we can provide, and we will provide it at the June meeting.

MR. O'FARRELL: Because where I am going with that question is, you know, obviously is if we could establish that decrease, and then you're setting up a meeting with the White House, and the administrator from SBA is going to be part of that group, I would assume, and then her ability to make a case for deregulation is incredibly strengthened if we can show that there is a correlation, so the supply side/demand side. We do tend to focus here on training of veteran

get that same contractor on board and actually helping the Government do whatever it does, and that's because we have problems in the federal procurement community with trained, qualified contracting officers on the government side. We have a whole myriad of rules and regulations that have come about.

RAND Corporation did a study last year that said 25 cents of every dollar that DoD spends with contractors is spent for compliance on rules and regulations. We want to know why we have a deficit problem in this country? We're killing businesses with rules and regulations.

So I know I'm getting off track, but -- Ken, thank you for leaving me some extra time, but these are some of the problems from a global perspective that we have got to figure out a way to address if we are going to be able to help all small businesses, but service-disabled vets, in particular.

MR. O'FARRELL: Hey, Scott? MR. DENNISTON: Yes, sir?

MR. O'FARRELL: Let me interrupt for one second. So this committee, I would like to ask Barb, we could request -- I would like to follow up on some of the factoids he just threw out there, the reduction of the number of small businesses overall, and then the

entrepreneurs, training of existing veteran-owned businesses, training, training, training, business development, loans, we had the access to capital discussions this morning. So -- but what about that demand side? And what you're hitting on is exactly that.

MR. DENNISTON: Right.

MR. O'FARRELL: If the demand side is impinged by too much regulation, then that could be a problem.

MR. DENNISTON: To your point, my suggestion is you have a great resource here in SBA who has been involved in this since the very beginning, and that's Major Clark, Office of Advocacy, and I know that Advocacy has looked at some of these issues over the years, because when I was at VA, I would get calls from Ian Joe (phonetic) all the time for statistics about where we were and particularly on the whole issue of the discrete number of businesses that are participating in the VA marketplace.

MS. CARSON: And I would have a request of the -- of this committee, and that is you can compel us to do research if -- but you can't just tell us what you -- we really need the research questions, what -- how would you bound it, so it takes some dedicated thought, but that's something that we could do at your

26 (Pages 101 to 104)

direction, alongside with Advocacy.

MR. O'FARRELL: Okay.

MR. PHIPPS: So how are you doing, Scott?

MR. DENNISTON: I am doing great. Good to see

you.

MR. PHIPPS: You, too.

So in our recommendations, we have a number of research studies that are directed in this -- towards this study. Scott, I would like to have you take a quick look at your organization, to take a quick look at our recommendations, to see if there's anything that we could edit before this goes up to the White House and Congress and to the SBA Administrator.

One of the things -- one of the big recommendations we have is a national service-disabled veteran-owned business, a business development program dedicated to veterans, because right now, from -- if everybody remembers, from Max Kidalov, he did the research, he has the numbers, he -- we saw the statistical analysis of how there is a decline in veteran businesses doing business with the Federal Government, and so one thing you hit on, back in Gulf War I, where the flavor of the month was veterans, we see this. Veterans are important, then they're not.

8(a) program, and that was back when SBA allowed ESOPs, allowed divestiture agreements, had advance payment funds for contract financings, and had a whole lot of tools that were available in those days that over the years have been whittled away for a whole lot of reasons.

But, yeah, I think that there's history there that shows there's an awful lot of tools that are available, if, in fact, the Government wanted to take advantage of them.

MS. CARSON: Okay, you didn't get -- no, it's good. I'm smiling at Scott. You're a great partner, and I think there was some discussion we had last year that I want to bring forward also along with those comments, that there's -- we're creating bigger pipelines. You would see that right now with 8(a), for example. Ken, I'm looking to you if I'm wrong on anything. So we have many more 8(a) companies, but we are not seeing an increase in the awards that are going to those companies, and that's been a directed award program with business development.

So I would encourage you, that you don't segment the pie too small when you -- when we do research, you -- there are some variables that are very complex here as you look for what you're trying to

ή

and the SBA historically has hindered having this dedicated program, and so when it's -- when we're not popular, those funds, Boots to Business funds, all these things go away. So what we're trying to advocate for is a legislative change that makes that a permanent fixture in the Federal Government marketplace.

If you look at the legislation, the Congress

MR. O'FARRELL: So, Mike, similar to what the 8(a) program provides, we would -- we want that for veterans.

MR. PHIPPS: Absolutely.

MR. DENNISTON: Yeah, and, Michael, to your point, we would be happy to.

Jim, to your point, one of the issues that we have faced since the beginning of this whole veteran movement is that -- and, again, I'm talking only the Federal Government marketplace -- the veteran program has been seen the same as the HUBZone program and the women-owned program, which basically is that it's a contracting program as opposed to a business development program, which is what 8(a) is.

And there's a mind-set difference there, that particularly within the veteran -- or within the government contracting community, it's very, very specific, and, you know, SBA -- I actually started my federal career at SBA and wrote the first SOP for the

learn and what you would propose to do with what we learn.

MR. O'FARRELL: Right. So just to speak from the committee's perspective, so what we don't necessarily want to do is create a situation where we open up the floodgates to -- with a new program that allows veteran-owned and service-disabled veteran small businesses to get sole-source contracts, for example, such as the 8(a), and then you don't have the demand for them through the contracting officers who don't view that as a -- for whatever reason, I mean, across contracting officers.

Do you have any thoughts on that, Scott, that particular aspect of it?

MR. DENNISTON: You know, so much of the government psyche is that the Government gets best value through competition, that the -- the 8(a) program is a little bit different because the 8(a) program is just so institutionalized. Every contracting officer in the Government has grown up with the 8(a) program. Contracting officers in the Government have not grown up with the HUBZone program, with a women's program, with a veteran program, so we have that challenge to start with, and we could have a whole discussion today about why I don't think the Government should be doing

business development in the first place, but I do believe that the Government can establish an atmosphere or a climate that allows the private sector to grow.

And just a quick anecdote, early in my career I was the assistant district director for the 8(a) program here in Washington, D.C., and I had very passionate, very dedicated people on my staff as business development people. The closest thing in the private sector that equates to a business opportunity specialist within the VA is a venture capitalist, okay? So think about the fact that a venture capitalist will generally have no more than four, five, six companies they are working with at one time. The portfolio of companies that each of my staff had was in the range of 75 to 100. They also had to throw on all of those bureaucratic requirements that we had, like an annual review and a business plan and all this other stuff.

The other challenge that we had -- and, again, these were very, very dedicated people, and this was many years ago, so I know it's changed a little bit, but they were folks who had come up through the Government in their careers. Most of them were high school graduates, but the people that we had coming in to the 8(a) program were graduates of Wharton, Harvard Business School. So they would come in and have their

government requirements. So we have a huge disconnect there.

And we want to know why the Government's expensive? It's because of this. But we as a community -- when I say a community, I'm talking about the American public -- we don't understand that, and we are not willing to put the commitment into it, to train our people to be equal to the other side. But, again, I'm getting off track.

I wanted to talk about the MOU that we have with SBA to create veteran entrepreneurship, and we signed that. Barb came to our VETS 16 event last year -- we do a national training program that I am going to talk about in had a few minutes -- and we signed an MOU to promote veteran entrepreneurship. So all the VBOCs that are funded by SBA are now members of the NVSBC. They have access and get our monthly newsletter that we put out. They have access to our matching service that we have, called Govmates, that I am going to talk about in a minute, and they get to come to our events at a discounted rate so that we can help promote that veteran entrepreneurship.

The other thing that we're doing -- VETS 17 is going to be June 12 through 15 in Norfolk, and in conjunction with Barb's office, we are developing on

"annual review," and one of my people would make a recommendation -- and, again, good, dedicated people, had never been in business, had never run a business, and they are trying to make recommendations to kids who had graduated from Wharton.

There's such a huge disconnect there that it was always so frustrating because how do you do that from a government standpoint? And I know it's a public policy issue, but it's a challenge, and that's why I said, I don't know that the Government should be doing business development. I think there's a lot of things the Government can do to create that atmosphere, to let the private sector do that, but that's tough in the Government with all the rules and regulations that we have, not only in how we operate programs but also in the personnel requirements that we have.

You know, that's why a number of years ago, I thought it was great when we changed for the acquisition community in the Government, that they had to be college graduates, because what continues to happen today, the best and the brightest get offers from the private sector, the Lockheeds, the Grummans, the folks like that, and go make double the money, and then come back and negotiate with the people who are left in the Government with all these overwhelming

that Thursday, which will be the 15th, an actual SBA

Day, which is going to be an entrepreneurial

development day for veterans. So we are going to get

4 the word out on that and have two tracks, one for

5 veterans that want to start small businesses and

6 another track for veterans that have businesses that

are looking at the Federal Government. So it will

be -- it will be Business Development and Government

Contracting 101.

And, of course, Norfolk is a great town because it's very military friendly, and working with a VBOC down there and the state director of veterans affairs in Virginia, Admiral Harvey, who is a good friend of ours, we think that we are going to be able to put in a really dynamic program, and then our hope would be to replicate that around the country. So that's -- I just wanted to mention what we're doing with that MOU.

The NVSBC, we do match-making events. One of the challenges that we have, all of us that work as part of the NVSBC, we're incredibly passionate about what we do, but we're all volunteers. We're all business owners. We've got to make money because our spouses and families like to eat. So what we're doing is we have a chapter in D.C. that's incredibly active. We have monthly dinners at the Key Bridge Marriott. We

issues.

had one last month. It's always the second Wednesday of the month. If anybody's interested in getting on the mailing list, give me your business card, and we will get you on that.

We have established a coaching program where we have some very incredibly successful veteran-owned small businesses who are blowing through their size standards, and they are now coaching -- we don't call them mentoring, because we don't want to get it confused with the VA or the SBA program -- but where we will put a successful business owner with a wannabe successful owner, I'll call it, together, and we base it on industry and geographic area. We've done a couple so far that have been very, very effective. So we want to expand that.

Monthly training, before our dinners that we do here in D.C. every month, we have what we call boot camp. Boot camp yesterday was from a CPA, and -- I call Stephanie a back office type person. She provides all those accounting services, personnel services that a company needs, but they did a two-hour workshop on how to survive a DCAA audit. What does that look like? What does your accounting system have to -- have to -- need to comply with DCAA requirements?

And I am going to talk in a minute about our

amount of work that went on the VETS GWAC plummeted. So we started our industry group to actually market the VETS GWAC throughout the Government, because the problem we had was GSA wasn't marketing it. GSA would market Alliant and Stars, but they wouldn't market the VETS GWAC. So we did that.

We tried -- we have had fits and starts of trying an industry group in the construction world, because we have got some folks that are very expert in claims, in change orders, and all those things that go on in the construction world that we want to bring to our members. My challenge is finding someone to actually coordinate that and be the chair of that industry group.

The third one we have is the medical services group, and actually Eric Dailey is a cochair with me of that group, and this is going to be unique. If we pull off what our plan is, we are going to revolutionize not only VA, but we are going to revolutionize the way that service-disabled vets are looked at by all federal agencies.

What this has to do with, we started this group last June, after VA announced the awardees of their med-surg prime vendor contracts. You are halfway familiar with the whole prime vendor concept and how VA

chapter formations around the country, but we're -- we have an incredibly successful model here that sells out every month. We have a capacity of 150 participants, and Barb has been to a number of our dinners, and it's the greatest networking event for veteran-owned small business in the D.C. area.

We also have a monthly newsletter called First Call, where we keep veterans -- veteran business owners, our members, and the people who we send First Call to up to date on all the changes on rules and regulations, anything a veteran-owned business needs to be successful in the federal marketplace, and that's put together by myself and the young lady attorney by the name of Sara Schauerte. Sara just moved down to Atlanta, but a great young lady, very committed to what we're about.

We also have industry groups because we realize that every industry is different. So we have one for the IT world, and that one we have focused mostly on the VETS GWAC, because if you remember, those of you who know of Executive Order 13-360, GSA was required to establish a GWAC for veteran-owned businesses in the IT world, and that contract, when it was first put in place, went gangbusters. And then VA established the TAC and established the T4 contract vehicle, and the

works? VA needs, if you believe VA, 400,000 discrete products to run a hospital. What they want to do is they want to standardize, collaborate -- can you go back for a minute? Thank you -- how they operate in the medical-surge world, again, to reduce costs and have consistent patient care and all those other

Well, out of those 400,000 items, VA has now had competition for 6000 of those, and now they have awarded contracts to four companies to actually manage the inventory, the delivery, the take-backs of damaged products to four what are called prime vendor contractors. There's four of them, two large and two small. Cardinal, which many of you know, is huge in the healthcare arena. The second one, also huge in the healthcare arena --

UNIDENTIFIED: Medline.

MR. DENNISTON: Thank you, I always forget them, Medline. Then we also have two small businesses, one of whom is a graduated 8(a) company, believe it or not, AMD in Florida, and Kreisers, who is up in North Dakota, I believe. So when those companies were awarded contracts -- and we know how VA operates, we know how slick Cardinal can be -- but we wrote to each of those four of those and we said, "Hey, we know that

29 (Pages 113 to 116)

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

1

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

1

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

1

2

3

4

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

119

120

117

you are going to provide certain products to the VA under the med-surg prime vendor formulary. We also know, though, that you are going to try to provide other products to the VA not under the formulary, so that means you need another contract mechanism. In our opinion, that means then that the rule to Vets First and Kingdomware applies to those other 390,000 items. So how are you, working in the VA, going to ensure the that Kingdomware is part of your deliberative process?"

What we got back from AMD and from Kreisers was letters that said, "We don't know, but if you can help us, we will figure that out." What we got from Medline was, "Well, we don't want to put anything in writing, but we will have a phone call with you." What we got from Cardinal was a very terse conversation at the VA Conference in Minneapolis last year, where the vice president of Cardinal for government operations looked me square in the eye and said, "We're going to do whatever's best for Cardinal." We said, "All right, we've got a problem."

So what we are working on, for all those other products that VA needs, is we are developing what we're calling the NVSBC Easy Button, and what we're going to do is we're developing a software platform that is going to be the Amazon for those other 390,000

1 that we do that will be in Norfolk June 12 through 15.

- 2 It's supported by every federal agency. It's also
- 3 supported by all the prime contractors in town. We
- 4 will start off on Monday with our membership meeting.
- 5 Then we're going to have our reception on a boat going

6 around the Norfolk Harbor. Tuesday we are going to 7 start off, and we are going to start off with two

8 workshops, one inside the Government and one outside 9

the Government, focusing on the new Administration and what we expect the changes to be in federal procurement

as they relate to service-disabled vets.

Then Tuesday at noon, we are going to have our Champions Awards Luncheon, where we recognize all the federal agencies and the prime contractors and buying organizations that met the 3 percent goal or 8 percent for veteran-owned small business. The prime contracting community loves this. Every one of the people that get these awards every year have -- they know how to do PR, and you will see articles in the paper all over about this award that they got from the NVSBC.

And then, of course, on Wednesday, we've got workshops planned all day, a couple other speakers that we're working on. And then, of course, on Thursday, we are going to have the day with SBA. So we are really,

118

products, where a VA purchasing agent is going to turn

on the screen, put in the product that they're looking for, and what will come up will be a list of all the

service-disabled vets that have that product, who the

- 5 manufacturer is, what the price is, and what they have
- 6 in inventory that they can deliver to VA. The VA
- 7

person is only going to have to push the button to 8

order it. Their purchase card information is already 9 going to be in the system, so we have the billing and

ordering process all the way down. So we are taking away from VA every conceivable excuse that they can use

for not following Kingdomware when it comes to the

med-surg products.

Now, what's fascinating about this is I've already had discussions with HHS. They want to use this system because they buy -- and it's growing all the time, because they are getting more and more of a role in emergency preparedness than they have ever had before. So we pull this off, this is going to be a game-changer, because it's going to be able to show where the service-disabled vet community identified a problem, didn't bitch about it, but actually came up with a solution to provide to the Government. So that's what we're doing in the industry groups.

VETS 17 is our national training conference

really excited about VETS 17.

One of the other things that we did last year, we try to -- we work really hard to keep our costs down from the standpoint of where we have the conference, to the hotel, what it's going to cost you, and everything else, and -- but we also know that for startup businesses, it can be a challenge to take a half a week or a week away from your business, have all the expenses required to come to a conference, the conference registration, the air fare, the travel, the hotel, meals, the whole works. So one of our members had a great idea last year. We need to raise money for scholarships. All right, how the hell are we going to do that?

So what she came up with was a T-shirt sale. I know you are going to laugh and say, "All right, how much are you going to make on a T-shirt sale," right? Well, to show you the -- how the veteran community works, we had one member stand up and say, "I'll do a match for up to \$10,000." We had another guy stand up, he's a Navy vet and said, "How many Navy people do we buy here?" People raised their hands. "I'll buy a T-shirt for every one of the Navy people." Well, then, of course, all the other Services had to do the same thing.

30 (Pages 117 to 120)

The bottom line is we have \$18,000 in a scholarship program now. So we can pay for anybody to come, and what we have got is we have got a situation where we will pay all the expenses except for your meals. There's an application process involved. You have to be recommended by an NVSBC member, but all of that is on the website for not only the conference but also for the NVSBC. So we're excited about that. As far as we know, we're the only organization, number one, that has a conference like ours but now has the ability to pay for it for startup companies. So we're excited about that.

Next slide. Current challenges, the VA and Kingdomware. We have a situation in our opinion where a law was passed nine years ago. The VA chose to interpret it in a certain way. Over the years, the service-disabled vets went to GAO to protest the way VA was interpreting it. Every time, the GAO found in favor of the service-disabled vet, and every time, VA ignored the GAO guidance. So then we had a company, Kingdomware, who decided to take the VA to court, and as we all know, it went up to the Supreme Court. The Supreme Court decided eight to nothing, and it was -- as somebody said last night, "Well, it could have been worse." And then we said, "What's that?" They said,

with major medical equipment, things like CT scan, CTs, lithotripters, x-ray machines, MRI machines, has written a white paper on why Vets First and Kingdomware is not good for the VA and is not good for industry.

An interesting sidebar, I meet every month with Phil Christy, who runs the SAC, the Strategic Acquisition Center for VA, that does most of the medical buying now, everything other than federal supply schedules, and when I met with Phil in November, he said to me, he said, "Are you aware of the meeting that the Senate Veterans Affairs Committee is having?" I said, "No. What's it about?" He said, "It's about Kingdomware." I said, "Who's going to be there?" He said, "I don't know. All I know is Greg Giddens and I are going to be there." I said, "Okay."

So I went to a guy that I know on the Senate Veterans Affairs Committee and I said, "What's this meeting about that you're having?" "Well, you know, a lot of the large businesses are confused about Kingdomware and they're complaining about it, so we're going to have a meeting on it with all the big guys, McKesson, J&J." You name a business in the medical community, they were going to attend.

I said, "Really?" I said, "How many small businesses are you going to have there?" "Oh, we

"If Scalia was alive, it would have been nine to nothing."

But we have a problem because VA continues, in our opinion, to interpret Kingdomware in a way that was not the intent of the law, number one, and also of the Supreme Court ruling. So we are pushing very, very hard to anybody that will listen on what we have to do to overcome VA's continued avoidance of the Vet First program, and we're actually going to -- we're in discussions now with hiring some professionals to help us push that, because the thing that we also learned from some friends that we have on the Trump transition team is the VA is in the process of drafting a legislative proposal to Congress that will abolish Vets First.

So we're in a situation where we, as veteran-owned small businesses, have a great program, but you know what, if we don't come together and coalesce around this issue and fight it, we are going to be sitting here at this meeting next year and saying, "What happened to Vets First?" So it's incumbent upon us to step up to the plate and get involved in this. So I will keep you involved.

I'll tell you how bad it is. Just this week, one of the major trade associations in town that deals

hadn't thought about that." And so I was silent, and I kept silent, and I kept silent, and he finally got the idea. He said, "Do you want to come?" I said, "Damned right, and I want to bring some people."

So I called Barb. I said, "Hey, are you aware of this?" "No." I said, "I need help." So Mike Phipps came with me to the meeting --

MR. O'FARRELL: Actually, Jim came with you. MR. DENNISTON: I'm sorry, yes. You're both young and cute. What can I tell you?

So we went and we had one of the members of our medical products group go with me, and, of course, like most meetings up on the Hill, it starts out very friendly and congenial, and then some of you know Julie Susman from Jefferson Consulting around town. She's one of these high-priced lobbyists. She says to Greg Giddens, they says, "Well, let's talk about the big elephant in the room." And he said, "What's that?" She said, "Vets First and Kingdomware, we don't like it. It's administratively burdensome to VA, it costs VA extra money to work with service-disabled vets," and she went on and on and on.

Then Greg Giddens' response is, "Well, we're doing the best we can, but it -- we do have this issue and this issue." So we were all quiet, didn't say a

31 (Pages 121 to 124)

word. Then when they all got done, we had a chance to speak, and we refuted every damned argument that they made against Kingdomware, but the problem we have is that big business is against us, and we know the VA is against us, so if we as a community don't stand up and start fighting this, we're not going to have a program left

So like I said, we are marshalling the forces within the NVSBC to make sure that those people that we know who are our friends will stand up and be part of what we're doing. So we'll -- there will be more of that coming. Now --

MR. PHIPPS: Scott, in order to get rid of Vets First, wouldn't that take an Act of Congress?

MR. DENNISTON: Why do you think the VA has developed a legislative proposal?

MR. O'FARRELL: Yeah. So my memory of the meeting is that the argument against small businesses participating on such a scale with the VA is that they -- the large businesses is where innovation comes from, that was number one.

And number two, if you -- if you continue to do this, eventually we won't be able to get to minority-owned businesses, women-owned businesses, HUBZone businesses, whereas all of us know that those VA, and the reason I say that is because he's bought into all this nonsense that is being perpetuated by the VA management team in acquisition that Vets First is bad for VA, so -- and we could talk half the afternoon as to why they think that, but that's part of the problem.

And to show you how -- how -- the challenge we have is we need to get this on the radar screen of somebody at Shulkin's level or higher. So one of the things that we did, for his -- his hearings to be confirmed as the VA secretary, we sent some comments -- some questions to the Senate Veterans Affairs Committee, to get him on record supporting Kingdomware and talk about what he was going to do to implement it properly, and none of the questions were asked.

So when we went back to the committee afterwards and we said, "So, what gives?" What the response that we got was, "Well, look, we just confirmed the guy 16 months ago as the Under Secretary for Health. We wanted nothing but softball questions. And since the only thing he knows is healthcare, all the questions were healthcare-related." So we don't even have this issue of ours on the radar screen on the Veterans Affairs Committees of the House or the Senate.

So that's why we've got some real -- we have

that work with the Department of Veterans Affairs, through the CVE program, and all the verifications that they have done, they have over 9000 businesses in that database, a large percentage of which are minority-owned, women-owned, HUBZone.

So it's really not hard to refute the arguments they were making, but I completely agree with you, Scott, if we are kind of decentralized and not organized in that charge. So with that -- with everything I have just said, I look at you, and we talked about come to the meeting and bring to the challenges and recommendations. So feel free, also, to provide the committee some of your recommendations so that we can incorporate them in, as Mike has referred to our recommendations from 2016 that we're putting forward in 2017. We really need your input on that.

MR. DENNISTON: Not a problem. I've got a white paper that I've written that I'm using with the communications and lobbying companies that I'm meeting with now. It's not ready for prime time, but I'll be more than happy to share it with you guys, because we have some very specific recommendations in there that you may want to do.

And one of our frustrations is that David Shulkin was nominated to be and is now the Secretary of some education issues to do, is probably the only way I can put it, with our congressional delegations and people like that, and we're in the infancy of forming what our attack plan is going to be, and once we have that more formulated, I'll be more than happy to either provide it to you, come back at one of your next meetings and give you an update of what we're doing.

Now, as it relates to specifically the SBA, one of the issues that we have is, as most of you know, if we have a set-aside of any ilk and the small business is not the end manufacturer of the product that's being provided to the Government, it has to be the product of a small business, made in the United States, unless SBA has provided a waiver to that agency, and you can have contract-specific agencies -- waivers or you can have blanket waivers.

Well, when VA started this whole med-surg prime vendor contract, they came to SBA. They got a waiver of the nonmanufacturer rule for all medical products. So that's what the VA has been using. Well, one of the folks on the VA staff who was anti-Kingdomware and anti-Vets First called over here to SBA and said, "I want to ignore the waiver that SBA has provided." Now, when I learned about that, I went ballistic, and I called Barb. I said, "Barb, we've got a problem. If

v I

32 (Pages 125 to 128)

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

1

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

131

132

129

SBA allows agencies to disregard nonmanufacturer rule waivers or to not even ask for them, we are going to cut out of the federal marketplace any business that provides a product to the Government."

Think of office products. Think of the problems that service-disabled vets have now because of strategic sourcing, right? Think about medical products and what that will do. And the list just goes on, I mean, industrial products. And the Government spends billions and billions of dollars a year in products where we can establish that working with a small business is a good value proposition for the Government, but if SBA allows agencies to forget about that process, we're going to kill small businesses.

And the problem is is that when VA made that call to SBA, the SBA position was, "Yeah, you can ignore our waiver and you don't have to use it." So that was the meeting. Now, SBA promised us a position paper on that. We still don't have one, okay?

MS. CARSON: I don't think that was the policy that they agreed, that they said to VA.

MR. DENNISTON: Well, yes.

23 MS. CARSON: Right.

1

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

1 2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

24 MR. DENNISTON: If you remember, that's what 25

Ken Dodds told Jan Frye. Yeah, okay, because if you

medical world where the VA is not complying with Kingdomware. If you go to the IT services, it's just the opposite problem, because for those of you who are in the VA world, you know that VA has what's called a T4NG contract where there are 14, I think it is, service-disabled vets who have that contract.

The issue that we have there is big business in the IT world is not fighting Kingdomware so much, and the reason for that is the VA has a billion dollars to spend in IT this year. So far, they have only spent \$250 million, and we're halfway through the fiscal year. So that means that in the next six months, \$750 million is going to be put on T4, and the vast majority of that will go to these 14 companies, and they are going to be crushed under the weight of the work. And when T4 was established, we implored VA to add more service-disabled vets to it, and they said no, that they wouldn't do that. So on the IT services, we've got a situation where it's going to be overkill.

So one of the issues there is is that we would like SBA to take a look at the size standards in the IT world, because given that the VA -- that the Government buys with large consolidated procurements, we think that the current size standard of 27 million is too small. And I know that from a philosophical

130

remember, Sean Crean and John Kaplan disagreed with that.

MS. CARSON: So I -- I want to say, we are on public record, and I would like to have Ken respond, if that's -- that's not how I recall that one.

MR. DENNISTON: That's fine. Well, in the meeting, Ken said, "Yeah, I did say that," and that started a policy discussion.

MS. CARSON: But he said he -- it may have been misinterpreted, what he said. That's what I want to clarify.

MR. DENNISTON: Right, right. If you remember at that meeting, there was a commitment that SBA would establish a policy. My point is, no policy has been established.

MS. CARSON: Okay, fair.

MR. DENNISTON: Okay?

MS. CARSON: Okay, thank you.

MR. DENNISTON: And, again, this has huge ramifications governmentwide for all small business programs, not just -- let alone the service-disabled vet one. So we need to worry about that.

What's fascinating about Kingdomware and the VA -- and, again, I know I'm the only thing between you

and lunch -- but we have one set of problems in the

standpoint, that's going to be an issue with the SBA, but we've got to figure out a way -- maybe we have subcontractor requirements put on small businesses to work with other small businesses of like ilk, because now, from a joint venture perspective, we can allow

So we've got a lot of issues, but the bottom line is is that if the service-disabled vet community fails either way, either because they're not getting business or because they get too much business, it's going to taint the entire program. So we've got issues that we've got to address. We don't have all the answers. Our goal is to raise the awareness of these issues so that the people in leadership positions can deliberate those and hopefully come up with some recommendations, because we're very concerned on both sides of that spectrum, okay?

The next slide. Is that -- yeah, here we go. You know, we have got to marshall our -- we've basically talked about all of these, okay? One of the things, though, at the VA that we don't have is PCR engagement. So when it comes to Vets First and Kingdomware, we need to get the PCRs more engaged in VA buying activities. Even the NAC, the National Acquisition Center, which puts in place contracts worth

33 (Pages 129 to 132)

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

1

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

133

1

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

1

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

that.

135

billions and billions of dollars with the federal 1 talking about this issue. 2 supply schedules in medical, doesn't have the PCR assigned. The TAC up in New Jersey that does well over 3

So we need to get more SBA involvement in the actual procurement process at the VA, because we think if we can show that SBA is interested, besides the rulemaking changes that we have got to go through, we think we can also help the service-disabled vet community, okay?

a billion dollars in IT contracts has a part-time PCR.

And then the last slide is my contact information, and I will be around until we all break. but if anybody has any questions or any comments, I'd be more than happy to field them.

Yes? I'm sorry, go ahead, Jim.

MR. O'FARRELL: I was going to say, any questions for Scott?

MR. GREENTREE: It's actually a statement followed by a challenge, but the question -- oh, sorry, Vivian Greentree, First Data. Because there's been such an emphasis on the public sector and doing business with the VA and doing business with other federal agencies, you know, there's -- they spend, what, 500 billion, about, a year, all in federal procurement, where the private sector really dwarfs

I had one quick question for you, Scott. Is the VA on board with using the tool that you're

MR. DENNISTON: In concept. There hasn't been -- because one of the things that we need is, number one, is to get their buy-in, but then we need their participation in actually the design of what some of the screens look like, what's the data that they need, how are they going to it.

One of the things that's fascinating about this whole concept is that VA will spend over a billion dollars in this open market world, and VA has no way of tracking where they're spending their money. So one of the things that we're offering them is this solution so that they will know not only what they're buying, they will know who they're buying it from, and they will also be able to get it down, if they want it, to the level of which contracting officer is buying what.

MS. CARSON: Are you saying the current tool doesn't do that, because we do have a tool that will show exactly which companies were awarded what contract and by what product or service and for how much.

MR. DENNISTON: Yeah, no, the VA has no tool. MS. CARSON: It's a requirement, so I will find

134

out what the delta is and see --

MR. DENNISTON: Well, remember, VA can contract on the med-surg contracts because that's one of the requirements of the vendors. Most of what we're talking about is going to be under the SAP, and a lot of it is going to be with purchase cards, and I would submit to you that nobody knows what they're buying.

MR. DAILEY: Yeah, this is Eric Dailey with Cotton Medical Group. To Scott's point, it would be the open market purchases or the credit card purchases where this may be a bottle of water today, but tomorrow will be a hydration system, and so that by definition, by definition of the purchase or the acquisition, that's really in the imagination of the person that buys it, and there is not currently something that says what that is.

And we've experienced some of those things, especially in the prosthetics department, where you will have something that's a bandage one day and an implant the next. So by definition, there may be something that looks at it, but it does not have the granularity that the -- that the program that we're proposing would have.

MR. DENNISTON: See, one of the problems in the whole med-surg world in comparison to the

MR. DENNISTON: Right. MR. GREENTREE: It's in the trillions. I think Walmart even did just 300 million on veteran-owned businesses, almost, last year.

MR. DENNISTON: Um-hum. MR. GREENTREE: So, you know, maybe a challenge to this board would be to highlight, if not emphasize, the opportunities in the private sector supply chain, and I -- you know, you touched on it, which is why I started thinking, at least highlight them or bring it to the level of -- so veteran-owned businesses, you know, to demystify the process of what certifications mean, how much they cost, what -- what companies use. Certainly, you know, doing business with the Federal Government and state agencies is an opportunity, but I would just say so is the private sector, and it actually dwarfs that in our -- in our, you know, procurement world. So that was -- you know, it would just be -- I would love to hear more about that at the

MR. PHIPPS: So that is one thing that this committee absolutely looks at. We have a lot of recommendations that are geared towards the public sector or the private sector. We just happen to be

next one of these, if possible. Thanks.

136

137 139 pharmaceutical world, in the pharmaceutical world, 1 Foundation. Welcome, Mark. 1 2 MR. ROCKEFELLER: Thank you very much, Chairman 2 every drug has a national drug number, so it's very 3 3 identifiable from start to finish. There is nothing O'Farrell and Ms. Carson, for inviting me here, a room 4 4 like that in the medical products arena. So that's why full of friends certainly, we all know each other. 5 five of us can come up with a different description for 5 Can the folks on the phone hear me okay? 6 MS. BULLARD-MARSHALL: Yes, we can. Thank you. 6 a bottle of water. 7 MS. BULLARD-MARSHALL: Just to clarify, are you 7 MR. ROCKEFELLER: Okay, great. Thank you very 8 talking about FPDS? 8 much. 9 MS. CARSON: No. 9 I'm delighted to be here and thank you for all 10 So let me use the break to get the cite that 10 that you guys do for veteran entrepreneurship in 11 I'm talking about. Thanks. 11 America. I wanted to address a couple of points here 12 MR. O'FARRELL: So we are going to go ahead and 12 real quick, I am just going to very quickly go through 13 break unless there are any other questions before the 13 a couple of themes, and at the end, conclude with some 14 break, and we will be back at 1:15 to continue. Thank 14 recommendations for SBA. We have five specific 15 15 recommendations, and my hope is that this committee can you. 16 (Whereupon, a lunch recess was taken.) get involved in sort of formally endorsing those to 16 17 17 SBA. 18 18 So we will begin with a basic problem statement 19 19 here. Slide, please. So there's rather a unique 20 challenge that faces post-9/11 veteran entrepreneurs. 20 21 21 I've handed out this infographic. For those who are on 22 22 the phone, we can work to make this available to you as 23 23 well, but it is an infographic that compares the World 24 24 War II generation, the greatest generation, with the 25 25 post-9/11 generation, what they call the next greatest 140 138 1 AFTERNOON SESSION 1 generation when it comes to entrepreneurship. 2 MR. O'FARRELL: Hello again, everyone. This is 2 On the left side of that, you can see that 3 1945, 49 percent of the veterans of that greatest 3 Jim O'Farrell. We are going to kick off the afternoon 4 4 generation went on to own or operate a business. 5 5 So, first of all, any saved rounds, as some Obviously, that's probably part of the reason that they are the greatest generation, is not only what they did military services say? Anything from the morning? Any 6 6 7 on foreign battlefields but what they did after they 7 questions from the committee that you all wanted to 8 8 got back that was a big part of that. 9 (No response.) 9 Now, access to capital is our theme, and they 10 MR. O'FARRELL: Okay, I can't read lips, so I 10 had that access to capital back then. As you see from guess I will move along. 11 this infographic, there was over 13,000 banks in 11 12 America, and those banks were smaller, they were 12 So if you all figured that out -- yes, sir? 13 localized, and they were more accessible to these 13 MR. LOWDER: Lynne Lowder from 1 Vet at a Time. I was going to ask the same question I asked of these 14 veterans when they went to start their business. 14 15 15 two people on the lending thing. I asked it vesterday, Veterans had access to the money that they needed, and 16 but for this committee. The only thing that's relevant 16 the economy boomed. 17 Fast-forward now to today. The SBA estimates 17 to me is tell me how many veterans are applying for 18 that 25 percent of my generation, the Iraq and 18 federal loans that fit within the parameters of small 19 Afghanistan generation, want to be entrepreneurs. That 19 business. I want to know the declined percentage and 20 number should be much higher, and one of our goals 20 why. Then we're done. Now we can talk about a fix. 21 should be to get that number higher here, collectively. 21 So that's what I wanted to hear from them. 22 The problem is now that there's fewer than half the 22 MR. O'FARRELL: Okay. Thanks, Lynne. 23 number of banks now that there were then. Those banks 23 Appreciate that. Thanks for the question. 24 24 Okay. So next up we have the access to capital are consolidated, they are larger, and in essence, 25 presentation by Mark Rockefeller from StreetShares 25 they're further away from Main Street than their

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

1

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14 15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

1

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17 18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

1

2

11

16

17

18

19

20

143

141

predecessors were, and the further they get away from Main Street, the more difficult it is for these vetrepreneurs to access that capital.

At the same time, you now have loan sharks circling all over the place, to include online. Anyone who has served in the military knows that just outside the gates, there's a couple of businesses that you see every single time, and they have payday lenders, and the loan sharks are one of those. They have now gone online, and they are preying on our veterans now as entrepreneurs just like they did when they were active-duty, inside the gates.

So the solution that we at StreetShares have created -- and this is not intended to promote us, but I want to share an example of what we've done -- is to basically bring technology to bear to bridge the gap between those entrepreneurs who need capital and an American public that would like to support them. So that is the basic idea.

Next slide, please. This just says that we have some credibility, what we have been calling the most exciting innovation in military financial services in decades.

Next slide, please. All right, so this is not your grandfather's veteran community. I think we're by 55 percent each quarter and has since we launched in 2014. So there's a lot of people that want to get involved here either as borrowers or as investors or backers to help these veteran entrepreneurs.

We have had 40,000-plus member-funded participations. So by that I mean members of the public who have said I want to support that entrepreneur, and they have made a bid to back a portion of the entrepreneur's loan, 40,000-plus of those. And entrepreneurs have requested over \$400 million, almost half a billion dollars, in loans through us, right?

Again, this is not to brag on us, but it's to show you that if you meet people through the medium that they're used to, with the technology that they want, and in particular, for the veterans community, in a way in which they can connect socially, people respond to that, right? There's a need and a market for that, and the theme of my request to come here of SBA is let's bring the SBA's resources to bear in the same way.

Very quickly, how we work, we have three investment-side products, and, again, the whole thing is done through your phone. These are here on the left. We have veteran business bonds, and that is a 5

142

sort of sensing that, right? The emergence of new

VSOs. Every single one of my veteran friends I know,

- we do almost everything using this. For folks on the 3
- phone, I'm holding up my cell phone, right? I took an 4
- 5 Uber here this morning or this afternoon. In the back
- of the Uber, the driver had a power pad and every kind 6
- of adaptor that I could possibly want. So I am 7 8
 - charging my phone, charging my computer, while working,

9 communicating with Brandon over here, while in an Uber

10 to this meeting just now, right? We are doing

everything through this, Uber, Airbnb, Google Wallet,

12 et cetera. The resources for veteran entrepreneurs 13

need to adjust accordingly so they can access this

14 rising generation of veteran entrepreneurs. 15

So one example is what we have built as StreetShares. I would say we were a for-profit organization. We are a venture capital-backed startup based in Reston, Virginia, just outside of D.C. here. So in 30 months, here's what we've done, and the intent of this isn't to brag but to give you a sense of the

21 demand that is out there. So in 30 months, we have

- 22 built a fully mobile and digital first lending
- technology that all happens right here through the 23
- 24 phone. We have 30,000 -- it's about 33,000 right
- now -- StreetShares members. That number is increasing 25

percent bond type product. Folks are putting their cash in there. They can pull it out at a 1 percent penalty, and they know that money goes to support veteran entrepreneurs. So, like, my bank account, for example, I think gives me 0.17 percent yield. We're getting 5 percent yield and using that money to help a population that we all care about. It is, I believe, the first social impact -- military social impact

investment product in America.

Secondly, we have StreetShares pro investing. I'm still on the left side here. That is for a credit retail investor. So those are more sophisticated investors, accredited by the SEC. They are in there buying larger portions of the loan and picking individual loans which they want to back.

And then lastly we have an institutional product, so those are for managed funds to come in and invest in these loans as well.

On the right side, we have the loan products. So we have StreetShares' simple business loans, StreetShares' Patriot Express line of credit, and then we have a government contractor financing product that we just launched. Being responsive to the customers that we have, about 25 percent of our existing customers were in gov-con, which I know is a very

144

36 (Pages 141 to 144)

important theme here in this building. There is really no way for those folks to get funding right now, right? We see a lot of veteran entrepreneurs, they get their first government contract, \$5 million, \$10 million, but they need 200K, 100K, 300K to perform on that contract. There is right now no way that they could get that funding.

So we had put together a series of products, three different products, to help them mobilize to be able to perform on that contract and then get in that virtuous cycle once they get going of using the funds from prior contracts to pay for the next one. And there's cash and capital that flows between. We underwrite every single loan ourselves. We ourselves invest in every single loan off our own balance sheet, so we put our money where our mouth is.

Okay, here's what we have learned doing this so far in 30 months. The first lesson is access to capital is the number one challenge for vetrepreneurs. I don't think that comes as a surprise to anyone. Second, that the traditional sources are not meeting their needs. They wouldn't be coming to us if the banks were meeting their needs, right? And thirdly, that vetrepreneurs are turning to each other for solutions.

SBA needs to know which resources are being used.

Second, SBA to vet those resources, make sure
they're run by people, that they're well funded, that
they're trustworthy.
Third, that they add those proven resources to

Third, that they add those proven resources to the SBA webpage, to the VBOC network, right? From what I've seen, a lot of the resources that are on the current SBA resource list are just outdated, right, and they are not the ones that Iraq and Afghanistan generation veterans are using.

Fourth, SBA to add some of the proven nontraditional capital sources to LINC. There is no reason for LINC to be limited to just the big banks. For those who don't know, LINC is the SBA sort of networking database that brings applicants, connects them with certain borrowers. Right now, only banks can be on that, but this generation, they are not going to banks for their funding.

One of my favorite quotes, it's actually one that came from the -- there was a survey done called the Millennial Trust Index, I believe, and they interviewed 10,000 millennials. The brands -- the five -- I think it was the five biggest banks were the least trusted brands in America in the eyes of millennials, right? What is the defining sort of

What I love best about this is that you have military veterans on both sides of the equation investing in each other. In fact, we have a theory around derisking loans because you know that on the other side of it isn't some distant, monolithic bank, but a fellow military veteran. So when times get tight, you are going to prioritize paying that loan back, because you don't want to harm your fellow military veterans. So we have built the technology around how you optimize that kind of social loyalty and bring that to bear in finance.

So from these three, these three general lessons, we have five specific requests -- this is my last slide here -- five requests for SBA. I say these are principles based on suggestions, because I don't know the inner workings of the SBA, and there's great people there. I know Barb is working very, very hard to get through a lot of this stuff. I don't know the challenges that she faces. So I'm going to stick to principles and general ideas and let the smart folks in the SBA figure out exactly how this can work.

First, SBA to identify those nontraditional resources that are being used right now by this post-9/11 generation of veterans. They are using them already. They are just not finding them through SBA.

moment in their life, right? I had two for me, right?

9/11, then I go join the military, right? And a

crisis, right? For these millennials, they have a

major trust issue, in essence, with the institutions,

because the crisis was the defining moment, defining

event in their young lives, right? And so they are

shying away from the banks.

My favorite quote from this study: "71 percent of millennials said they would rather go to the dentist than to the bank." True. And if you ask them where do they plan on getting funding, they plan on getting it from Google and Amazon and the sources that they use already through their phone, right? So we have to meet this generation where they are, not where we want them to be.

And lastly, the SBA to share the full data set on vetrepreneurs' loan performance, right? We can only fix what we can measure. That data I know is available, but it hasn't been shared, to my knowledge, in a format that can be sort of digested and used by all of us. And so that's a fifth request that I would hope this committee could make to SBA.

So those are the five suggestions, just a starting point to be sure. Any, I guess, questions for me. Barb? Is that what's next?

MS. CARSON: I'll go to the end, yes, I -- this is like a continuation of a conversation we started two years ago, so I will let the others go first, and thanks so much.

MR. AUMENT: Ron Aument. I had a couple of questions regarding the investor side of the equation there.

MR. ROCKEFELLER: Yes.

MR. AUMENT: You mentioned you had sort of the three categories. The one was the business bonds, which you said which paid a return of around 5 percent.

MR. ROCKEFELLER: Yes.

MR. AUMENT: Then you had individual investors and then institutional investors. I was kind of curious, a couple of questions about just how that funding is stratified amongst those three, and I was just kind of curious about the bonds. How do you -- is there any security to that? Are you -- you know, do you put together traunches of loans like you would be if you were to securitize them or something like that? Just how does all that work?

MR. ROCKEFELLER: Yeah. So the funding behind each loan is roughly 60 percent from the institutional funders, because as a funding company, I've got to have ready and reliable access to capital, and so that's my

MR. ROCKEFELLER: Yeah, yeah. So the first thing that I would say is we have developed an underwriting system specifically for veterans, right? So we realize that a lot of military veterans don't have sort of the history that a lot of nonveterans have, right? As we all know, you moved often, right? I think I moved six times in nine years, right? When you do that, it's difficult to establish, you know, a history on mortgages and things like that.

Following deployment, the divorce rate is higher, right? We all know this. Divorce kills your credit, right? A lot of folks know that as well. So there's some unique things about the military that make underwriting them special. So we have developed a model to underwrite them specifically as military veterans, taking those things into account.

There is also -- there is, in essence, points given or there is a bonus given to military veterans when they apply for a loan. So they actually get favorable treatment under our underwriting system. So the fees range, depending on a promotion that we're running or who it is and how risky the borrower is, but they are basically from 2 to 4.9 percent are the fees.

MS. CARSON: All right. Mark, thank you. I'm glad to have five concrete recommendations. I

committed -- my committed funds. I've got a quarter billion dollars in funds to lend through those sources. The other roughly 40 percent comes from StreetShares ourselves, us co-investing, and then it's split between the bondholders and the StreetShares pro investors, but the 5 percent veteran business bond product is a pooled product, and so we are pooling all of our loans.

That is a recourse note to StreetShares, which I don't want to get into, but we have an SEC filing. So this is a publicly filed security with the SEC. So we have a statement on file with the SEC that lists all the risks and goes through all of this, but it's a public security filed with the SEC, which if you're curious, anyone listening, you can go to sec.gov and read that filing. That is basically a recourse note to StreetShares, and then StreetShares takes that capital and lends it out to these businesses.

MR. AUMENT: Okay. MR. ROCKEFELLER: Yep.

MR. PHIPPS: Mark, can you go through a little bit on the fees that you charge and how veterans can navigate those fees, especially if they're on some tight contracts? Do they have -- you know, do they have some leniency in how they can work their fee structure with you guys?

appreciate that. I wanted to follow up on several of them and then get feedback from others on what they would like.

MR. ROCKEFELLER: Okay.

MS. CARSON: As you know, we did try to get a data set that we were unsuccessful in getting, and I think if I can work with you to get more clarity on what anonymized data would be helpful at where you are now, and joining in with the questions that you've asked of SBA, and be able to get some meaningful compare/contrast as well, that would be helpful.

It sounds like you have moved ahead on the second metric aspects, like what -- what would -- why do you feel that a veteran is a lower risk than a nonveteran? You've already worked that out. I did not have success -- Stanford wanted to do a study with us on that. So I would like to follow up on that as well and better understand it, if you're willing to share more.

MR. ROCKEFELLER: Yeah. Yeah, I would love to get our team involved with the SBA's data experts and probably some outside, you know, academic group and do a study, right, and just really dig into all of this. Again, we can't fix what we can't measure, and so we have to measure this. From my understanding, it's

38 (Pages 149 to 152)

being measured, but it's not being measured and shared, and so that's the next step that's needed.

MS. CARSON: Absolutely, measure and share. I would like to do that.

MR. ROCKEFELLER: Yes.

MS. CARSON: And with your role on the Interagency Task Force for Veteran Small Business Development, I think there are two ways that we can bring more effect to this effort. So I would -- let's try and align the questions that you would ask of SBA.

And finally, I did go and ask our agency what would it take to get on LINC, and I asked for a study to -- you know, just tell me what the minimum criteria would be. Could we -- the study was just going to be amongst us, you know, what's the risk to the agency? What's the risk to the borrower if we open this up and what would our minimum criteria be? And then we had a transition.

So now it is ripe for us to perhaps be the first ones to be proactive here. Tell me what you propose the criteria would be rather than waiting for the agency to do that. One of the items had been what would be the maximum interest rate, for example, that a borrower would pay, and you were willing to share a number that you thought was reasonable. I agreed. So

and fair dealing and all of these things, and so the Small Business Borrowers' Bill of Rights is probably a decent place to start, you know, when you're looking for lenders who are lending in the best interests of the borrower.

We hear lots and lots of horror stories from veteran-owned businesses that take a loan and either the rate isn't what it's supposed to be or the prepayment terms on that loan trap them, in essence, in a cycle of debt. And so as everyone knows, a sort of basic model of a predatory lender is you give more money than you know that borrower can responsibly repay, and then you know they're going to default, and then you have penalties in your repayment system that make them come back to you and no one else to refinance that

So they come back to you, and they give them a new line at a higher rate, charge a whole new set of fees, and do that over and over again. So it's a leech -- it's a leech that attaches itself to a growing small business and sucks it dry of capital, leaving the carcass behind, right? I mean, that's what these folks do. So the challenge at SBA is, let's figure out who are the leeches and who are the honorable lenders, but there are criteria out there that already exist for

things like that.

And understanding the fee structure that you just asked about, Mike Phipps, is helpful. So as many of those things as we can quantify, and then it's easier for me to put forward as this is not just put StreetShares on LINC; this is the -- we need to join this generation. Outside the veteran portfolio as well, let's make the case, and I think that we have a good model to test with, so --

MR. ROCKEFELLER: Yeah. I can put in a little plug for a group that we're members of called the Small Business Borrowers Bill of Rights. So the question is, how do you sort of discern, you know, the predatory online lenders from the folks that are -- that are charging fair rates.

MS. CARSON: Yes.

MR. ROCKEFELLER: It's difficult sometimes because of the way that small business loan rates are calculated and the varying kinds of small business loans that are out there. One group that we have joined is called the Small Business Borrowers' Bill of Rights, and it's a group of lenders, and there's many, many great lenders on there, not just StreetShares. There's -- there's many good ones that have, you know, made a public pledge toward transparency and fair rates

determining those things. We just need to adopt those and then use that test.

MS. CARSON: I'm almost done, then I will turn it over to you in just a second.

The scale -- first, a contrast, that I'm looking back at the number of loans that we -- that SBA was able to guarantee through our programs to help veterans, and we're at 3200 a year right now, and you said you've done how many thousands since -- in 30 months?

MR. ROCKEFELLER: No, I didn't -- I've done a thousand loans.

MS. CARSON: A thousand?

MR. ROCKEFELLER: Yeah, yeah.

MS. CARSON: And then the dollar values? Could you tell me the range again, please?

MR. ROCKEFELLER: Yeah, yeah. So we do loans -- we have different products, but the basic term loan goes up to about 120K. Our line of credit is similar. It's a series of term loans, in essence. And then our government contractor financing goes up to 500K. But the average loan size actually is 22,000. They're small, yeah.

MS. CARSON: That's helpful.

MR. ROCKEFELLER: Yeah, yeah. They want

39 (Pages 153 to 156)

smaller loans and they want them fast. If you go to a bank and say I need a \$20,000 loan, they say, here's a credit card, right, because it costs the bank to -- you know, the same to underwrite a \$5 million loan as a, you know, \$100,000 loan. So they just, you know, put a cutoff below which they won't lend. So a lot of the real predatory activity occurs in those very small dollar loan amounts. Yeah. MR. PHIPPS: Thank you for the descriptive

MR. PHIPPS: Thank you for the descriptive nature of predatory loans, sucking like a leech. One of our recommendations that we have drafted is specifically access to capital legislation. Do you see any legislation that's out there that is prohibitive to -- I mean, in general, small businesses, but particularly veteran-owned small businesses, that you've come across that we could start to incorporate and get looked at?

MR. ROCKEFELLER: Yeah, that's a good question, Mike. I have not focused on that. I have been focused on growing the company, right?

MR. PHIPPS: Sure.

MR. ROCKEFELLER: And -- yeah, so I -- I'm not knowledgeable enough to comment on that, but I'd be happy to work with you, and if you have legislation in mind -- I'm a recovering lawyer, a reformed lawyer -- I

1 expand both those sides of the marketplace as we grow. 2 MR. ZACCHEA: Okay. So you're separating that

MR. ZACCHEA: Okay. So you're separating that out from the \$400 million in loan requests.

MR. ROCKEFELLER: Loan requests, yeah.

MR. ZACCHEA: So is there a queue, is there a delay, or is that just loans that you have not -- I don't want to say you declined, but I -- what I'm imagining is that you're creating a marketplace --

MR. ROCKEFELLER: Yes.

MR. ZACCHEA: -- where the best value proposition are funded FIS. Is that correct, what you're doing?

MR. ROCKEFELLER: Yes, yes.

MR. ZACCHEA: Okay.

MR. ROCKEFELLER: So we are declining quite a few right now, right, at least for now, right? What we do is we bring them in -- we have a StreetShares Foundation, right, that is focused on educating, inspiring, and equipping these veteran entrepreneurs. So we have a grant program through the Foundation. So if they come in and they apply, they are declined for a grant, we will encourage them to apply for a grant through the foundation, give them that initial bit of capital that they need, watch their performance, and then when they're ready for a loan from us, they will

would be happy to read it and, you know, give some opinion.

MR. PHIPPS: I will give you a copy of our recommendations and you can -- I think we can incorporate some of the things that you have here right into -- they already blend nicely with some of the things that we have already done research on. So, thank you, Mark.

MR. ROCKEFELLER: Thank you.

MR. ZACCHEA: Mark, so you just said that the average loan size was 22,000, a thousand loans. So at the risk of doing math in public, are you saying you've loaned \$220 million out to a thousand businesses? Is that correct?

MR. ROCKEFELLER: No, no. So we have lent -- we are right now around 22 million that we've lent.

MR. ZACCHEA: Okay.

MR. ROCKEFELLER: Yeah. So the demand activity that I showed on the slide, right, because, you know, we are co-investing in these, right, we are underwriting them or making sure that they're good, solid loans for our investors, and so I'm building up a marketplace. And right now we have a lot more loan requests than loans that we're able to, you know, approve right now. But the idea, of course, is to

1 come back for that.

Lending is a very interesting business, right, because unlike any other product in the world, right, if I'm selling widgets, I want to sell to every single person who will possibly buy a widget from me. Lending, that is not the case, right, because it's getting money back that's the hard part of lending, as you can imagine, right? So, you know, we are -- we are strict right now in who we approve for loans because we're guarding our investor capital as well.

As the marketplace grows, right, we will have more products, we will have innovative ways to fund these folks, but for right now -- and if they're declined, there is the foundation grants, which we do \$10,000 each month, which I believe is the most generous, I think, grant program out there for veteran entrepreneurs.

MR. ZACCHEA: So the last thing, just so I understand, are you saying that really what you're doing is the most efficient allocation of capital to your applicants?

MR. ROCKEFELLER: Yes, yes.

23 MR. ZACCHEA: Okay.

MR. ROCKEFELLER: Not unlike what our friends at the bank would do, right?

MR. ZACCHEA: Okay, right.

 MR. ROCKEFELLER: I just have a technology to allow the borrowers to apply for a loan in five to seven minutes, right? And I have investors on the other side from the same community, so I can derisk those loans. But, yeah, you know, lending is lending. It's easy to give money out, hard to get it back.

MR. LOWDER: Thanks, Mark. That's a great presentation. You've got a lot of thought in this. I come from running companies and that kind of thing, large and small. You've really done your homework. You've listened to the need, and you're stepping in the gaps. So lawyer to lawyer, thanks for stepping up.

MR. ROCKEFELLER: Thank you, sir.

MR. LOWDER: You're really doing a -- this is a great example of creativity when you have people that are vetted. We know what veterans can do. They just need some gas in the tank. That's all they need. So this young man here is an example of a lot of these people that I run into, like I set up a vendors program at the University of Central Missouri, and there were others just like you, hungry to go into business, hungry to apply the skills that you obviously picked up in the military, and you guys are getting it done. So, number one, well done. Well done.

business, sir, to your point, just the way that we did on the battlefield.

Okay, thank you.

MR. O'FARRELL: Thanks very much, Mark. Okay, so next up Chris Ford and Brandon Shelton are going to be talking about -- well, several things, but I know I'm interested in hearing about Project TORCH.

For those on the phone, we are just -- stand by for one minute. We are getting everybody mic'd up and whatnot.

Okay, Chris, thanks for being here today. We look forward to your presentation.

MR. FORD: Thanks for having me. It's really an honor to be here. Thank you, all, for your time today, and for those on the line, thanks for calling in. Some of you may just be asking why is the National Association of Veteran-Serving Organizations talking to the SBA about entrepreneurship, and that's a great question, and I will get to that later today.

We have been in existence -- we were founded in 2013, and we stood up as an organization to undergird the effort of the nation's non-profits that are focused on serving veteran populations, as well as their business counterparts and government agencies and

MR. ROCKEFELLER: Thank you.

MR. LOWDER: Number two, in my time in business, I have heard this term thrown around a lot, public/private partnerships. I have seen -- this is as good an example as I have ever seen, in my 71 years, of an opportunity for something like that to happen. You get this young man and those like him rolling, you are going to get the banks' attention, too. They will start snapping out of it, those that can and want to, and they will wake up to it, but in the meantime, high-speed low-drag, great job.

MR. ROCKEFELLER: Thank you, sir. And I would point out one thing. I mean, what -- there's a generation of veteran entrepreneurs just like me, right? I mean, I am one of tens of thousands that are out there, and we're turning to each other. A great example of this is someone who's here with us. Brandon Shelton over here, who runs TFX Capital, it's a veteran-focused venture fund, and so Brandon over here, his fund and his supporters, his investors, put some of the first money in StreetShares to get us going, right? So you have one veteran, I am trying to launch a company, I need capital, and the banks aren't working for me, right? So I turn to a venture fund run by a fellow veteran, and so we're helping each other in

foundations. It's been an exciting journey for us. You can see on the bottom of this first slide, we have five focus areas, and today I'm going to talk to you about the research component of our work.

We do a lot of work in educating communities and institutions about how to work better and evaluate those services, but today, specifically, I want to talk to you about our project, Project TORCH, where we aim to better understand veteran entrepreneurs as they compare to their nonveteran counterparts, and we think the whole aim of the project is summed up quite clearly in this very next slide, if we could go to that.

There's something interesting going on in our country, as Mark just alluded to in his comments, with his infographic, that if we have less than 1 percent of our country actively serving since the Vietnam era, with only -- with less than 3 1/2 percent of them actually in the workforce, why are 9 percent of today's businesses veteran-owned and led? What is going on on the left side of this chart that is leading to successful businesses on the right side of this chart?

And the frank answer is, we don't know. We don't know enough to understand what's going on in uniform that changes the capabilities and experiences and skills of veterans to become sound business leaders

once they take the uniform off, and we have got to do a better job of understanding those realities so that we can allocate resources to ensure that we further that success to meet, as Mark articulated, a broader set of veteran entrepreneurs running businesses that are putting investment back into America and putting more Americans back into meaningful work.

This is especially interesting at this point in time given the advances we have had in technology. As Mark alluded to, the world has changed. We are all tied to our cell phones. We are all tied to electronics. As technology advances business opportunities, veterans are responding, but the systems that support them aren't, and we can do a better job as a country to better understand that so that we can better allocate both private and public dollars accordingly.

Next slide. This is the type of impact we're talking about. This comes from Census Bureau data as depicted by the Institute for Veterans and Military Families at Syracuse University, which shows 2.5 million veteran-owned businesses in the country with payrolls nearly \$200 billion, with 5.5 million employees. Those are real numbers, with a cash receipt value of 1.1 trillion. Imagine if we make a 1 percent

them there and what are the services having the greatest impact? Was it an incubator? Was it an accelerator? Was it the Boots to Business Program, of which I'm a graduate? Was it Syracuse's Boots to Business course, of which I am also a graduate? What are the things that are driving people to success? And if those are having the greatest impact and outcomes, why are we not investing more heavily into those support systems?

The focus of our study has several key attributes, as listed here. One, we want actionable insights. We don't do research for research's sake. We want it to actually create something that generates change, both in the public and private sector. We want to proliferate those findings, good or bad. We have talked with several partners about doing studies before, because as a neutral convener, we don't really have a bias. I am not an investor in veteran businesses. I don't own one. So we don't really care, per se, the result. We want to know it so that we can respond accordingly. So even if the findings are bad, we need to be able to share that so that we can get some course correction, right?

We have to do this quickly. As I mentioned earlier, with the evolving technology that's changing

increase in veteran entrepreneurial success, how these numbers quickly start to change.

Next slide. So our project, Project TORCH, is designed to really answer some central questions, understanding the current state of veteran entrepreneurship so that we can re-allocate and shift public and private resources accordingly. If one of nine businesses in America are owned and led by veterans, and we're giving more attention to veteran entrepreneurs, then -- and we believe that veterans, as entrepreneurs, are civic assets in their community, sort of giving back more than just on this \$1.1 trillion impact number, but into their communities as a whole, then shouldn't we really understand what's driving their successes and what gets them there faster and put our resources there accordingly? That's the whole goal of our study.

Next slide. The first thing we want to do is confirm that veterans are successful entrepreneurs. I think we all know this anecdotally, but there is not enough real science that says veterans versus nonveterans are outperforming, especially if you look at post-9/11 veterans focused on technology, kind of the emerging fields, if you will. But assuming that that's true, what are the success determinants that got

in our country, doing this study in three or four years is not very useful. We have got to do something this year that tells us what's working and what's not. The community is already responding. We have Bunker Labs. We have StreetShares investing. We have Task Force X investing. We have Patriot Boot Camp.

The organizations that are involved in trying to get around, in front of, and support veteran entrepreneurs are increasing every week, and if we don't better understand how to support them and which ones are having the most effect, we are going to have one of these models that I call ready, shoot, aim, or we are just going to start dumping money into the lead horse thinking it's the best solution, when in reality we may be putting our monies in the wrong place.

And it has to be a collaborative effort. As a key component, anything that we do at NAVSO, we don't do anything alone. We have strong support partners, and I'll talk a little bit more about our partner participation.

Next slide. So why NAVSO? Again, why would a non-profit association, not exclusively focused on veteran entrepreneurs, be in this room, be tackling this question? The first part is that we serve three market segments, if you will, the non-profit sector,

businesses, government, and including funders as well. So we actually touch on a fourth. We have access to leading research organizations. Unlike some others who are very limited in their scope and who they could reach out to do their study, we have access to all of them. We've shopped the marketplace, and we work with CNAS and RAND and others to find the best-in-class organization to help us get to the right answers.

We have unbiased results. That's a very key attribute of what we do in our studies. Again, we don't care necessarily about the answers. We want to know them, good or bad. We want to present those to the marketplace accordingly. And we're neutral. We don't have a political leaning. We're not going away when the Administration changes. We're here to just undergird the efforts to ensure that everyone is successful accordingly.

Next slide. The great news is that we're not alone. I am not an expert in venture entrepreneurial investment. I am a veteran entrepreneur, I created NAVSO, but that's a little different. Creating a non-profit has some of the central precepts of creating a for-profit business. We just have a tax advantage, as I tell people all the time, but we don't do this alone. I needed people around us -- our team needed

that although outlays from SBA have gone up, the actual investment in veteran entrepreneurs is down. We need to understand some of the data behind that so we can use that to inform our study. We need to understand what's going on especially with startups, which ones are repeatable and scalable businesses. We are not focusing on lifestyle businesses in this study. We want to focus on businesses that have less than three years' worth of life span, two or more employees, and mostly focused on tech. That will really help us get the right answers we're looking for in this particular study.

And, quite honestly, you could help us with funds. This research project is about \$150,000 to do phase one of this study this year. Accessing capital, as we talked about in our last presentation, could be very important to us to move this faster. We have several other partners who have made some verbal commitments to help us with this study, but we still have a bit of a stretch goal to fund this project, to get it off and running in our timeline.

Again, we want to publish this this year. We don't want these results to lag for three years. They won't be relevant to the marketplace if we wait. So we will just be quite honest and frank to say that your

people around us who better understood what's working and what's not.

So these are the list of organizations who have agreed to work with us on this project, including VeteranCrowd, Patriot Boot Camp, VetFran, Syracuse University, BreakLine, Mark Rockefeller from StreetShares, the Veteran Conference, Student Veterans of America. This is a great, robust group of folks who are willing to help. You will see SBA is on here. We have had some great conversations with SBA about how we might partner on this effort, and we hope that we can deepen that relationship going forward with this project.

So with this great steering committee that we've assembled to help us get at the right questions, to find the best answers, we have a few ideas of how the SBA might actually be able to help us with that.

Next slide. First, help us understand entrepreneurs, the identified and unbiased data on what is going on with entrepreneurs that go through the SBA systems and supports, from tech to nontech to franchise.

We need to understand what's going on with those clients. We need to be able to compare veterans to nonveterans. I heard yesterday we discussed briefly support both with data and funding would be tremendously helpful to this project and for the veteran community as a whole.

I'll stop there and open it up to your questions.

MR. PHIPPS: Thank you very much for that presentation. Do you have a synopsis of what the study that you want funding for is about and almost like an executive overview that you could send to the committee?

MR. FORD: We do, certainly, and we would be glad to do that.

MR. PHIPPS: That would be great, because I see some of the metrics here, you are heavily focused on metrics, and that's something that we're heavily focused on, and we would like to see if some of that stuff aligns. So thank you.

MR. HEILMAN: So with regard to the focus on the scalable, investable businesses, was there discussion about including the rest? The vast majority of veteran-owned businesses are not that, so you leave a whole lot of, you know, Main Street businesses out of the study, and I was just wondering why that decision.

MR. FORD: Certainly. So the -- maybe I could defer this one over to Brandon for a secondary comment

43 (Pages 169 to 172)

as well, but the focus of our study was important to really focus on more than one employee type businesses. Sole proprietorships that are consulting firms aren't repeatable, scalable; they are not really investable, if you will. So we want to re-allocate resources that are not only supporting startups but continuing in their sustainment and growth.

We have narrowed that funnel quite a bit to look at companies and businesses much like StreetShares is investing into and Task Force X. The main reason for that is we want more funders to see the value veterans are bringing to be investing in these types of mechanisms, and the lifestyle business is not really that opportunity for them.

And quite honestly, that delta between the World War II number and today's number, the amount of veterans who want to or are actually pursuing veteran employment, some of that is tied directly to they think there's just one way, that individual consulting, I am going to take my one skill and do that. There are multiple ways to scale and grow, and we need to open that aperture if there's really a lot of goodness there.

Brandon, did you have anything else to add?
MR. SHELTON: I have never been to one of these

When we use the word "scale," and that's normally assimilated to tech. If you drop that word "scale," it's all around, okay, how do I go from one person in my proverbial garage, now I have two people, I have three customers? We're looking for the success determinants in that part.

As obviously Mark would argue, a lot of it's capital, got it, but there are other things, skills, networking, whatever it may be. We just don't know. What's happening is that at least in my personal assessment, if you look at IBMF, Techstar's Patriot Boot Camp, Bunker Labs, any of these organizations, look at the date they started. We have been at War since 2001. All of those organizations started after 2010, okay?

So we all walk around saying one in nine small businesses are owned or run by a veteran. We all walk around saying less than 1 percent serves. The general population knows that. Something in the middle is happening. But non-profits and for-profits, we are trying to attack the problem, we just anecdotally talk about it. We pull on heart strings for donor dollars, "Come on, believe in me, trust me," all these types of things, and we want facts.

And the proxy -- since I have the floor, the

before. Do I have to speak into a microphone?

MR. O'FARRELL: Come on up. MR. SHELTON: Okay, sure. Hi, Brandon Shelton.

That's a great question. I mean, I know what you're getting at. Okay, so I'm a venture investor, okay, so I am looking for tech startups, make a ton of money and all that stuff, but in this regard, it has to do with value creation. It's creating the company. So if you don't look at the moment they go from working at Bank of America, go start a company, I want to go through an incubator to go buy a Chik-fil-A franchise or I want to build a government contracting servicing firm. At some point they start, right? They have to start.

We're looking at that. That's why there's been a lot of debate in our working group around three years old, five years old, you know, and all of that is tech. Now, the preponderance of the companies are nontech, but I think in our lifetime, almost the majority of all these companies who are getting loans from the SBA will be heavily tech-enabled, okay? So we need to get it to the point where, when does the veteran leader leave active duty, the Reserves, other employees, a non-profit, and start a business and then try to grow it?

1 proxy for all of this is if you look at the amount of

2 data on women, women in business, women founders, women

in venture tech or venture capital, any of those -- any of these things, the amount of data that I've been

4 of these things, the amount of data that I've been 5 witness to just in the last 12 months between public

6 and private sources is extraordinary. It's actionable,

it's detailed, it's contemporary, it's (indicating)

fast, and you can do something about it.

If you're a non-profit, you're a government agency, you're a state government, on all sides of the coin, you can actually action it, and now you're having an objective conversation over a data point versus, "Well, the Kauffman Foundation study from 2007 says this. Well, the SBA says that." I mean, we want to know, like, what's happening right now, and we want to have that for veterans, especially if you think about where we are in 2017.

2005-'6 is an important time frame. That post-9/11 veteran starts in 2001 -- and I am one of those guys -- but make no mistake about it, 2005, '6, '7, and everybody is very well aware of what's going on militarily, that is the year group where Facebook started and the iPhone and iPads came out, and the data technology explosion happened. So this group of people are going to be starting businesses or are starting

44 (Pages 173 to 176)

businesses right now, right? That's why there's a pressure to say, well, if this is going to turn into a three-year thing, not worth is, let's not do it, but if we can get it done and it's fact-based in a short period of time, let's go for it.

So long answer, short question, Craig, but I hope that rounds out sort of the "why" just the younger businesses and -- and ones like that.

MR. HEILMAN: I understand the young business part for sure. I'm just trying to understand, is it just because -- why leave them out? Why leave the sole proprietors out when we know from the data that the vast majority of veteran-owned businesses are that? I'm not saying that those are the ones that we should focus on, because everybody understands that employer-based businesses and growing businesses are the ones that add the most macroeconomic value, and that's important.

MR. SHELTON: Um-hum.

MR. HEILMAN: But is it -- but, I mean, I just don't understand why you leave them out. If it's just an issue of because it's going to cost too much to try to survey -- you know, if it's a survey sort of decision and the sample and let's focus on the ones that are the higher value-adding components, that's

using the data sets that we have, this is the right population we think to start, and it may inform further work. We always approach these problems in --

MR. O'FARRELL: So there -- sorry to interrupt. So there could be -- you know, the -- the audience is investors -- let's call it what it is. The audience for this study is investors.

MR. FORD: No. MR. O'FARRELL: No?

MR. FORD: Well, in one way or another, right? I mean, there are investors investing in incubators, right? So there are tons of service providers. SBA has provided Boots to Business training to transitioning service members. Wouldn't it be nice to know that that investment of time is actually yielding good results, right, that we're not spending -- you know, my fear, as a veteran, is that we send every veteran -- we embrace their 25 percent desire to start their own business, and they have terrible business ideas and no support mechanisms, and they think their next great idea is going to be the one, and it's terrible. It's a hammer looking for a nail.

And instead of allowing them to go find meaningful employment from another employer, we sent them on this course to chart their own path, and they

great, but I just -- on the other side of that, you know, we've got a -- you know, we can't ignore those that do want to be sole proprietorships, who are not going to, you know, pursue a high growth, and maybe they don't know and they will, and that's important. So that was all I was getting at. So, I mean, I think I understand why --

MR. SHELTON: For the sake of debate, I will tell you, from the funding side, we will never invest in a one-man band. Mark Rockefeller didn't tell you this, but StreetShares does not invest in sole proprietorships. You have to be an employer of other businesses. That is where the economic viability gets created, okay? So that is where the focus is. Now, I understand from the SBA's standpoint, you've got to look at everybody, you're a government agency, and I get it. So we can debate it. Somewhere in the final frame --

MR. FORD: But the important piece is that this is -- this is our slice, right? This is where we're starting. We may learn some things in here that indicate we need to do a second study on that particular population to inform other aspects of veteran entrepreneurship, but right now, to get into a sizeable chunk that we can do in less than 12 months.

fail with no safety net. There is no unemployment insurance for self-employed, right, when your business fails and the predatory loan guy keeps calling you back for all those monies to be recouped.

So, yes, to some extent, it's the investors, and I would say that as taxpayers, that it's government dollars, that it's funds like these, that is those backing Bunker Labs, Patriot Boot Camp, anyone who's trying to touch and accelerate a veteran's entrepreneurial success, this study is for them.

MR. O'FARRELL: So let me take that another way, because I saw the objection to, no, it's not just investors. So let's assume for a second that Project TORCH, Torch 1 is as you describe it and has been scoped accordingly, and you're looking at tech firms, and they're not, you know, sole proprietorships. Maybe Project TORCH 2 is a broader audience, and that's what I think I heard you saying.

Based on what you learn in this study, there might be the opportunity, because there are plenty of construction companies out there that are hundreds of -- you know, veteran-owned and have many more, and across all the sectors. So I think that's what you're going for, is how --

MR. FORD: You guys have, from what I

understand -- and, Mike Phipps, I have seen the synopsis, and we will share that immediately following this meeting, and from what I saw in the synopsis, it seems like a really great approach that you're taking, the scope and scale of what you're talking to in trying to gather the data, but I completely agree with Craig. We still -- we're -- we want the bigger -- we want the bigger answer, because for all the reasons that you both have described, we don't necessarily have it right now.

Do you agree, Barb, or -- go ahead.

MR. ROCKEFELLER: This is Mark from StreetShares. I just want to clarify one thing for the record. We will lend to single-member LLCs, and so perhaps there's a distinction there that could be made. I'm not sure. The reason why I can't lend to sole props is because they have to be a business entity for it to be a commercial loan and not a consumer loan. So it's a regulatorily driven requirement, but we will lend to single-member LLCs. So Brandon is right, but I just wanted to sort of clarify that, just --

MR. FORD: Survival for these panels.
MR. SHELTON: Can I respond, Jim, real quick?
MR. O'FARRELL: Well, real quick, and maybe,
for the record, there's some sort of recommendation

of calls. Mark's on the working group. Is it tech? Is it nontech? Is it three years? Is it five years? Is it sole proprietorship? And, Craig, you're exactly right to pull that out. But for phase one, whatever we are going to say, that's why we want VetFran in, we want nontech businesses and we want tech. We frame it out that way.

We do push out the 2 million-plus that are individuals, and we kind of focus on the 500,000 that we can account. We want to just go as early into their decision cycle as possible to say, well, why are you more successful than this person or that person or that person? And we want to find those trend lines, and then we want to all change. So SBA should change, privates versus caps should change, non-profits should change. Whatever way you're attacking veteran entrepreneurship and however you define it, it should feed all of us to make a realtime adjustment.

The ultimate byproduct we know statistically is veterans, when successful in business, are more likely to hire more veterans. It's proven. That is the -- that is the engine behind this type of effort, right? And so if we can convince the nonmilitary environment we all live in -- and we could probably -- I'm sure you guys have had many debates around that, how big that

that this committee could make that would go toward deregulation on that -- of that requirement that you just stated.

MR. ROCKEFELLER: It's possible, but I think it would be difficult because most lending is regulated at the state level. The way that it's regulated at the federal level is if the lender is a federally chartered bank or credit union or something like that. Since we are not, we -- you know, we comply on a state-by-state level with licenses and, you know, registered agents and all of that. So that's a great initiative. Whether it is one that is feasible, I would defer to

Whether it is one that is feasible, I would defer to the experts on.

MR. SHELTON: Sure, this is Brandon from TFX. So one of the primary reasons I did not want to sit at this table or talk is -- I'm on the board of NAVSO, in all transparency, and friends with Chris -- is that it can't come across the way you just interpreted it, Jim. It cannot be a venture capitalist looking for the raw gain and focused on tech firms, okay? So I can't -- no matter what optics I do, I can't convince you enough that I really do generally care about the nontech firms. This is why he's doing it.

But when you look in the scope -- we battled with this in our working groups. We have had a couple

void is -- you know, veterans are this or veterans are that, right? I see it in my hometown marketplaces all over. "Oh, the Mayor came out because we're doing veteran entrepreneurship, and we have got" -- no, no, no. We just need to make them successful, all of us.

Why? Because they hire more veterans, and it's a flywheel. So if we can get to that goal, starting with whatever we get money for and time and support for, I'm all for it, but it is -- I just want to make sure for the record, it's not a tech fast thing. It needs to be a representative data pool, whatever we can afford, and handle -- it can't just be -- one of the pushbacks we have with IVMF is they're, like, "Hey, we already did this," right, in November. And Nick's like, "Look, here it is." We're like, "Yeah, but all you did was scrape databases." Like, we actually need all of us to share, where we can, information, we need to actually interview entrepreneurs of all -- you know, by sort of categories, and we need to do it rapidly, and then find the trend lines, and then do something about it, right?

So I think there's a little bit different, hopefully, impetus or framework that it can be communicated to this group that we are trying to achieve with this.

46 (Pages 181 to 184)

MR. HEILMAN: I would make one recommendation, then, in terms of how you're framing it on that slide. You know, I would maybe say repeatable, sustainable businesses, and get rid of the non-lifestyle aspect of it, because, you know, in the investor community, lifestyle is used as derogatory, right? You know, you're a lifestyle business; I am not going to invest in you, right? That's not the case for a lot of our veteran entrepreneurs. That's what they want, right? They want a lifestyle where they can be self-employed, and maybe they have employees or maybe they don't, but that's where they're -- you know, and we shouldn't be excluding that.

We want them to have a sustainable business, right? We don't want them to go -- you know, have a bad idea and no unemployment insurance on the other end of it, right, because they're self-employed, so perhaps that's a compromise there in the way that you're framing it. I get the survey issues, right, you can only go after a certain sample, and you want to go after the most valuable sample, right, that's going to yield those insights that you're looking for and why we ought to be backing our veteran entrepreneurs. So maybe that's a way, repeatable/sustainable, and get out of that sort of judgmental phrase about somebody's

businesses similar to those in individual sustainable businesses, one employee, not using the term "lifestyle," but whatever that term might be, right? And maybe you compare those two results and figure out, these veterans went down this path and they all are self-employed, and these were the determinants of their success, versus those who actually built kind of those scalable growth companies that went from two to 20 employees, from 2 million to 20 million. These are the attributes.

So you can actually start channelling the veteran candidates into the best mechanisms for their support. You want to do what? Then this is your path, because the study says you're more successful doing these things, but if you actually want to do that, there's a whole alternative set for you to go through, and right now we don't have that information to tell us if Bunker Labs, Patriot Boot Camp, or any of the alternatives are the better option to ensure entrepreneurial success. We just -- there is not enough data there yet.

MR. PHIPPS: Have you guys established a set of metrics or criteria that you know would be involved with this study, what information you're going to be collecting?

endeavor.

MR. FORD: Yeah, that's a helpful recommendation.

If we can go back to slide 2, I just want to remind you about the opportunity, right, that if -- if we had -- there you go. If less than 1 percent is serving and that's turning into 9 percent of all businesses in the country, we can -- I think we're pretty safe to assume that it wasn't because future entrepreneurs joined the military, right? They weren't on a predetermined path before raising their right hand to go and start a business.

MR. PHIPPS: That 9 percent is going to dramatically reduce if you take out the sole proprietor from that number, drastically.

MR. FORD: Out of the total volume of businesses that --

MR. HEILMAN: Yeah. That takes it from 2.4 million businesses to 424,000, you know, is what the Census would say about that.

MR. FORD: But if we can figure out what's going on in this bell curve, right, if we can figure out what's going on in this bell curve, can this number start to go up? And then is that rep applicable? Are the success determinants for those in sustainable

1 MR. FORD: So the data sources, yes.

MR. PHIPPS: And specifically what information you're going to be collecting to paint that picture, or is this study going to involve, okay, we have to go back and kind of figure out what information we need to collect?

MR. FORD: Sure.

MR. SHELTON: We want all of the SBA's data -MR. FORD: Right. That's step one, right? So,
no, the steering committee has already come to the
table and offered access to information that's already
owned through those steering committee members. So a
rich set of data for hundreds of thousands of
businesses that are veteran-owned to tell us -- to give
us your starting point. And then the -- when we select
the research partner for this one, they will help us
round out all of the blind spots that we may have.
Again, we're just waiting for those proposals to come
back in their final form.

But that's where we trust -- I'm not a senior researcher. I did stay at a Holiday Inn Express last night, but that's not enough. So we're going to trust them to tell us the blind spots and what's achievable in this time frame with what we have and what else we have to go get and rely on their expertise to get us to

the conclusions that we're looking for.

MS. CASEY: I just need to interject here, just to correct a statement for the record. This is Maureen Casey at the IVMF. I think there was a statement that was just made, and I'm not quite sure who made it, about the research that we currently have ongoing is just looking at databases and not talking to veterans. The Coalition for Veteran-Owned Business, and in partnership, actually, with Bunker Labs, we have now spoken directly with more than 60 veteran entrepreneurs across the country, doing a very deep, qualitative study and analysis, coupled with some of the other quantitative research that we're doing. So just to be clear about the work that we have ongoing here at the IVMF, there is some very direct communication with veteran entrepreneurs going on across the country.

MR. O'FARRELL: Thanks for making that clarification.

So I guess, you know, one recommendation you might see out of this committee, speaking for the committee at the moment, is that you -- this is phase one, and there could -- and the committee recommends that a phase two include a broader audience, and there might even be that phase -- you know, this is your proof of concept, and then phase two is broader, and

1 MR. FORD: Thank you all for the opportunity 2 today.

MR. O'FARRELL: Okay. The committee is -- any final questions before we adjourn the on-the-record portion of the meeting?

Okay, so the next meeting is on June 15th, same location. Go ahead, Joe, you have one more?

MR. PHIPPS: When is the IATF, the 14th? MR. SHARPE: Are you closing out the meeting

MR. SHARPE: Are you closing out the meeting right now?

MR. O'FARRELL: What we do now is we go off the record and have a post-meeting to finalize the report for 2016.

MR. SHARPE: Okay. Well, I have a statement before we --

MR. O'FARRELL: Please.

MR. SHARPE: -- we go off the record, and that's about this advisory committee. What are the interests of the American Legion --

MS. BULLARD-MARSHALL: Joe, can you talk into the microphone? I can't hear you.

MR. SHARPE: One of the interests of the American Legion is to see that this office and SBA is more in tune to what veterans are doing on the grass roots level, and because of that we would like to see

there might even be a phase three that goes -- cuts across all of the different sectors that we're kind of talking about, so that we get to that -- is that red or orange? I am sort of going color-blind in my old age.

MR. PHIPPS: Red.

MR. O'FARRELL: You know, you get to that 9 percent number.

MR. HEILMAN: What's your timeline on the, you know, RFP and what's the next steps?

MR. FORD: So the RFP is already out with our research partners. We're expecting proposals back by the end of this month. Our goal for published is by the end of this calendar year, so we have got less than nine months to go to get everything wrapped up.

MR. O'FARRELL: And I think that also explains some of the scoping that you've done, yeah.

MR. FORD: And, you know, to Maureen's point on the phone, that's why we're so excited to have Syracuse on board to help us, is they have already done so much rich work on this already that we don't want to replicate any of the work they're doing. We want to deepen that and give a third-party offering that they can incorporate in their future work as well.

MR. O'FARRELL: Thanks, Chris. Thanks, Brandon. We appreciate you guys being here today.

more input from SBA on what's happening to veterans in their local communities.

For an example, the Walter Reed Medical Center here in Washington has closed and is currently being developed. We attended one of their meetings, and we discovered that there's not a veteran component to that development plan. All that they have is a small Transition Center for Veterans. Sixty percent of the property is going to the District of Columbia. The other part is going to the State Department. We have no idea what the State Department is going to do with that land, and the City of Washington is setting aside projects for minorities and women, but nothing for veterans.

We can't understand how a major medical facility that's been there for 102 years does not have a veteran component to it, and I think that if -- and we believe that SBA should be more involved in those type of situations where a military installation closes or there's huge VA medical projects, that we're able to somehow communicate that to our business owners to get involved in that. That would show more of an account of what SBA is doing, the need for SBA and for this office, and I think we could attain better outcomes and be able to do a better presentation as far as reports

48 (Pages 189 to 192)

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

2

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

195

196

193

and lobbying on the Hill for that.

1

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17 18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

1

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

MR. O'FARRELL: Joe, what is your -- what's your take on why that isn't happening, using Walter Reed as your example? What is the push-back? They just -- lack of knowledge? There needs to be that --

MR. SHARPE: I don't think there's enough involvement from SBA on the local level to feel that they can be involved on the community level to make sure that veterans are -- are -- are aware of specific projects that are in their -- that's going on in that community or to more or less feel that they have a right to be involved in ensuring that those developers include veterans in whatever plans they have.

MR. O'FARRELL: Okay.

MR. HEILMAN: So, yes, we obviously only have real influence on the -- with the Federal Government in terms of contracts, but I would say that that is something that our Veteran Business Outreach Centers are engaging in, is trying to understand what's happening at the state and local level as it relates to projects like this and where there are or are not veteran set-asides, and trying to socialize that within that network so that they can have influence on the grass roots level.

We do have some examples of where there has

the great work.

And I just want to, I guess, circle back to what we talked about first thing this morning about programs like Boots to Business, Access to Capital, Mentor-Protegè, and I can tell you that we're very heavily engaged in all three of those areas, plus with Boots to Business, I started doing that in 2012, in the basement of Henderson Hall, to six people. We now will be doing 44 of those two-day workshops this year to roughly about a thousand transitioning veterans, spouses, Reservists, National Guardsmen.

We are going to be trying to capture some of the impact of that, but as we've discussed, you know, surveys only get you so much. One of the things that we see in collecting that information, very similar to my story is, I retired from the military and went and got a job first, and it was two, three years before I decided to jump into business. We are now starting to see more and more of those veterans who went through TAP and Boots to Business coming back and saying, "Hey, you probably don't remember me, but, you know, in 2014, I'm now ready to launch." And so we're seeing more of

And plus, just the success stories that we hear, you know, folks like Stacy Redmon with Strategy

194

been success in places like New York. So I think it's something that we can work on. I don't know what the specifics of that would be, but it has been something that the growth of our VBOC network has been very helpful, to be able to understand and actually have more realtime knowledge about what's happening and get

that grass roots action.

MR. SHARPE: And what that's telling me is that there needs to be, like you said, more of a need for it, and that's one of the things that we can push. What you're doing is fine, but obviously you don't have the resources or the FTE to do more of that. There's no excuse for a project as large as Walter Reed not to have a veteran component to it, and I know that there are other installations and VA medical centers across the country where that's also an issue. I think that's a space where we can be more proactive in.

MR. MCCAFFREY: This is Charles McCaffrey. I'm the director of the Veterans Business Outreach Center for the National Capital Region and the Caribbean. I'll let that sink in for a second. I've had an opportunity yesterday and then again today to sit on the Interagency Task Force and then today the Advisory Committee, and I appreciate the opportunity to be able to do so and to meet the wonderful folks that are doing

1 Management Services, started as a, you know, two-person

shop in our incubator and now 131 employees and about

3 20 million in revenue, going to graduate from the 8(a) 4

program. R.J. Blake, who was here yesterday, who went 5 through Boots to Business, through VIP, came back to us

6 for the VA verification work that we do and the

7 Mentor-Protegè Program, just entered into a 8 mentor-protegè. So those stories too are just as 9

important as the numbers.

And I would even argue that the number of people that we have dissuaded from investing their entire life savings into a business that was a bad business needs to be told and taken into consideration. From, again, the mentor-protegè, the certification standpoint, every month, we have classes on getting started in federal contracting. We work with our PTAPs, our women's business centers, our SBDCs, all of our resource partners, as well as reaching out to the Secretaries of Veteran Affairs for Virginia, for Maryland, for the director here in D.C., to help promote their programs and to bring veteran training to those organizations.

And then, lastly, access to capital. We hear it all the time. That's how our parent organization, Community-Business Partnership, got started, was as a

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

1

2

3

4 5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

199

197

microlender 23 years ago, and we found very quickly 1 2 that lending without the technical assistance is a very

- 3 bad idea. So we've focused on the technical assistance
- 4 for a long time, and we have now become CDFI certified.
- We still have our own microlending program, and we love 5
- working with partners like, you know, Mark from 6 7
 - StreetShares, and, you know, we recently worked with a
- 8 veteran to get refinancing through the Business Finance
- 9 Group, so he could get about \$250,000 to buy more
- 10 vehicles for his business. So we're very heavily

11 involved and on and on.

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

1

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15 16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

You know, we had a meeting just this Monday with SBA, USDA, and Veteran Farmers Coalition to help provide training, counseling, access to capital for folks who want to go into the agri business community, which is beyond just tilling the soil, and we continue to do that. So I would put out as well an invitation -- and, Chairman, we have already spoken as well -- we would love to have you come down to the VBOC in beautiful Springfield, Virginia, the Paris of

- 20
- 21 Northern Virginia, as opposed to Paris, Virginia, but
- 22 have you down, or for those of you who that are not
- 23 local, I would be more than happy to introduce you to
- 24 the VBOC directors in your location. I'm sure that
- 25 they would love to have you come out and tour their

may not be as familiar with FPDS and how to get around. You can see where government is spending money, how much they're spending, with whom, how often, and for what. So I hope I covered all the criteria that you might find there, but that's a good place to have some transparency in where the government money goes.

This morning, on capital access, I'd like to have them back for a little more clarity, and already we'll add, I have got a list of questions that they will answer at the June meeting, but there were -- what I believe was trying to be communicated -- and it would have helped to have a visual -- was why they believed that there's a coding issue, is that a borrower needs to have their DD-214 with them at the closing if they want to have a benefit of being a veteran and within the loan to be marked as a veteran.

So what I asked for clarity on today was, can you just check the box and we'll at least know that you applied? And that's where the rub is. I don't have an answer for certain -- I'm looking behind me to see if anyone else does. If you could check the box, but if the loan doesn't go anywhere, will I still be able to know at the other end was it approved or declined? I don't know if they had to actually bring the 214 --DD-214 in to prove it. So I am going to find out.

198

facilities as well. I'm very proud to be a part of this organization and happy to help.

MR. O'FARRELL: Well, we would like to schedule the meeting at the -- in the Caribbean location, okay? Seriously, thanks for being here today.

MR. MCCAFFREY: Thank you.

MS. CARSON: Charles, you do an amazing job. Thanks for having us to visit and for the work that you do. When you mention how many you are going to train just through one of the programs that you offer, a thousand this year, that's outstanding. Thanks for your dedication --

MR. MCCAFFREY: Thank you.

MS. CARSON: -- and talent. That's good.

And, Joe, thanks for your feedback. That's a -- that's something you and I haven't discussed before, and I look forward to following up with you on that and more, as always. So thanks for the feedback on that.

I had a couple clarifications from things that came up during the day that I was going to share with you, if you don't mind, Jim. One was to Maggie's question. The cite I was trying to come up with was usaspending.gov, which is pulled from the FPDS data, but it's a little bit easier to navigate for those who

200

But they were saying that they are seeing the largest drop in veteran lending in the areas that no longer get the fee relief. That's what he was articulating. So we still see actually a rise in lending where the fee relief remains and a dropoff where we lost it. Still, SBA does, by statute, have a responsibility to provide special consideration to veterans in their lending programs, so it is a good request that came out strongly today that we would like to hear how the modeling is done. What are the factors that go into the decisions on what special consideration will mean by the SBA, because it hasn't always been fee relief. At other times, it's been a higher guarantee level on the loan. So what are the pluses and minuses? And I think it would be great to get input from this body on how we do that.

Thank you.

MR. O'FARRELL: And I just want to make a couple of closing comments. First of all, when I took over as Chairman this year, I got a lot of input from the committee, specifically Ed Fielder and Mike Phipps. One of the things that I -- the lesson that I took away from the first two years on the committee was, having speakers get up in front of us and not just give a sales pitch on how great their organization is, and I

	201	
1 2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22 23 24 25	think that we heard this afternoon and this morning from several speakers who did that. Yes, we got a little bit of a sales pitch, but at the end of it, we also got some real substantive recommendations that we can take as the committee and take forward, that will eventually end up in Mike Phipps' document, okay? So with that, we are going to go off the record. I thank everyone for being here today, and that goes for the public as well. (Whereupon, at 2:38 p.m. the meeting was adjourned.)	
	202	
1 2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22 23 24 25	I, Jen Metcalf-Razzino, do hereby certify that the foregoing proceedings were recorded by me and reduced to typewriting under the supervision of For The Record, Inc.; that I am neither counsel for, related to, nor employed by any of the parties to the action in which these proceedings were transcribed; and further, that I am not a relative or employee of any attorney or counsel employed by the parties hereto, nor financially or otherwise interested in the outcome of the action. JEN METCALF-RAZZINO, CER	

\mathbf{A}
A-76 98:23
A.M 1:13
ability 87:5 92:17
98:25 103:22
121:11
able 18:2 20:11 23:7
29:4 35:17 37:18
49:12 51:5 67:23
69:14 70:19,23
73:5 83:21 92:1 102:17 103:2
112:14 118:20
125:23 135:18
145:10 152:10
156:7 158:24
167:22 170:17,24
192:20,25 194:5
194:24 199:22
abolish 122:14
absolutely 33:22
58:7 106:10
134:23 153:3
academic 152:22
accelerate 180:9
accelerator 167:3
acceptable 66:21
accepting 35:18 access 2:9,19 8:10
13:19 16:22 18:25
20:17 24:5 41:9,11
41:19 55:22 57:25
61:23 104:3
111:17,18 138:24
140:9,10,15 141:3
142:13 145:18
149:25 157:12
169:2,5 188:11
195:4 196:23
197:14 199:7
accessible 140:13
Accessing 171:15
accomplished 16:15
account 84:21,23 85:2 144:4 151:16
183:10 192:22
accountable 20:10

accounting 113:20	
113:23	
accredited 144:13	
accurate 46:17	
accurately 52:10	
achievable 188:23	
achieve 184:25	
acquisition 91:7 94:3 96:13 97:3	
110:19 123:7	
127:3 132:25	
136:13	
act 14:20 15:3,21	
32:8 38:15 58:22	
84:17,25 94:17	
125:14	
acting 20:18 31:10	
31:24 33:17 41:13	
action 176:11 194:7	
202:8,12 actionable 167:11	
176:6	
actions 16:19 32:11	
active 4:25 98:12	
112:24 174:23	
active-duty 21:16	
141:12	
actively 35:7 164:16	
activities 26:21	
35:24 132:24	
activity 42:25 43:1 157:7 158:18	
actual 23:1 25:8	
30:7 89:17 112:1	
133:6 171:1	
ACVBA 14:17 15:4	
62:24	
adaptor 142:7	
add 21:2 40:19 54:6	
73:18 74:1,11	
76:23 93:8 131:16 147:5,11 173:24	
147:5,11 173:24 177:17 199:9	
added 89:7	
adding 59:4	
additional 18:2,6	
26.24.41.2.46.6	1

36:24 41:2 46:6

5 0.10
50:19
address 29:19 35:23
37:20 61:4,6 75:24
102:16 132:12
139:11
addresses 37:13
addressing 17:20
38:2
adjourn 191:4
adjourned 201:12
adjudicating 38:23
adjust 56:20 142:13
adjustment 183:18
Administration 1:4
3:21 31:12 44:20
50:20 58:24 61:10
119:9 169:15
Administration's
3:5
administrative
75:11
administratively
124:20
administrator 2:3
3:12,21 9:22,23
12:20 14:22 16:3
18:10 22:20,20
31:25 34:20,25
41:19 53:13,15
60:5 61:17 72:21
103:20 105:13
Administrator's 35:19
Admiral 112:13
admit 33:22
adopt 156:1
adopted 82:1
Adrian 64:11 73:22
advance 107:2
advances 165:9,12
advantage 27:6
72:15 92:9 107:10
169:23
advantages 76:3
adversely 34:9
advice 7:7 8:25 9:2
9:15 14:21 34:24

advisable 15:23
advise 18:5 76:13
advising 16:17
advisor 72:22 73:2
advisors 71:21
72:20
advisory 1:6 3:5
6:14 7:8 10:22
16:17 36:4 73:14
191:18 194:23
advocacy 34:6,10
80:22 104:13,14
105:1
advocate 3:18 7:18
94:2,21 106:4
advocates 97:25
advocating 72:23
Aetna 71:23
AFCEA 97:10
affairs 1:7 3:6 10:22
36:5 112:12
123:11,17 126:1
127:12,24 196:19
afford 184:12
Affordable 38:15
Affordable 38:15 Afghanistan 140:19
Affordable 38:15 Afghanistan 140:19 147:9
Affordable 38:15 Afghanistan 140:19 147:9 afternoon 5:9 22:7
Affordable 38:15 Afghanistan 140:19 147:9 afternoon 5:9 22:7 80:8 127:4 138:1,3
Affordable 38:15 Afghanistan 140:19 147:9 afternoon 5:9 22:7 80:8 127:4 138:1,3 142:5 201:1
Affordable 38:15 Afghanistan 140:19 147:9 afternoon 5:9 22:7 80:8 127:4 138:1,3 142:5 201:1 age 190:4
Affordable 38:15 Afghanistan 140:19 147:9 afternoon 5:9 22:7 80:8 127:4 138:1,3 142:5 201:1 age 190:4 agencies 15:15
Affordable 38:15 Afghanistan 140:19 147:9 afternoon 5:9 22:7 80:8 127:4 138:1,3 142:5 201:1 age 190:4 agencies 15:15 32:12 33:7,24 89:2
Affordable 38:15 Afghanistan 140:19 147:9 afternoon 5:9 22:7 80:8 127:4 138:1,3 142:5 201:1 age 190:4 agencies 15:15 32:12 33:7,24 89:2 89:18 94:7,8,22,25
Affordable 38:15 Afghanistan 140:19 147:9 afternoon 5:9 22:7 80:8 127:4 138:1,3 142:5 201:1 age 190:4 agencies 15:15 32:12 33:7,24 89:2 89:18 94:7,8,22,25 96:10 97:19 98:17
Affordable 38:15 Afghanistan 140:19 147:9 afternoon 5:9 22:7 80:8 127:4 138:1,3 142:5 201:1 age 190:4 agencies 15:15 32:12 33:7,24 89:2 89:18 94:7,8,22,25 96:10 97:19 98:17 99:3 115:21
Affordable 38:15 Afghanistan 140:19 147:9 afternoon 5:9 22:7 80:8 127:4 138:1,3 142:5 201:1 age 190:4 agencies 15:15 32:12 33:7,24 89:2 89:18 94:7,8,22,25 96:10 97:19 98:17 99:3 115:21 119:14 128:15
Affordable 38:15 Afghanistan 140:19 147:9 afternoon 5:9 22:7 80:8 127:4 138:1,3 142:5 201:1 age 190:4 agencies 15:15 32:12 33:7,24 89:2 89:18 94:7,8,22,25 96:10 97:19 98:17 99:3 115:21 119:14 128:15 129:1,13 133:23
Affordable 38:15 Afghanistan 140:19 147:9 afternoon 5:9 22:7 80:8 127:4 138:1,3 142:5 201:1 age 190:4 agencies 15:15 32:12 33:7,24 89:2 89:18 94:7,8,22,25 96:10 97:19 98:17 99:3 115:21 119:14 128:15 129:1,13 133:23 134:16 163:25
Affordable 38:15 Afghanistan 140:19 147:9 afternoon 5:9 22:7 80:8 127:4 138:1,3 142:5 201:1 age 190:4 agencies 15:15 32:12 33:7,24 89:2 89:18 94:7,8,22,25 96:10 97:19 98:17 99:3 115:21 119:14 128:15 129:1,13 133:23 134:16 163:25 agency 33:4 35:6
Affordable 38:15 Afghanistan 140:19 147:9 afternoon 5:9 22:7 80:8 127:4 138:1,3 142:5 201:1 age 190:4 agencies 15:15 32:12 33:7,24 89:2 89:18 94:7,8,22,25 96:10 97:19 98:17 99:3 115:21 119:14 128:15 129:1,13 133:23 134:16 163:25 agency 33:4 35:6 59:24 88:20 89:8
Affordable 38:15 Afghanistan 140:19 147:9 afternoon 5:9 22:7 80:8 127:4 138:1,3 142:5 201:1 age 190:4 agencies 15:15 32:12 33:7,24 89:2 89:18 94:7,8,22,25 96:10 97:19 98:17 99:3 115:21 119:14 128:15 129:1,13 133:23 134:16 163:25 agency 33:4 35:6
Affordable 38:15 Afghanistan 140:19 147:9 afternoon 5:9 22:7 80:8 127:4 138:1,3 142:5 201:1 age 190:4 agencies 15:15 32:12 33:7,24 89:2 89:18 94:7,8,22,25 96:10 97:19 98:17 99:3 115:21 119:14 128:15 129:1,13 133:23 134:16 163:25 agency 33:4 35:6 59:24 88:20 89:8
Affordable 38:15 Afghanistan 140:19 147:9 afternoon 5:9 22:7 80:8 127:4 138:1,3 142:5 201:1 age 190:4 agencies 15:15 32:12 33:7,24 89:2 89:18 94:7,8,22,25 96:10 97:19 98:17 99:3 115:21 119:14 128:15 129:1,13 133:23 134:16 163:25 agency 33:4 35:6 59:24 88:20 89:8 94:22 95:4 97:4
Affordable 38:15 Afghanistan 140:19 147:9 afternoon 5:9 22:7 80:8 127:4 138:1,3 142:5 201:1 age 190:4 agencies 15:15 32:12 33:7,24 89:2 89:18 94:7,8,22,25 96:10 97:19 98:17 99:3 115:21 119:14 128:15 129:1,13 133:23 134:16 163:25 agency 33:4 35:6 59:24 88:20 89:8 94:22 95:4 97:4 119:2 128:14 153:11,15,22
Affordable 38:15 Afghanistan 140:19 147:9 afternoon 5:9 22:7 80:8 127:4 138:1,3 142:5 201:1 age 190:4 agencies 15:15 32:12 33:7,24 89:2 89:18 94:7,8,22,25 96:10 97:19 98:17 99:3 115:21 119:14 128:15 129:1,13 133:23 134:16 163:25 agency 33:4 35:6 59:24 88:20 89:8 94:22 95:4 97:4 119:2 128:14

```
agent 118:1
agents 182:10
ago 13:1,13 15:17
 16:4 22:24 47:5
 63:16,16 64:23
 96:24 101:3,25
 109:20 110:17
 121:15 127:19
 149:3 197:1
agree 39:9 103:12
 126:7 181:6,11
agreed 65:13 72:9
 72:18,21 129:21
 153:25 170:4
agreement 29:10
 83:14
agreements 83:8
 107:2
agri 197:15
Ah 4:18
ahead 14:11 28:11
 30:17 88:10,15
 133:15 137:12
 152:12 181:11
 191:7
aim 33:8 164:8,11
 168:12
air 4:15 42:1 120:10
Airbnb 142:11
Airborne 41:25
Alaska 57:5,5
align 82:11 153:10
aligns 172:17
alive 122:1
alliance 26:14
Alliant 115:5
allocate 165:3,16
allocation 160:20
allow 5:6 76:20
 81:19 82:9,12 86:9
 87:25 132:5 161:3
allowance 90:6
allowed 56:14,18
 90:9,10 107:1,2
allowing 179:23
allows 87:20 108:7
 109:3 129:1,13
```

W 1 1164 14	171 11	
alluded 164:14	171:11	
165:10	anti-Kingdomware	
alongside 20:13	128:21	
25:25 105:1	anti-Vets 128:22	
alternative 187:16	anticipate 46:3	
alternatives 187:19	65:21	
amazing 198:7	anticipating 65:24	
Amazon 67:23	anybody 121:2	
70:17,18 117:25	122:7 133:13	
148:12	anybody's 113:2	
AMD 116:21 117:10	anymore 92:14	
amend 87:9,9,11	101:14	
America 18:4 97:12	anyway 26:9 42:24	
139:11 140:12	45:5 46:10 74:1	
144:9 147:24	aperture 173:22	
165:6 166:8 170:8	appeal 87:20	
174:10	appealed 38:24	
American 12:16	appeals 38:22 87:21	
22:2 24:9 97:13	appear 48:1 80:16	
111:6 141:18	appears 48:3	
191:19,23	Applause 3:25 9:21	
Americans 165:7	apples 80:3	
amicus 98:5	applicable 186:24	
amount 54:19 55:8	applicants 147:15	
115:1 173:16	160:21	
176:1,4	application 32:17	
amounts 157:8	121:5	
analysis 46:20	applications 39:4	
105:20 189:12	applied 16:19	
anecdotally 166:20	199:19	
175:21	applies 117:7	
anecdote 109:4	apply 54:21 55:9,10	
anecdotes 33:21	55:17,23,25 56:1	
Angie's 68:3	151:19 159:21,22	
announced 115:23	161:3,23	
announcement 23:2	applying 64:24	
annual 17:12,16	138:17	
33:10 69:4 109:16	appointed 34:19	
110:1	appreciate 4:10	
anomalies 48:4	9:20,25 10:4,4	
anonymized 152:8	20:6,10 41:5,7	
answer 62:7 75:8	56:3 138:23 152:1	
164:22 166:4	190:25 194:24	
177:6 181:8	appreciative 7:7	
199:10,20	17:12	
answers 132:13	approach 23:6 48:7	
169:8,11 170:16	50:21 80:18 179:3	

181:4
approached 77:4
97:4 approaches 20:1
appropriate 29:20
37:2
appropriation 21:6 approval 54:1
approvals 83:25
approve 158:25
160:9 approved 36:18
44:4 45:6,7 76:24
82:18 83:10
199:23 approximate 53:16
approximately 25:3
29:25
April 90:1 area 21:7 23:9 37:12
63:11 113:13
114:6
areas 6:4 17:1 18:13 18:24 19:18 20:21
25:23 164:3 195:6
200:2
arena 24:18 116:15
116:16 137:4 argue 99:24 100:8
175:7 196:10
argument 125:2,18
arguments 126:6 Armed 97:10
Army 11:17 14:8
63:3,4 101:18
arrival 31:19 articles 119:19
articulated 165:4
articulating 200:4
aside 61:9 92:2 192:12
asked 7:15 28:2
36:14 46:20 76:2
127:15 138:14,15 152:10 153:12
154:3 199:17
asking 37:3 56:14
0

73:7 163:17	
aspect 108:14 185:4	
aspects 152:13	
178:23	
assembled 170:15	
assessing 29:7	
assessment 175:11 assets 166:11	
assigned 133:3	
assigning 74:2	
assimilated 175:2	
assist 32:4	
assistance 6:15 35:6	
41:21 56:13 57:21	
96:22 197:2,3	
assistant 31:25 109:5	
assisting 32:9	
Associate 12:20	
14:22 22:20 41:18	
association 34:2	
79:9 97:11,15	
163:18 168:22	
associations 32:24	
122:25 assume 103:22	
180:13 186:9	
assuming 166:24	
Atlanta 114:15	
atmosphere 109:2	
110:12	
attaches 155:20	
attack 128:4 175:21	
attacking 183:16 attain 192:24	
attend 25:2 67:6	
123:23	
attended 17:1 29:16	
192:5	
attending 20:24	
attention 68:10	
162:8 166:9	
attestation 69:4 attorney 114:13	
202:10	
attribute 169:10	
attributes 167:11	
	1

```
187:10
audience 14:15
 35:23 64:11 73:1
 179:5,6 180:17
 189:23
audio 64:1
audit 113:22
audits 32:13 38:20
 69:4
August 59:2
Aument 149:5,5,9
 149:13 150:18
auspices 66:10
authorities 74:16
authority 15:3 43:7
 43:12,15,17 56:11
authorization 33:3
automatically 68:13
 69:24
available 42:8 107:4
 107:9 139:22
 148:19
average 156:22
 158:11
avoidance 122:8
award 3:16 23:3
 24:14 28:3 94:5
 107:20 119:20
awarded 23:3 89:8
  116:10,23 135:22
awardees 115:23
awards 89:14
 107:19 119:13,18
aware 35:16 54:14
 54:18 55:2 57:17
 58:16 65:8 67:12
 73:10 123:10
 124:5 176:21
 193:9
awareness 33:6 55:4
 132:13
awful 107:8
```

В

back 5:8,10 11:19 21:12 28:13 39:5 39:10,21,24 44:1

Advisory Committee of	n Veteran	Business Affairs
-----------------------	-----------	-------------------------

155:15,17 156:6 160:1,7 161:7 165:6,7 166:12 180:3 186:4 188:5 188:19 190:11 195:2,20 196:5 199:8 backbone 9:10 backers 143:4 background 11:10 13:11 14:17 42:3 backing 180:8 185:23 backlog 38:23 backlog 38:23 bad 93:15 122:24 127:4 167:15,21 169:12 185:16 196:12 197:3 baked 77:19 baker's 18:10 Bakery 6:24 18:11 baking 7:3
background 11:10 13:11 14:17 42:3 backing 180:8 185:23 backlog 38:23 bad 93:15 122:24 127:4 167:15,21 169:12 185:16 196:12 197:3 baked 77:19 baker's 18:10 Bakery 6:24 18:11
169:12 185:16 196:12 197:3 baked 77:19 baker's 18:10 Bakery 6:24 18:11
balance 35:16 50:6

banks 49:16 140:11 140:12,23,23 145:23 147:13,16 147:18,23 148:7 162:23 banks' 162:8 bar 27:7,12 Barb 4:2,3,13 6:21 8:22 12:19 20:4 31:15 58:4,10 61:3
102:22 111:12 114:4 124:5 128:25,25 146:17 148:25 181:11 Barb's 111:25 Barbara 62:20 base 4:15 113:12
based 14:19 43:12 50:7,17 63:20 68:23 83:6,22 88:20,21,22,23 96:3 142:18 146:15 180:19 basement 195:8
bases 4:24 5:2,17 basic 139:18 141:19 155:11 156:18 basically 17:4 52:2 63:14 67:23 79:14 79:25 84:5 87:2,12 90:19,22 106:18
132:20 141:16 150:15 151:23 basis 15:25 52:2 100:13 battalion 63:2 battled 182:24 battlefield 163:2 battlefields 140:7
bear 141:16 143:20 146:11 beautiful 197:20 becoming 30:12 beginning 26:23 37:25 91:14 104:12 106:14 behalf 33:4

Bekah 13:7 19:24 26:1 52:21 belief 45:1,2 believe 45:19 53:23 75:8 98:9 109:2 116:1,20,22 144:7 147:21 160:15 166:10 175:23 192:18 199:11
believed 199:12
bell 186:22,23
Ben 72:13 91:4
beneficial 93:20
benefit 9:6 35:23
45:21 46:11 51:10
51:19 93:3 199:15
benefited 16:25
benefits 76:5 91:21
93:14
best 6:15 46:17
47:18 108:16
110:21 117:19
124:24 146:1
155:4 159:10
168:14 170:16
187:12
best-in-class 169:7
better 3:20 43:13
64:2 99:22 152:18
164:6,9 165:2,14
165:15,16 168:10
170:1 187:19
192:24,25
beyond 197:16
bias 167:18
bid 94:19 143:8
bidding 38:17
big 4:3,4 25:13
58:21 66:3 84:12
97:8 99:7 105:14
123:21 124:17
125:4 131:7 140:8
147:13 183:25
bigger 25:14 44:7
107:15 181:7,8
biggest 30:14 37:21
82:20 147:23
J J I I / J

Bill 7:16 72:20
154:12,21 155:2
billing 118:9
billion 43:6,9,10
131:9 133:4,24
135:12 143:11
150:2 165:23
billions 129:10,10
133:1,1
Birmingham 64:15
72:12 76:1,1 78:8
78:11
birth/death 69:9
bit 4:12,21 5:3,14
9:13 17:17 20:19
24:7 32:3 34:5
49:12 51:4 67:20
68:21 70:15 71:8
88:13,14 90:4
97:23 108:18
109:20 150:21
159:23 168:19
171:20 173:8
184:22 198:25
201:3
bitch 118:22
Blackhawk 5:1
Blake 196:4
blanket 128:16
blend 158:6
blind 188:17,23
blood 9:10
Bloomberg 73:23
blowing 113:7
board 18:1 19:4
33:18 34:17,18
35:9 64:16 65:13
71:20,21 72:5,10
72:18,20 80:7 96:1
102:1 103:14
134:8 135:3
182:16 190:19
boards 34:15,16
35:11,13
boat 119:5
boat 119:5
boat 119:5 Bob 3:16 41:17 42:1
boat 119:5

62:1,5
Bob's 42:16
body 16:17 200:16
bond 144:1 150:6
bondholders 150:5
bonds 143:25
149:10,17
bonus 151:18
book 63:1,4 80:15
boomed 140:16
boot 13:23 63:14
64:6 70:25 113:17
113:18 168:6
170:5 175:12
180:8 187:18
Boots 6:21 15:16
16:21 22:3,13 23:1
23:20,23 24:1,4,5
27:12,20 28:5,13
29:16,25 30:11,16
106:3 167:3,4
179:13 195:4,7,20
196:5
borrower 51:22
52:13 54:21
151:22 153:16,24
155:5,12 199:13
borrowers 46:13
57:10 143:3
147:16 154:12
161:3
Borrowers' 154:21
155:2
boss 41:20
bosses 48:11
bottle 136:11 137:6
bottom 14:18 121:1
132:7 164:2
bought 127:1
bound 104:24
Bowes 64:13 65:11
69:20,21 75:21
box 199:18,21
bp 52:1
bps 51:24
BRAC 101:19
brag 142:20 143:13

-				
Braham 64:15	build 174:12	83:16 84:11,11	32:21 33:13,16,19	135:19 136:7
brake 91:2,13	building 21:23	85:3 86:4 89:11	34:10 35:8 36:7	144:14
Brandon 142:9	78:18 145:1	91:3,6,8,11,16,25	37:16,17 38:8	buys 131:23 136:15
162:17,19 163:5	158:22	94:4,10,11,15,17	39:13,17 42:20	byproduct 183:19
172:25 173:24	built 142:15,22	95:1,3,13,18 96:16	52:23 57:11 65:17	
174:3 181:20	146:9 187:7	97:5,6,20,21,25	65:18 66:18 67:11	C
182:14 190:25	BULLARD-MAR	98:2,11 99:10,13	67:16 68:1,2,13,17	C 2:1 3:1
brands 147:22,24	61:21 62:8,14	99:17 100:5 101:7	69:9,13,15 71:11	calculated 154:19
breach 85:21	88:11,16 89:21	104:2 105:16,16	72:2 74:3,6,8	calculation 81:18
break 47:11,12	93:24 94:1 95:7	105:21 106:3,19	77:10 79:6 82:13	calendar 190:13
62:17,17 66:5	137:7 139:6	107:21 109:1,8,9	82:17,23,24,25	California 66:4
133:12 137:10,13	191:20	109:17,25 110:3,3	85:18 89:8 96:14	call 70:7 113:8,12
137:14	bundling 100:9	110:11 112:8,22	97:16 98:10,14	113:17,19 114:8
breaking 65:13	101:15	113:3,11 114:6,8	99:5,25 100:3,24	114:10 117:14
BreakLine 170:6	Bunker 168:4	114:11 119:16	100:25 101:5,11	129:16 139:25
bridge 100:14	175:12 180:8	120:8 123:22	101:13 102:11,17	168:12 179:6
112:25 141:16	187:18 189:9	125:4 128:10,13	102:25 103:1,6	Call/Opening 2:5
brief 5:5 62:21	burdensome 124:20	129:3,12 130:20	104:2,18 105:21	called 12:11 16:9
66:12 98:6	Bureau 165:19	131:7 132:10,10	108:8 112:5,6	34:16 63:1,13
briefly 28:24 170:25	bureaucratic 109:16	133:22,22 134:15	113:7 114:22	98:19 111:19
brightest 110:21	Burrell 70:15	138:19 140:4,14	116:19 120:7	114:7 116:12
bring 5:3 7:8 9:18	business 1:4,7 2:14	143:25 144:20	122:17 123:19,25	124:5 128:22,25
21:12 27:22 34:9	2:16 3:5,6,11,20	149:10 150:6	125:18,20,24,24	131:4 147:20
66:10 70:9,21	6:5,21 7:18,24,24	153:7 154:12,18	125:25 126:3	154:11,21
92:17 93:12 99:3	8:2,11,15 10:22	154:19,21 155:2	129:14 132:3,4	calling 117:23
107:14 115:11	11:20,24 12:2,2,12	155:21 160:2	134:5,12 141:7	141:21 163:16
124:4 126:11	12:21,24 13:8	161:22 162:3	150:17 155:7	180:3
134:11 141:16	14:19 15:7,16	163:1,25 164:25	157:14,15 158:13	calls 5:16 68:3
143:20 146:11	16:21 19:7,11,12	165:12 167:3,5	164:19,21 165:5	104:15 183:1
153:9 159:17	19:14 21:4,9 22:4	169:23 173:13	165:22 166:8	camp 13:23 63:14
196:21 199:24	22:9,13 23:1,20,24	174:24 176:2	167:19 169:1	64:7 70:25 113:18
bringing 16:17	23:25 24:1,4,6,22	177:9 179:13,19	171:6,7,8 172:19	113:18 168:6
19:25 34:23	24:24 25:14 26:8	179:19 180:2	172:21,22 173:2,9	170:5 175:12
173:12	26:10,15 27:13,17	181:17 183:20	175:17 176:25	180:8 187:18
brings 147:15	27:18,19,20 28:1,5	185:7,14 186:12	177:1,8,13,16,16	Canada 76:25
Britain 77:1	28:13,20 29:16,17	189:8 192:21	178:13 183:6	candidates 187:12
broader 29:6 165:4	29:17 30:1,1,3,11	193:18 194:19	185:4 186:8,17,19	cap 46:1 49:21
180:17 189:23,25	30:16 31:11 32:8	195:4,7,18,20	187:1,2 188:14	capabilities 164:24
broken 47:6 88:14	34:2 35:3,4,8 36:5	196:5,12,13,17	businessman 72:7	capability 40:19
88:14	38:25 40:4 42:7	197:8,10,15	businessmen 72:11	capacity 10:9 33:17
broker 97:19	54:8,9 63:11,19	business-to-busin	button 117:23 118:7	114:3
brothers 5:18	67:2,13,15 68:1,5	67:25	buy 94:9 118:16	capital 2:9,19 8:10
brought 16:18	69:2 70:3,11 71:12	businesses 6:9 9:7	120:22,22 160:5	16:22 18:25 20:17
Bruce 72:14	71:14 72:24 73:5,9	9:10 12:6,13,14,14	174:11 197:9	25:21 41:9,11,19
bubble 19:21	75:11,13 76:9	13:14 15:21 16:7	buy-in 75:1 135:7	61:22,23 62:10
Bucha 72:6	77:11 78:3 79:10	19:7 20:2 24:21	buying 119:14 123:8	104:3 138:24
buck-fifty 10:3	80:25 81:4,8 83:5	25:9,10,11 32:4,10	132:24 135:16,17	140:9,10 141:3,17
buch-miy 10.3	00.23 01.7,0 03.3	23.7,10,11 32.7,10	132.27 133.10,17	
	I	I	I	I

145 10 10 145 10	100 00 00 1/
145:13,19 147:12	129:20,23 13
149:25 150:16	130:16,18 13
155:21 157:12	135:25 137:
159:24 160:10,20	139:3 149:1
162:18,23 171:15	151:24 152::
175:8 176:3	153:3,6 154:
194:20 195:4	156:3,13,15,
196:23 197:14	198:7,14
199:7	case 25:6 94:2
capital-backed	103:22 154:
142:17	160:6 185:8
capitalist 109:10,11	cases 32:15 38
182:19	39:3
capped 49:22 55:15	Casey 189:2,4
caps 183:15	cash 54:7 144
capture 195:12	145:13 165:
carcass 155:22	cast 61:9
card 62:4 113:3	categories 149
118:8 136:10	184:19
157:3	cause 45:18,19
Cardinal 116:14,24	46:20
117:15,17,19	causes 90:10
cards 136:6	causing 52:19
care 38:15 95:2	caution 55:18
100:4 116:6 144:7	caveats 40:11
167:19 169:11	CDCs 57:10
182:22	CDFI 197:4
career 106:25 109:4	CECOM 101:
careers 109:22	ceiling 91:12
Caribbean 194:20	cell 142:4 165
198:4	census 29:10
Carolina 4:15 11:20	165:19 186:2
11:25	Center 11:20
Carpenter 41:13	28:1 29:17,1
42:22 43:24 44:2,6	123:7 132:2:
44:21,24 45:1	192:3,8 194:
	,
46:23 47:3,9,11,24	centers 8:15,1
48:19 49:1 50:5,14	21:10 27:18
51:5,8 52:2 53:18	38:12 193:13
58:19 59:23 60:10	194:15 196:
60:16,21 61:19,22	central 65:15
carpenters 68:6	161:21 166:4
Carson 3:3 12:19,20	169:22
20:6 28:24 30:20	cents 10:3 102
30:25 31:5,9 63:25	CEO 5:7 14:8
78:10 103:4	CER 1:25 202
104:20 107:11	certain 48:4 1
104.20 107.11	CCI (aiii 40.4 1

	i
129:20,23 130:3,9	
130:16,18 135:20	
135:25 137:9	(
139:3 149:1	
151:24 152:5	
153:3,6 154:16	(
156:3,13,15,24	
198:7,14	(
case 25:6 94:20	
103:22 154:8	
160:6 185:8	
cases 32:15 38:22,23	
39:3	
Casey 189:2,4	
cash 54:7 144:2	
145:13 165:24	
cast 61:9	
categories 149:10	
184:19	(
cause 45:18,19	
46:20	(
causes 90:10	
causing 52:19	
caution 55:18,23	
caveats 40:11	
CDCs 57:10	(
CDFI 197:4	
CECOM 101:18	(
ceiling 91:12	
cell 142:4 165:11	(
census 29:10 103:5	
165:19 186:20	\
Center 11:20 22:10	
	١,
28:1 29:17,18	(
123:7 132:25	
192:3,8 194:19	
centers 8:15,16 21:5	
21:10 27:18,18,19	
38:12 193:18	(
194:15 196:17	
central 65:15	
161:21 166:4	
169:22	
cents 10:3 102:8	
CEO 5:7 14:8 72:19	(
CER 1:25 202:18	
certain 48:4 117:1	

121:16 147:16 185:20 199:20 certainly 38:7 73:10 93:13 134:15 139:4 172:11,24 CERTIFICATE 202:1 certification 18:3 19:9 65:16,18 66:6 66:8,9,15,21 68:22 68:24 70:13 74:7 74:20,23 75:9,10 76:3,5,6,7,11,16 76:18,18,21 78:2,9 78:12,18,20,23,25 79:7,18,24,25 80:19 83:6 93:4 196:14 certifications 74:15 77:9 134:13 certified 57:1,3,13 57:17,24 58:1 69:15,24 70:11 72:3 76:8,9 87:16 87:22 197:4 certify 68:13 69:12 82:23 202:4 cetera 142:12 CFR 59:13 60:19,22
chain 100:3 134:9
chains 74:25 75:2
chair 13:4 20:7
60:13 115:13
chairman 5:7 10:21
12:23 13:2 44:1
64:16 72:8,9
103:13 139:2
197:18 200:20
challenge 108:23
109:18 110:9
115:12 120:7
127:7 133:19
134:7 139:20
145:19 155:23
challenges 100:18
112:19 121:13
126:12 146:19
120.12 140:19

chamber 2:11 13:10
13:11,12,25 14:2
26:1 63:7,17 64:7
64:9,22 66:7,10
70:10 72:17 76:15
79:3 80:16
chambers 63:22
65:22,23 66:2,5
72:2
Champions 119:13
chance 21:8 26:13
39:9 125:1
Chandelier 6:25
change 21:8 36:19
40:17 41:1 53:7
58:25 82:10 92:7
106:5 115:10
166:2 167:14
183:14,14,15,16
changed 22:23
81:18 88:25
109:20 110:18
165:10
changes 17:24 36:19
41:2 44:11,13
50:18,20 58:15,20
81:13,17 82:9
114:10 119:10
133:8 164:24
169:15
changing 167:25
0 0
channelling 187:11
channels 29:20
chapter 112:24
114:1
charge 126:9 150:21
155:18
charged 11:23 32:9
charging 142:8,8
154:15
charitable 65:1
charity 67:4
Charles 26:11
194:18 198:7
chart 21:20 54:6
164:20,21 179:25
charter 15:1 65:4,6

```
chartered 182:7
chat 9:12
check 7:14 199:18
 199:21
Cherry 4:15,15 10:5
chief 41:12,13 70:16
chiefs 42:9
Chik-fil-A 174:11
choice 12:12 26:6
chose 121:15
Chris 163:5,12
 182:17 190:24
Christmastime 5:4
Christy 123:6
chunk 178:25
circle 195:2
circling 141:5
cite 137:10 198:23
City 72:14 73:24
 192:12
civic 166:11
Civil 4:14 10:6
civilian 21:16 31:18
 94:8
civilians 4:19
claim 30:9
claims 115:10
clarification 189:18
clarifications 83:19
 198:20
clarify 22:17 103:14
 130:11 137:7
 181:13,21
clarity 152:7 199:8
 199:17
Clark 104:13
class 24:25 25:19
classes 196:15
cleanup 58:21
clear 7:4 189:14
clearance 36:20
clearly 85:24 92:4
 164:11
Cleveland 72:23
clever 93:18
clients 29:14 170:24
climate 109:3
```

climb 27:4	
close 53:24	
closed 22:17 192:4	CO
closely 96:9	co
closes 192:19	CO
closest 22:9 26:9	
109:8	co
closing 2:23 35:2	C
191:9 199:14	CO
200:19	
CMRs 86:22	CO
CMS 38:12,18,21,23	
CNAS 169:7	co
co-investing 150:4	co
158:20	
coaching 7:25 113:5	
113:8	
coalesce 122:19	
coalition 2:17 26:15	
95:13,19 96:7	
98:13,19 189:8	
197:13	
cochair 115:16	
code 47:12,14 57:7	
83:21	
coding 45:20 46:12	
46:22,23,23,25	
47:1,16,19,22 48:6	
49:15 52:18,19	
199:13	
cohorts 47:23 48:1,4	
•	
coin 7:19 176:11	
cold 11:15	
collaborate 116:3	co
collaborative	
168:16	
colleague 28:11	
31:10	co
colleagues 20:16	
25:22	
collect 28:19 30:21	
37:18 46:16 49:12	
49:17 67:23 188:6	C
collected 29:2	co
collecting 187:25	
188:3 195:15	
collection 15:7 50:4	

50:6
collectively 97:9
140:21
college 110:20
colonel 12:11 13:23
63:10
color-blind 190:4
Columbia 192:9
combat 14:9 31:21
67:14
combat-wounded
13:22
combine 6:6
come 4:10 5:8 7:2
13:11 28:23 32:15
33:21 34:12 39:21
39:24 41:17 51:3
58:11 65:13 71:2
71:25 78:10,23
81:17 87:3 96:25
102:6 109:21,25
110:24 111:21
118:3 120:9 121:3
122:18 124:3
126:11 128:6
132:15 137:5
143:19 144:17
155:15,17 157:16
159:21 160:1
161:10 174:2
175:23 182:18
188:10,18 197:19
197:25 198:23
comes 72:23 118:12
125:20 132:22
140:1 145:20
150:3 165:19
coming 5:9 25:24
31:2 44:23 71:14
80:13 109:23
125:12 145:22
195:20
Command 101:18
comment 30:24 33:1
36:11,17 38:6 40:3
56:25 59:2 88:17
93:10 157:23
, 5.10 15 1.25

172:25
comments 18:2,6
32:25 36:6,8 38:10
59:3 61:21 62:7,9
81:24 82:1,2 92:23
107:15 127:11
133:13 164:14
200:19
commerce 2:11
13:10,12,25 14:2
26:1 63:7,17 64:8
64:9 72:17 76:15
78:16 80:17
commercial 12:15
19:13 24:23 181:18
Commission 96:21
commit 33:24
commitment 8:24
111:7 130:13
commitments
171:19
committed 68:12
114:15 150:1,1
committee 1:6 3:5
6:14 10:22 11:6,7
12:8 13:1 14:6,10
14:18 15:2,23 16:5
16:11,14,15 17:18
17:23 19:5,20,25
26:2 36:5,24 37:1
58:5 60:14 61:4,12 62:24 73:14 78:22
102:22 104:21
123:11,17 126:13
127:13,16 134:23
138:7,16 139:15
148:22 170:14
172:10 182:1
188:10,12 189:20
189:21,22 191:3
191:18 194:24
200:21,23 201:5
committee's 108:4
committees 81:25
127:24
common 87:4

• .
communicate
192:21
communicated
184:24 199:11
communicating
142:9
communication
189:15
communications
97:10 101:18
126:19
communities 24:8
27:13 56:5 164:5
166:13 192:2
community 26:10
57:21 73:6 97:21
102:3 106:23
110:19 111:5,5
118:21 119:17
120:18 123:23
125:5 132:8
133:10 141:25
143:16 161:5
166:11 168:4
172:3 185:5 193:8
1/4.5 105.5 175.0
193:11 197:15
193:11 197:15
193:11 197:15 Community-Busi
193:11 197:15 Community-Busi 196:25
193:11 197:15 Community-Busi
193:11 197:15 Community-Busi 196:25 comp 78:2
193:11 197:15 Community-Busi 196:25 comp 78:2 companies 6:8
193:11 197:15 Community-Busi 196:25 comp 78:2 companies 6:8 11:21 19:15 25:2
193:11 197:15 Community-Busi 196:25 comp 78:2 companies 6:8
193:11 197:15 Community-Busi 196:25 comp 78:2 companies 6:8 11:21 19:15 25:2 25:16 26:19 57:4
193:11 197:15 Community-Busi 196:25 comp 78:2 companies 6:8 11:21 19:15 25:2 25:16 26:19 57:4 57:13 58:2 74:19
193:11 197:15 Community-Busi 196:25 comp 78:2 companies 6:8 11:21 19:15 25:2 25:16 26:19 57:4 57:13 58:2 74:19 78:24 91:23
193:11 197:15 Community-Busi 196:25 comp 78:2 companies 6:8 11:21 19:15 25:2 25:16 26:19 57:4 57:13 58:2 74:19
193:11 197:15 Community-Busi 196:25 comp 78:2 companies 6:8 11:21 19:15 25:2 25:16 26:19 57:4 57:13 58:2 74:19 78:24 91:23 107:18,20 109:12
193:11 197:15 Community-Busi 196:25 comp 78:2 companies 6:8 11:21 19:15 25:2 25:16 26:19 57:4 57:13 58:2 74:19 78:24 91:23 107:18,20 109:12 109:14 116:10,22
193:11 197:15 Community-Busi 196:25 comp 78:2 companies 6:8 11:21 19:15 25:2 25:16 26:19 57:4 57:13 58:2 74:19 78:24 91:23 107:18,20 109:12 109:14 116:10,22 121:11 126:19
193:11 197:15 Community-Busi 196:25 comp 78:2 companies 6:8 11:21 19:15 25:2 25:16 26:19 57:4 57:13 58:2 74:19 78:24 91:23 107:18,20 109:12 109:14 116:10,22 121:11 126:19
193:11 197:15 Community-Busi 196:25 comp 78:2 companies 6:8 11:21 19:15 25:2 25:16 26:19 57:4 57:13 58:2 74:19 78:24 91:23 107:18,20 109:12 109:14 116:10,22 121:11 126:19 131:14 134:14
193:11 197:15 Community-Busi 196:25 comp 78:2 companies 6:8 11:21 19:15 25:2 25:16 26:19 57:4 57:13 58:2 74:19 78:24 91:23 107:18,20 109:12 109:14 116:10,22 121:11 126:19 131:14 134:14 135:22 161:10
193:11 197:15 Community-Busi 196:25 comp 78:2 companies 6:8 11:21 19:15 25:2 25:16 26:19 57:4 57:13 58:2 74:19 78:24 91:23 107:18,20 109:12 109:14 116:10,22 121:11 126:19 131:14 134:14 135:22 161:10 173:9 174:18,20
193:11 197:15 Community-Busi 196:25 comp 78:2 companies 6:8 11:21 19:15 25:2 25:16 26:19 57:4 57:13 58:2 74:19 78:24 91:23 107:18,20 109:12 109:14 116:10,22 121:11 126:19 131:14 134:14 135:22 161:10
193:11 197:15 Community-Busi 196:25 comp 78:2 companies 6:8 11:21 19:15 25:2 25:16 26:19 57:4 57:13 58:2 74:19 78:24 91:23 107:18,20 109:12 109:14 116:10,22 121:11 126:19 131:14 134:14 135:22 161:10 173:9 174:18,20 180:21 187:8
193:11 197:15 Community-Busi 196:25 comp 78:2 companies 6:8 11:21 19:15 25:2 25:16 26:19 57:4 57:13 58:2 74:19 78:24 91:23 107:18,20 109:12 109:14 116:10,22 121:11 126:19 131:14 134:14 135:22 161:10 173:9 174:18,20 180:21 187:8 company 4:22 6:23
193:11 197:15 Community-Busi 196:25 comp 78:2 companies 6:8 11:21 19:15 25:2 25:16 26:19 57:4 57:13 58:2 74:19 78:24 91:23 107:18,20 109:12 109:14 116:10,22 121:11 126:19 131:14 134:14 135:22 161:10 173:9 174:18,20 180:21 187:8 company 4:22 6:23 12:11 57:1,17,24
193:11 197:15 Community-Busi 196:25 comp 78:2 companies 6:8 11:21 19:15 25:2 25:16 26:19 57:4 57:13 58:2 74:19 78:24 91:23 107:18,20 109:12 109:14 116:10,22 121:11 126:19 131:14 134:14 135:22 161:10 173:9 174:18,20 180:21 187:8 company 4:22 6:23 12:11 57:1,17,24
193:11 197:15 Community-Busi 196:25 comp 78:2 companies 6:8 11:21 19:15 25:2 25:16 26:19 57:4 57:13 58:2 74:19 78:24 91:23 107:18,20 109:12 109:14 116:10,22 121:11 126:19 131:14 134:14 135:22 161:10 173:9 174:18,20 180:21 187:8 company 4:22 6:23 12:11 57:1,17,24 57:24 77:14,17,18
193:11 197:15 Community-Busi 196:25 comp 78:2 companies 6:8 11:21 19:15 25:2 25:16 26:19 57:4 57:13 58:2 74:19 78:24 91:23 107:18,20 109:12 109:14 116:10,22 121:11 126:19 131:14 134:14 135:22 161:10 173:9 174:18,20 180:21 187:8 company 4:22 6:23 12:11 57:1,17,24 57:24 77:14,17,18 90:22 92:17,24
193:11 197:15 Community-Busi 196:25 comp 78:2 companies 6:8 11:21 19:15 25:2 25:16 26:19 57:4 57:13 58:2 74:19 78:24 91:23 107:18,20 109:12 109:14 116:10,22 121:11 126:19 131:14 134:14 135:22 161:10 173:9 174:18,20 180:21 187:8 company 4:22 6:23 12:11 57:1,17,24 57:24 77:14,17,18 90:22 92:17,24 93:3 96:3 113:21
193:11 197:15 Community-Busi 196:25 comp 78:2 companies 6:8 11:21 19:15 25:2 25:16 26:19 57:4 57:13 58:2 74:19 78:24 91:23 107:18,20 109:12 109:14 116:10,22 121:11 126:19 131:14 134:14 135:22 161:10 173:9 174:18,20 180:21 187:8 company 4:22 6:23 12:11 57:1,17,24 57:24 77:14,17,18 90:22 92:17,24

149:24 157:20 162:23 174:8,10 **compare** 43:3 45:9 89:18 164:10 170:24 187:4 compare/contrast 152:11 compared 44:9 75:15 **compares** 139:23 comparison 136:25 **compel** 104:21 compensation 34:19 **compete** 79:21 87:17 98:25 competing 98:21 competition 22:15 22:16 23:1 79:19 98:19 108:17 116:9 competitive 38:17 complaining 123:20 complaint 33:1 complaints 33:1 complete 17:23 completely 39:19 40:15 77:18 80:25 126:7 181:6 **complex** 23:11 27:20 107:25 compliance 34:12 35:5 85:13,18 86:2 102:9 complication 90:18 **comply** 113:24 182:9 complying 131:1 component 55:7 164:4 168:17 192:6,17 194:14 components 177:25 composition 35:12 compromise 185:18 computer 142:8 conceivable 118:11 concentrating 82:6 **concept** 53:9 84:2

115:25 135:5,12
189:25
concern 58:12 85:16
89:14 91:18 93:7
93:19 100:23
concerned 92:8
132:16
concerns 32:16,21
33:13,20 34:8,24
67:11,14,16 94:4
conclude 139:13
conclusions 189:1
concrete 151:25
concur 39:19
conduct 62:11
conference 26:8
99:16 117:16
118:25 120:4,9,10
121:7,10 170:7
conferences 99:10
99:13
confidential 33:12
confirm 166:19
confirmation 7:12
confirmed 127:11
127:19
confused 34:5
113:10 123:19
confusion 79:1
congenial 124:14
congratulations
89:12
Congress 9:17 14:23
16:2 33:10 43:8,11
43:14 50:11 61:16
86:20 99:14
105:13,25 122:14
125:14
congressional 65:4
65:6 96:21 128:2
conjunction 111:25
connect 30:13
143:17
connected 21:18
Connecticut 13:24
63:13,17 64:6,7
71:13

00mm0045cm 10.5
connection 10:5
30:15 42:12 68:11
68:14,18 73:12
75:19
connects 147:15
consents 64:24
conservative 50:21
consider 19:23 51:2
91:25 95:1,3
consideration 23:10
35:19 96:8 196:13
200:7,12
considered 76:17
85:6,21 90:21
considers 15:23
consistent 116:6
consistently 47:25
48:2 56:4
consolidated 131:23
140:24
consolidation 100:9
101:15
constraints 11:1
construction 115:8
115:11 180:21
consultant 73:23
consulting 12:12
73:24 100:16
124:15 173:3,19
consumer 181:18
contact 57:1 88:5
133:11
contacted 63:21
contagious 11:15
contemporary
176:7
continuation 149:2
continue 8:9,20
83:21 94:2 100:4
125:22 137:14
197:16
continued 122:8
continues 27:4
86:15 110:20
122:3
continuing 8:14
20:13 24:13 173:6

continuity 67:15 Continuous 23:17
contract 83:1,9,12
84:22 85:8,21 86:6
86:11 87:17 89:17
94:12,13,14
101:24 107:3
114:23,25 117:5
128:18 131:5,6
135:22 136:2
145:4,5,10
contract-specific
128:15
contracting 2:13
15:12 19:13 20:17
25:21 65:15 66:23
71:10 81:8,9,14
84:2 89:3 94:22
96:8 98:23 102:4
106:19,23 108:10
108:12,19,21
112:9 119:17
135:19 174:12
196:16
contractor 72:16
86:8 97:5 102:1
144:22 156:21
contractors 68:6
96:11 97:20 102:9
116:13 119:3,14
contracts 8:12 11:22
81:20 89:7 92:2
108:8 115:24
116:10,23 132:25
133:4 136:3
145:12 150:23
193:17
contrast 156:5
control 56:9 69:3
87:4,10,11,13
91:23
convener 167:17
conversation 4:9
21:3 117:15 149:2
176:12
conversations
170:10
1.0.10

convince 182:21
183:23
coolest 6:25
cooperative 8:24
coordinate 15:6
115:13
copied 69:17
copy 92:25 93:2
158:3
core 20:21
corporate 11:18
66:22,22 69:5,5
71:3,6 75:17
Corporation 74:13
102:7
corporations 68:15
71:25
correct 80:23 81:3
103:10 158:14
159:11 189:3
corrected 48:10
correction 167:23
correctly 101:2
correlation 103:24
cost 77:10,24,25
78:1,19,20 120:5
134:14 177:22
costs 116:5 120:3
124:20 157:3
Cotton 96:2 136:9
Council 79:10 81:5
counsel 34:24 65:5
202:7,11
counseling 8:14
197:14
counterparts 163:25
164:10
countries 74:22 77:2
country 13:15 21:24
32:23 34:17 57:7
63:21 101:4
102:11 112:16
114:1 164:14,16
165:15,22 168:1
186:8 189:11,16
194:16
counts 80:15

[20]
1
couple 16:10 17:9
78:22 79:5 113:14
119:23 139:11,13
141:7 149:5,15
182:25 198:20
200:19
coupled 189:12
course 19:20 34:13
40:25 83:1 91:19
112:10 119:22,24
120:24 124:12
158:25 167:5,23
179:25
court 98:4 121:21
121:22,23 122:6
cover 28:7 57:5
coverage 20:15 57:5
covered 25:22 199:4
CPA 113:18
CPAR 86:10
Craig 22:20 28:18
28:23 177:6 181:6
183:3
Crean 130:1
create 67:15,22 68:8
70:18 73:5 86:6
108:5 110:12
111:11 167:13
created 86:4 141:14
169:20 178:14
creating 60:3
107:15 159:8
169:21,22 174:8
creation 56:12,16,17
174:8
creative 8:8
creativity 161:16
credibility 141:21
credit 22:19 30:10
52:24 136:10
144:11,21 151:12
156:19 157:3
182:8
crisis 148:3,5
criteria 153:13,17
153:21 155:25
133.21 133.23 187.23 100.4

crushed 131:15
CT 123:1
CTs 123:1
curious 149:15,17
150:14
current 121:13
131:24 135:20
147:8 166:5
currently 23:21
36:10 40:2,24
50:16,22 51:8 59:9
136:15 189:6
192:4
curriculum 23:13
23:18 24:3,8,21
27:14
curve 186:22,23
customer 101:10
customers 144:23
144:25 175:5
cut 39:14,15,16
129:3
cute 124:10
cutoff 157:6
cuts 190:1
CVE 87:15 126:2
cycle 145:11 155:10
183:11

D
D 3:1
D.C 18:12 32:25
100:12 109:6
112:24 113:17
114:6 142:18
196:20
dad 56:25,25
Dailey 96:2 115:16
136:8,8
Dakota 116:22
damaged 116:11
damned 124:3 125:2
data 15:8 29:4,8
30:19 37:24 40:10
46:14,18 47:7,20
49:17 74:13 89:24
103:3 133:20

135:9 148:16,18
152:6,8,21 165:19
170:19 171:3
172:1 176:2,4,12
176:23 177:12
179:1 181:6
184:11 187:21
188:1,8,13 198:24
r r
database 68:11,14
68:15,18 69:25
75:20 87:17 126:4
147:15
databases 184:16
189:7
date 45:9 114:10
175:13
daughter 5:24 41:24
David 126:24
day 10:1 26:21 68:4
80:8 100:1 112:2,3
119:23,25 136:19
198:21
days 16:10 33:17
36:15 101:25
107:4
DCAA 113:22,24
DD-214 45:24 46:7
199:14,25
deal 38:20 60:19
91:7
dealing 39:13,17
97:1 155:1
deals 34:4 122:25
dear 3:22 4:13
debate 174:16 178:8
178:17
debates 183:25
debenture 54:20
debt 155:10
decades 141:23
December 84:18
decent 155:3
decentralize 66:16
68:22
decentralized 126:8
decide 47:18 86:12
decided 11:18 18:15

121:21,23 195:18
decision 21:19 87:21
94:23 172:23
177:24 183:11
decisions 26:24
93:11 200:11
deck 18:23
decline 105:20
declined 138:19
159:7,21 160:14
199:23
declining 159:15
decrease 45:6,19
49:3 103:19
dedicated 104:24
105:17 106:2
109:7,19 110:2
dedication 198:12
deep 189:11
deepen 170:12
190:22
deeper 25:8 48:5
default 155:13
Defense 29:6 97:11
defer 80:9 172:25
182:12
deficit 102:10
define 183:17
defining 147:25
148:5,5
definition 87:4,7
136:12,13,20
definitively 47:2
delay 159:6
delegations 128:2
deliberate 132:15
deliberative 117:9
delighted 139:9
delineate 79:2
deliver 24:3 27:20
118:6
delivered 30:5
delivery 23:14 27:16
116:11
delta 136:1 173:15
demand 104:5,8
108:9 142:21
100.7 144.41

150 10
158:18
demographic 24:2:
demystify 134:13
Denniston 26:16
95:11,15,17,18
102:20 104:7,10
105:4 106:11
108:15 116:18
124:9 125:15
126:17 129:22,24
130:6,12,17,19
134:2,6 135:5,24
136:2,24
dentist 148:9
department 29:6
126:1 136:18
192:10,11
departments 15:15
depending 151:21
depicted 165:20
deployed 3:15 4:25
5:3
deployment 151:10
deputy 22:19 41:18
101:17
deregulation 44:22
103:23 182:2
derisk 161:5
derisking 146:4
derogatory 185:6
describe 180:14
described 181:9
description 14:25
137:5
descriptive 157:9
desert 14:9 96:17
design 135:8
designated 31:24
designed 15:20
166:4
desire 179:18
detail 17:8 39:12
detailed 176:7
details 17:14,15
28:16
determinants
166:25 175:6

40 - 6 - 40
186:25 187:6
determining 156:1
detrimental 60:23
develop 15:19 65:5
96:19 98:5 99:15
developed 125:16
151:2,14 192:5
developers 8:11
-
193:12
developing 111:25
117:22,24 135:4
development 2:14
8:16 12:13,21
14:19 19:11,12,14
24:14 26:21 27:18
29:17 57:1,3,4,9
57:13,17,24 58:2
73:9,15 81:4,8
104:3 105:16
106:20 107:21
109:1,8 110:11
112:3,8 153:8
192:7
devolving 74:15
DHS 94:25
dial 53:2,2
dialogue 10:25
dialogue 10:25
dialogue 10:25 Dianna 41:20
dialogue 10:25 Dianna 41:20 dictate 50:9
dialogue 10:25 Dianna 41:20
dialogue 10:25 Dianna 41:20 dictate 50:9 dictionary 29:8
dialogue 10:25 Dianna 41:20 dictate 50:9 dictionary 29:8 30:19
dialogue 10:25 Dianna 41:20 dictate 50:9 dictionary 29:8
dialogue 10:25 Dianna 41:20 dictate 50:9 dictionary 29:8 30:19
dialogue 10:25 Dianna 41:20 dictate 50:9 dictionary 29:8 30:19 difference 23:19 27:7 78:6 106:21
dialogue 10:25 Dianna 41:20 dictate 50:9 dictionary 29:8 30:19 difference 23:19 27:7 78:6 106:21 differences 50:10
dialogue 10:25 Dianna 41:20 dictate 50:9 dictionary 29:8 30:19 difference 23:19 27:7 78:6 106:21 differences 50:10 different 7:6 15:9
dialogue 10:25 Dianna 41:20 dictate 50:9 dictionary 29:8 30:19 difference 23:19 27:7 78:6 106:21 differences 50:10 different 7:6 15:9
dialogue 10:25 Dianna 41:20 dictate 50:9 dictionary 29:8 30:19 difference 23:19 27:7 78:6 106:21 differences 50:10 different 7:6 15:9 17:18 27:22 55:9
dialogue 10:25 Dianna 41:20 dictate 50:9 dictionary 29:8 30:19 difference 23:19 27:7 78:6 106:21 differences 50:10 different 7:6 15:9 17:18 27:22 55:9 77:8 79:22,23
dialogue 10:25 Dianna 41:20 dictate 50:9 dictionary 29:8 30:19 difference 23:19 27:7 78:6 106:21 differences 50:10 different 7:6 15:9 17:18 27:22 55:9 77:8 79:22,23 80:25 82:21 83:7
dialogue 10:25 Dianna 41:20 dictate 50:9 dictionary 29:8 30:19 difference 23:19 27:7 78:6 106:21 differences 50:10 different 7:6 15:9 17:18 27:22 55:9 77:8 79:22,23
dialogue 10:25 Dianna 41:20 dictate 50:9 dictionary 29:8 30:19 difference 23:19 27:7 78:6 106:21 differences 50:10 different 7:6 15:9 17:18 27:22 55:9 77:8 79:22,23 80:25 82:21 83:7 97:24 108:18
dialogue 10:25 Dianna 41:20 dictate 50:9 dictionary 29:8 30:19 difference 23:19 27:7 78:6 106:21 differences 50:10 different 7:6 15:9 17:18 27:22 55:9 77:8 79:22,23 80:25 82:21 83:7 97:24 108:18 114:18 137:5
dialogue 10:25 Dianna 41:20 dictate 50:9 dictionary 29:8 30:19 difference 23:19 27:7 78:6 106:21 differences 50:10 different 7:6 15:9 17:18 27:22 55:9 77:8 79:22,23 80:25 82:21 83:7 97:24 108:18 114:18 137:5 145:9 156:18
dialogue 10:25 Dianna 41:20 dictate 50:9 dictionary 29:8 30:19 difference 23:19 27:7 78:6 106:21 differences 50:10 different 7:6 15:9 17:18 27:22 55:9 77:8 79:22,23 80:25 82:21 83:7 97:24 108:18 114:18 137:5
dialogue 10:25 Dianna 41:20 dictate 50:9 dictionary 29:8 30:19 difference 23:19 27:7 78:6 106:21 differences 50:10 different 7:6 15:9 17:18 27:22 55:9 77:8 79:22,23 80:25 82:21 83:7 97:24 108:18 114:18 137:5 145:9 156:18 169:21 184:22
dialogue 10:25 Dianna 41:20 dictate 50:9 dictionary 29:8 30:19 difference 23:19 27:7 78:6 106:21 differences 50:10 different 7:6 15:9 17:18 27:22 55:9 77:8 79:22,23 80:25 82:21 83:7 97:24 108:18 114:18 137:5 145:9 156:18 169:21 184:22 190:2
dialogue 10:25 Dianna 41:20 dictate 50:9 dictionary 29:8 30:19 difference 23:19 27:7 78:6 106:21 differences 50:10 different 7:6 15:9 17:18 27:22 55:9 77:8 79:22,23 80:25 82:21 83:7 97:24 108:18 114:18 137:5 145:9 156:18 169:21 184:22 190:2 difficult 28:18 141:2
dialogue 10:25 Dianna 41:20 dictate 50:9 dictionary 29:8 30:19 difference 23:19 27:7 78:6 106:21 differences 50:10 different 7:6 15:9 17:18 27:22 55:9 77:8 79:22,23 80:25 82:21 83:7 97:24 108:18 114:18 137:5 145:9 156:18 169:21 184:22 190:2
dialogue 10:25 Dianna 41:20 dictate 50:9 dictionary 29:8 30:19 difference 23:19 27:7 78:6 106:21 differences 50:10 different 7:6 15:9 17:18 27:22 55:9 77:8 79:22,23 80:25 82:21 83:7 97:24 108:18 114:18 137:5 145:9 156:18 169:21 184:22 190:2 difficult 28:18 141:2 151:8 154:17
dialogue 10:25 Dianna 41:20 dictate 50:9 dictionary 29:8 30:19 difference 23:19 27:7 78:6 106:21 differences 50:10 different 7:6 15:9 17:18 27:22 55:9 77:8 79:22,23 80:25 82:21 83:7 97:24 108:18 114:18 137:5 145:9 156:18 169:21 184:22 190:2 difficult 28:18 141:2

[211]

-				
digested 148:20	172:20	160:20 161:15	58:24	85:17 128:1
digging 16:25	discussions 104:4	167:16 168:1	dues 64:25	educational 7:16
digital 142:22	118:15 122:10	182:23 184:3	dumping 168:13	effect 21:8 32:18
digress 5:6	disregard 129:1	187:14 189:11,13	Duncan 31:10,15	58:17 59:21 153:9
diligence 17:19	disruptive 7:9 35:1	190:21 191:24	36:9 37:8 38:3	168:11
dimension 38:21	dissect 39:11	192:23 194:11,25	39:25 40:23 41:6	effective 61:18
dinner 100:12	dissertation 74:5	195:7,9	durable 38:25	81:22 85:1 98:17
dinners 112:25	dissuaded 196:11	dollar 102:8 156:15	duration 70:13	113:14
113:16 114:4	distant 146:5	157:8	duties 14:25 15:2,4	efficiency 55:5
direct 35:6 84:7	distinct 23:13	dollar-per-job	duty 4:25 174:23	efficient 98:16
189:15	distinction 181:15	56:11	dwarfs 133:25	160:20
directed 105:8	district 48:9 83:10	dollars 43:4 129:10	134:18	effort 6:10 153:9
107:20	109:5 192:9	131:9 133:1,4	dynamic 100:11	163:23 168:16
direction 105:1	dive 25:8	135:13 143:11	112:15	170:11 183:22
directly 10:6 28:2	diverse 25:17 78:17	150:2 165:16		efforts 71:10 169:16
173:18 189:10	diversity 25:15	175:22 180:7	E	eight 96:24 97:21
director 12:17 13:8	27:21 64:14 65:14	domain 61:8	E 2:1 3:1,1	101:25 121:23
22:5 26:12,16	66:25 67:4 69:22	domains 37:9	earlier 40:1 58:10	either 5:18 6:8 66:5
41:20 60:4 95:11	73:23 74:7 75:20	donor 175:22	61:4 68:21 167:25	79:20,21 87:22
96:15 101:17	78:15,16 80:1	door 5:12	early 30:6 53:9	91:7 128:5 132:9,9
109:5 112:12	divestiture 107:2	double 110:23	58:14 109:4	143:3 155:7
194:19 196:20	division 12:18 74:2	Downing 72:13 91:4	183:10	elected 7:20
directors 68:2 71:20	divorce 151:10,11	dozen 18:10	earth 5:10	electricians 68:6
72:5 197:24	DNA 4:21 77:19	Dr 74:12	easier 79:2 154:5	electronics 97:10
directory 68:8	document 201:7	draft 17:17	198:25	101:18 165:12
disabled 7:21 40:4	documentation 46:7	drafted 157:11	Eason 72:17	elephant 124:18
disadvantaged	DoD 31:18 102:8	drafting 122:13	Easter 72:19	eligible 55:7 56:22
25:11	Dodds 81:7,10 88:9	dramatic 45:14	easy 117:23 161:7	eliminate 68:24,25
disagreed 130:1	88:19 89:22 90:8	68:16	eat 112:23	Elmore 72:21
discern 154:13	91:17 93:8 94:6	dramatically 186:14	Eatontown 100:16	else's 94:14
disclose 46:5,9,11	129:25	drastically 186:15	EBV 67:9	email 60:16 61:4,6
52:10	Dog 6:24,25 18:11	draw 68:10	economic 44:15	62:3
disconnect 110:6	doing 5:10,15 15:24	drawn 92:23	48:17 50:8 57:3,9	emails 61:7
111:1	22:2,21 26:3 29:7	Drexel 72:13	178:13	embrace 179:18
discounted 111:21	29:22 43:15 50:1	drill 54:13	economy 9:9,11	emergence 142:1
discovered 192:6	52:21 68:1 69:6,6	drink 8:20	100:4 140:16	emergency 118:18
discrete 100:25	71:16 74:17 75:16	driven 181:19	ecosystem 23:16	emerging 166:24
101:12 104:18	75:16,22 78:25	driver 142:6	71:5	emphasis 133:21
116:1	79:16,23,24,24	driving 166:15	ecosystems 21:24	emphasize 59:7
discretion 94:18	83:11 105:3,4,21	167:6	Ed 12:10,25 16:9	134:8
discuss 49:19	108:25 110:10	drop 175:2 200:2	18:17 200:21	employ 13:16
discussed 92:16	111:23 112:17,23	dropoff 200:5	Edge 63:2	employed 70:3,5
170:25 195:13	118:24 124:24	dropped 44:5	edit 105:12	202:8,11
198:16	125:11 128:7	drug 137:2,2	educating 159:18	employee 86:23
discussion 17:3 19:4	133:21,22 134:15	dry 155:21	164:5	173:2 187:2
100:17 107:13	142:10 145:17	Duckworth 7:20	education 8:5 12:17	202:10
108:24 130:8	158:12 159:12	due 17:19 35:4	65:2 67:1,2 80:21	employees 4:14 6:8

00 17 165 24	122 11 106 16
90:17 165:24	132:11 196:12
171:9 174:23 185:11 187:9	entities 16:25 3 34:4 71:6 75:1
196:2	81:19
employer 13:17	entitled 55:22
178:12 179:24	entitled 33.22 entity 181:17
employer-based	entrepreneur 1
177:16	64:6 143:8 16
employers 13:19	entrepreneur's
employment 12:17	143:9
26:5 52:22 67:18	entrepreneuria
70:2,7 173:18	13:23 24:13 2
179:24	63:14 70:25 1
emulate 71:17	166:1 169:19
enable 86:4	180:10 187:20
enacted 27:7 34:11	entrepreneurs
encourage 22:7	19:9 21:17 30
24:11 27:10 42:10	67:6 68:9 104
49:19 50:4 107:22	139:20 140:19
159:22	141:11,17 142
encouraging 19:15	142:14 143:4,
46:13 99:14	144:4 145:3
end-of-year 43:5	159:19 160:17
45:11	162:14 164:9
endeavor 186:1	165:5 166:10,
endorsing 139:16	166:19 168:9,
enduring 26:2	170:19,20 171
energy 55:5,14,16	184:18 185:9, 186:10 189:10
energy-efficient 55:7	entrepreneursh
enforcement 31:25	24:15 26:4 58
32:8,11 39:2	63:12 74:5 99
engage 33:25	111:11,15,22
engaged 22:1 64:22	139:10 140:1
65:5 132:23 195:6	163:19 166:6
engagement 13:8	178:24 183:17
20:15 132:22	184:4
engaging 193:19	environment 10
engine 58:2 70:20	100:19 183:23
183:22	equal 111:8
Engineers 97:13	equally 13:17
enhanced 6:20	equates 109:9
ensure 117:8 165:3	equation 146:2
169:16 187:19	149:6
ensuring 193:12	equipment 38:2
entered 69:24 196:7	123:1
entire 17:3 26:21	equipping 159:

vc.10	
6:12	equity 91:2,12,14
25 32:24	92:12,17,18,22
75:13	93:3
	era 164:16
22	eras 24:5
17	Eric 96:1,2,2 115:16
ur 19:3	136:8
8 169:20	Eric's 96:5
ur's	error 46:22,23
	ESOP 87:5 90:5,6
urial	90:13,20
13 26:21	ESOPs 92:6 107:1
25 112:2	especially 10:4 12:1
):19	46:14 59:13
37:20	136:18 150:22
urs 3:18	165:8 166:22
7 30:23	171:5 176:16
104:1	essence 140:24
0:19	148:4 151:17
142:12	155:9 156:20
3:4,10	establish 8:3 103:19
5:3	109:2 114:22
0:17	129:11 130:14
54:9	151:8
5:10,11	established 32:7
8:9,23	34:15 113:5
171:2	114:24,25 130:15
5:9,23	131:16 187:22
9:10,16	estimates 140:17
urship	et 142:12
4 58:22	ethnicity 46:15
5 99:22	evaluate 8:7 61:13
5,22	89:7 164:6
0:1	evaluating 22:18
66:6	84:21 85:7
3:17	evaluation 23:17
55.17	28:13 85:22 86:8,9
nt 100:12	86:25
3:23	
03:23	event 71:23 111:12
17	114:5 148:6
17	events 25:24 35:20
9:9	111:21 112:18
16:2	eventual 91:6
20.5=	eventually 83:5
38:25	125:23 201:6
	everybody 10:7 13:7
159:19	73:22 85:15 95:22

107 10 1 70 10
105:18 163:10
176:21 177:15
178:16
evidence 15:23
17:22
evolving 167:25
exactly 9:8 44:21
47:3 93:6 103:15
104:5 135:22
146:21 183:3
example 11:12
15:10 19:24 22:4
47:4 95:1 107:17
108:8 141:15
142:15 144:5
153:23 161:16,19
162:5,17 192:3
193:4
examples 16:21 86:1
193:25
exceptional 39:8
exceptions 92:5
excess 50:17
excessive 32:10,12
38:20
excited 72:6 73:3
120:1 121:8,12
190:18
exciting 22:13
141:22 164:1
excluding 185:13
exclusively 94:3
168:22
excuse 11:14 35:9
118:11 194:13
excuses 29:13
execute 76:7
executive 26:16
64:16 72:5 95:11
99:15 114:21
172:9
executives 71:12
exist 15:17 21:24
155:25
existence 163:21
existing 11:21 104:1
144:24

exits 91:6
expand 7:10 54:9
113:15 159:1
expansion 54:24,24
68:16
expect 13:2 30:8
43:11 71:4,8 99:19
119:10
expectations 20:12
expecting 43:5,9
50:19 190:11
expenses 120:9
121:4
expensive 111:4
experience 22:11
24:8 32:10
experienced 136:17
experiences 164:24
expert 115:9 169:19
expertise 23:9 27:23 188:25
experts 21:23
152:21 182:13
expire 21:10
explain 80:5
explains 190:15
explanation 85:6
explosion 176:24
export 19:15
Exports 19:14
Express 50:15 51:17
144:21 188:21
expressed 7:21 extend 34:22
extended 88:3
extends 22:8
extent 180:5
extra 49:5 102:14
124:21
extraordinary 176:6
extremely 3:11
21:13 27:24
eye 117:18
eyes 147:24
F 15:5
r 13.3

[2	1	3]

FACA 34:18	Farmers 197:13	198:15,18	finalize 18:7 60:9	124:19 125:14
face 27:11,11 38:9	fascinating 118:14	feel 10:12,23 16:5	84:4 191:12	127:3 128:22
Facebook 176:22	130:23 135:11	16:23 31:21 32:5	finalized 81:21	132:22 133:20
faced 106:14	fast 20:22 157:1	60:16 76:13,19	finally 23:17 24:19	138:5 142:22
faces 139:20 146:19	176:8 184:10	126:12 152:14	27:11 66:2 68:10	144:8 145:4,18
facilitating 71:9	Fast-forward	193:7,11	69:15 84:19 124:2	146:22 149:3
facilities 198:1	140:17	fees 150:21,22	153:11	151:1 153:20
facility 192:16	faster 166:15 171:17	151:21,23 155:19	finance 57:12	156:5 162:21
fact 11:2 16:16	father 42:6	fellow 11:19 146:6,8	146:11 197:8	164:2 166:18
18:17 36:14 77:20	favor 121:19	162:25	financial 41:21 67:7	168:24 170:18
101:24 107:9	favorable 151:20	Ferlise 100:15,15	141:22	195:3,17 200:19
109:11 146:3	favorite 147:19	101:17	financially 202:11	200:23
fact-based 177:4	148:8	Ferris 72:14	financing 55:20,20	first-year 71:18
factoids 102:24	fear 33:13 179:17	Festival 64:17	92:12 144:22	FIS 159:11
factor 50:22	feasible 182:12	fewer 140:22	156:21	fiscal 45:7,12,16,17
factors 44:12,15	federal 11:22 17:4	fi 4:18	financings 107:3	52:4 54:6 82:17
48:17 50:8 52:20	19:8 23:11 26:19	field 46:12 133:14	find 13:15 57:24	89:1,8,9,18,20
200:10	32:11,11 33:4,7	Fielder 12:10,10,25	70:24 91:6 135:25	131:11
facts 29:10 175:24	34:14 35:5 71:7	16:9 18:17 28:12	169:7 170:16	fit 74:20 138:18
fail 180:1	81:13 95:21,24	30:18,24 31:1 39:7	179:23 183:13	fits 115:7
fails 132:9 180:3	96:8,10 97:2,19	79:9,12 81:3	184:20 199:5,25	five 35:13,14 42:25
failure 30:10 85:19	98:15,16 99:24,25	200:21	finding 115:12	53:20 62:19
fair 30:9 98:19	100:5 101:1,6	Fielder's 12:12	146:25	109:12 137:5
130:16 154:15,25	102:3 105:21	fields 166:24	findings 167:15,21	139:14 146:13,14
155:1	106:6,16,25 112:7	fifth 148:21	fine 90:20 130:6	147:23,23 148:23
fairly 35:12	114:12 115:20	fight 122:19	194:11	151:25 161:3
Fairness 32:1,8	119:2,10,14 123:8	fighting 94:24 125:6	fines 32:12	164:3 174:17
34:16	129:3 133:1,23,24	131:8	finish 137:3	183:2
faith 86:1	134:15 138:18	fights 98:24	fire 8:21	five-year 40:14
fall 43:9	182:7 193:16	figure 47:18 86:16	firm 64:23 100:16	fix 37:6 138:20
familiar 30:18 82:22	196:16	86:21,22 94:10	174:13	148:18 152:24
98:3 115:25 199:1	federally 182:7	102:16 117:12	firms 173:3 180:15	fixed 55:1
families 23:9 41:24	fee 42:18,20 43:20	132:2 146:21	182:20,23	fixed-rate 54:25
63:16 69:12	45:15,17,22,25	155:23 186:21,22	first 10:20 12:3	fixture 106:6
112:23 165:21	46:2,4 48:22 49:21	187:4 188:5	16:13 20:7 21:14	flavor 105:23
family 31:20 42:1	50:3,5,7,12,15,17	figured 95:22	22:14 24:20,25	flavors 19:12
fan 18:11	50:25 51:8,11,12	138:12	25:19 26:6 29:3	flexible 56:15,19
fantastic 23:8	51:14,15,16,18,20	figuring 90:17	30:3 31:2 36:24	floodgates 108:6
far 28:25 29:24 80:3	51:23 52:3,5,7,9	file 85:20 150:11	37:19,21,22 38:2	floor 91:12 175:25
81:23 82:20 84:19	52:11,13,15,16	filed 150:10,13	45:12 51:16 54:16	Florida 66:4 116:21
84:25 86:13 89:22	53:3,7,19,20,22	filing 85:23 150:9,15	55:24 58:14 61:11	flow 28:13 40:9
90:7 103:11	54:3 56:7,8 59:8	fill 35:10	74:13 78:1,2,21	flowing 91:21
113:14 121:9	150:24 154:2	Film 64:16	80:13,14 84:6	flows 145:13
131:10 145:18	200:3,5,13	final 17:17,24 30:24	86:18 95:3 96:8	flux 44:13
192:25	feed 183:18	84:16,18,19 93:5	98:5 106:25 109:1	flywheel 184:7
fare 120:10	feedback 20:20 31:6	178:17 188:19	114:7,9,23 117:6	focus 15:1 18:13
farmer 19:11	63:20 152:2	191:4	122:8,15,21 123:3	19:18 54:17 89:13

3/9/2017

				[211]
99:23 103:25	forces 97:10 125:8	83:15 121:18	frustrations 126:24	gatekeeping 79:25
164:3 167:10	Ford 163:5,14	197:1	Frye 129:25	gates 141:7,12
171:8 172:18	172:11,24 178:19	foundation 13:10,13	FTE 194:12	gather 47:7 181:6
173:1,2 177:15,24	179:8,10 180:25	26:1 139:1 159:18	fulfill 32:20	Gay 76:14
178:14 183:9	181:22 186:2,16	159:20,23 160:14	full 8:24 10:12 139:4	geared 134:24
focused 80:19	186:21 188:1,7,9	176:13	148:16	gender 46:15
114:19 157:19,19	190:10,17 191:1	foundations 164:1	fully 142:22	general 9:7 39:14
159:18 163:23	foregoing 202:5	founded 14:18	function 66:10	53:11 78:16 94:6
166:23 168:22	foreign 140:7	163:21	fund 160:12 162:19	96:17 146:12,20
171:10 172:14,16	foremost 21:14	founder 14:8	162:20,24 171:20	157:14 175:18
182:20 197:3	forget 116:18	founders 176:2	funded 22:14	generally 26:18 97:2
focuses 98:15	129:13	four 43:2 45:12	111:16 147:3	109:12 182:22
focusing 119:9	form 36:12,17,19	63:16 109:12	159:11	generates 167:13
171:7	38:6 40:3,14,16	116:10,12,13,25	funders 149:24	generation 139:24
folks 10:7,8,12	97:18 188:19	168:1	169:1 173:11	139:24,25 140:1,4
12:24 14:15 26:5	formal 9:14 33:1	fourth 147:11 169:2	funding 145:2,7	140:6,18,19
63:3 97:7 100:14	39:20	FPDS 137:8 198:24	147:18 148:11	142:14 146:24
101:20 109:21	formalizing 38:4	199:1	149:16,22,24	147:10,17 148:14
110:23 115:9	formally 139:16	frame 176:18	172:1,8 178:9	154:7 162:14
128:21 139:5	format 17:17,18	178:18 183:6	funds 43:17 92:19	generous 160:16
142:3 144:1 145:2	148:20	188:24	106:3,3 107:3	geographic 113:13
146:20 151:12	formation 23:25	framework 184:23	144:17 145:11	geographically 26:9
154:14 155:22	formations 114:1	framing 185:2,19	150:1,2 171:14	Georgetown 18:12
160:13 170:8	former 4:22 11:17	Fran 11:8,14 19:23	180:7	getting 11:15 24:7
194:25 195:25	12:23 14:8 70:16	franchise 170:22	funnel 173:8	41:10 46:4 47:19
197:15	72:19,21 73:23	174:11	further 21:19 85:17	47:20 52:11,13,15
follow 11:8 21:2	74:4	frank 164:22 171:25	93:22 96:13	63:18 75:1,19
30:15,22 80:11	forming 100:2 128:3	frankly 12:13	140:25 141:1	87:22 89:24,24,24
83:17 102:23	formulary 117:2,4	fraud 93:20	165:3 179:2 202:9	92:12 101:5
152:1,17	formulated 128:5	Fred 67:3	future 99:20 101:7	102:13 111:9
follow-on 23:14	Fort 101:19	free 10:23 11:23	186:9 190:23	113:2 118:17
follow-through	forth 19:5 34:23	13:18 32:5 36:13	FY16 89:22	132:9 144:6
23:11	81:24 92:6	40:9 60:16 70:8,12	FY2016 17:7	148:11,11 152:6
followed 133:19	Fortune 74:19,25	126:12	FY2017 18:12	160:7 161:24
following 118:12	forums 32:22	friend 112:13	G	163:10 174:5,20
151:10 181:2	forward 3:23 5:2,17	friendly 112:11		178:6 196:15
198:17	6:13 9:4,14 17:11	124:14	G 3:1	GI 7:16 64:16
followup 36:25 39:7	20:12,19 23:2	friends 122:12	gain 182:20	Giddens 123:14
77:6	24:16 25:18 34:9	125:10 139:4	game-changer	124:17
for-profit 77:14,17	45:4 67:12 107:14	142:2 160:24	118:20	Giddens' 124:23
77:21 142:16	126:16 154:5	182:17	gangbusters 114:24	give 10:2 11:9,19
169:23	163:13 170:12	front 27:2 168:8	GAO 86:19 94:19	17:7,9 20:22 39:23
for-profits 175:20	198:17 201:6	200:24	121:17,18,20	49:15 62:25 81:8
force 17:2 42:1	forwarding 4:24	fruit 80:2	gap 141:16	88:20 113:3 128:7
49:14 67:17 153:7	foster 15:20 72:8	frustrated 101:23	gaps 161:13 garage 175:4	142:20 155:11,17
168:5 173:10	fosters 78:16	frustrating 110:7	garage 173.4 gas 161:18	158:1,3 159:23
194:23	found 12:6 69:10	frustration 97:1	gas 101.10	161:7 188:14
	l	l	l	I

-	I .	İ	Ī	Ī
190:22 200:24	72:1 82:8 84:8	122:9,19 123:13	gov-con 144:25	160:16
given 96:8 131:22	89:11,16 96:7	123:15,21,23,25	government 2:13	grantees 24:17
151:18,18 165:9	98:19 100:8	125:6 127:14	8:12 12:15 15:12	grants 24:14 160:14
gives 5:12 56:22	119:15 132:13	128:4 129:2,14	16:6,20,25 17:4	granularity 136:22
70:8 127:17 144:5	166:17 171:20	131:13,15,19	19:13 20:17 23:12	grass 191:24 193:24
giving 99:12 166:9	184:7 190:12	132:1,11 133:16	29:20 30:21 34:14	194:7
166:12	goals 69:7 84:6,13	135:10 136:5,6	66:9 71:4,6 81:7,9	gravitate 74:21
glad 151:25 172:12	140:20	137:12 138:3,14	81:13 97:2 98:13	great 9:5 11:24 12:5
gladly 60:23	goes 16:4 23:19	139:12 145:11	98:17,21,23 99:1,2	23:1,16 31:12
global 74:19 75:2	25:19 50:12	146:7,19 147:17	100:5 101:8,9,14	34:25 38:3 43:15
76:2 102:15	105:12 129:8	153:14 155:13	102:2,5 105:22	63:4 64:5 89:21
globally 15:18	144:3 150:12	162:8,21 163:6	106:6,16,23 107:9	93:6 95:7 104:11
go 7:1 11:4,7 14:11	156:19,21 190:1	164:3,13,19,23	108:16,16,20,21	105:4 107:12
16:2,3 22:8 28:11	199:6 201:10	168:11,13 169:14	108:25 109:2,22	110:18 112:10
30:17 32:1 33:2	going 4:5,6,8 5:1	170:12,20,23	110:8,10,12,14,19	114:15 120:12
34:5,10 37:24	7:12 9:8 13:3	171:5 173:20	110:25 111:1	122:17 139:7
43:11,14,21,22	15:18 17:11 18:5	176:21,25 177:2	112:7,8 115:3	146:16 154:23
44:1 47:7 49:4	19:9 20:3,21 21:4	177:22 178:4	117:17 118:23	161:8,16 162:11
50:11,24,24 53:8	21:10 22:22 25:2	179:21 180:24	119:8,9 128:12	162:16 163:19
54:20 55:16 57:19	25:20,22 26:8	183:5 185:7,21	129:4,9,13 131:22	169:18 170:8,10
61:16 64:18 76:20	28:10,13 29:5 31:9	186:13,22,23	134:16 144:22	170:14 172:13
81:21 82:5,15	37:14 40:19 42:16	187:24 188:3,4,22	145:4 156:21	174:4 178:1
84:15,24 85:9,19	42:21 46:10,19	189:16 190:4	163:25 169:1	179:21 181:4
86:3,11,17,24 88:1	48:13,15,15 49:5	192:9,10,11	174:12 176:9,10	182:11 195:1
88:4,10,15 95:25	58:23 61:15 62:16	193:10 195:12	178:16 180:6	200:15,25
96:22 97:13	62:17 63:6 67:11	196:3 198:9,21	193:16 199:2,6	greatest 114:5
103:10 106:4	67:21 69:19 71:20	199:25 201:8	Government's	139:24,25 140:3,6
110:23 115:10	71:21 73:4 74:4	gold 69:12 76:17	111:3	167:2,7
116:3 124:12	75:1,18 78:19,25	good 3:3 8:22 10:18	governmental 98:22	greatly 17:12
131:2,14 132:18	79:17,19 80:8	11:13 12:19 13:7	governmentwide	Greentree 74:12,12
133:8,15 137:12	81:12 83:10,21	21:25 23:6 31:3,16	100:7 130:20	75:6,25 77:5,24
139:12 148:2,9	86:1,11,12,14,20	36:9 40:23 42:22	Govmates 111:19	78:5 133:18,20
149:1,3 150:14,20	86:22,23,24 87:3	61:6 81:10 86:1	grade 88:20,20,21	134:3,7
153:11 157:1	87:11 89:2,3,5,6	94:14 95:9,17	89:4,5,19,20	Greg 123:14 124:16
161:22 164:12	89:15,16,23 91:5,8	101:10,10,14	graded 89:2	124:23
170:20 174:9,10	92:11,20,25 93:1,5	105:4 107:12	graduate 167:4,5	grew 4:19
174:10,11 175:3	93:23 94:2 95:2	110:2 112:13	196:3	grocery 70:22
177:5 179:23	100:23 101:13	123:4,4 129:12	graduated 110:5	group 3:22 7:8 8:1
181:11 182:1	102:16 103:17,21	154:9,24 157:18	116:20	18:15 74:7 82:20
183:10 185:15,20	107:19 111:14,20	158:21 162:5	graduates 23:24	96:3 98:11 99:4,7
185:20 186:4,6,12	111:24 112:2,3,14	167:15 169:12	30:1 109:23,24	99:10 100:15,15
186:24 187:16	113:25 115:17,18	179:16 198:14	110:20	103:21 115:2,8,14
188:4,25 190:14	115:19 117:1,3,8	199:5 200:8	graduation 29:1	115:16,17,22
191:7,11,17	117:18,23,25	goodness 173:22	grandfather's	124:12 136:9
197:15 199:22	118:1,7,9,19,20	Google 142:11	141:25	152:22 154:11,20
200:11 201:8	119:5,5,6,7,12,25	148:12	grant 22:15 27:16	154:22 170:8
goal 8:8 56:10,15	120:5,13,16,17	gotcha 90:24	28:3 159:20,22,22	174:16 176:22,24

[216]

183:1 184:24	115:1,3,6	127:20	124:6 133:9 143:4	Hire-A-Vet 4:23
197:9		healthcare 67:8	144:6 145:9 156:7	hiring 3:14 13:9,14
groups 41:2 92:2	H	72:24 116:15,16	169:8 170:9,15,17	25:25 122:10
95:5 114:17	half 5:20 51:9,10,17	127:21	170:18 171:10,13	historically 106:1
118:24 182:25	101:3 120:7 127:4	healthcare-related	171:19 188:16	history 3:13 99:12
grow 7:10 9:9 21:7	140:22 143:11	127:22	190:19 196:20	107:7 151:5,9
96:6 98:2 100:4	halfway 115:24	hear 12:3 19:10	197:13 198:2	hit 18:16,25 25:23
109:3 159:1	131:11	20:16,18 26:16	helped 12:5,7 70:6	105:22
173:21 174:24	Hall 195:8	33:23 38:24 42:2	199:12	hitting 35:4 104:5
growing 10:5	Hamilton 72:13	47:5 49:11 63:24	helpful 152:8,11	hold 6:18 15:21
118:16 155:20	hammer 179:22	64:2 88:11,15	154:3 156:24	58:24 96:4
157:20 177:16	hand 101:15 186:11	134:20 138:21	172:2 186:2 194:5	holding 142:4
grown 6:21 108:20	handed 139:21	139:5 155:6	helping 11:24 12:13	holed 5:10
108:21	handle 184:12	191:21 195:25	42:14 96:6 102:1	Holiday 188:21
grows 160:11	hands 10:10 120:22	196:23 200:10	162:25	holistic 80:18
growth 15:20 91:2	happen 110:21	heard 30:17 31:13	Henderson 195:8	home 5:3
91:15 173:7 178:4	134:25 162:6	39:3 47:1,5 54:11	hereto 202:11	homes 12:7
187:8 194:4	happened 122:21	99:8 162:3 170:25	Hero 67:19 70:2	hometown 184:2
Grummans 110:22	176:24	180:18 201:1	Heroes 13:9,14	homework 161:11
GSA 114:21 115:4,4	happening 23:24	hearing 7:13 9:15	25:25	hone 61:15
guarantee 51:11,12	47:15 101:9	28:16 30:14 46:21	hey 17:10 40:18	honest 97:19 171:25
51:20 156:7	175:10,20 176:15	163:7	102:19 116:25	honestly 171:13
200:14	192:1 193:3,20	hearings 15:21	124:5 184:13	173:15
guaranteed 51:24	194:6	32:22 78:22 87:21	195:20	honor 72:6,9 163:15
Guard 24:6	happens 44:17,19	127:10	HHS 38:12 118:15	honorable 155:24
guarding 160:10	45:4 55:12 142:23	heart 4:14 175:22	Hi 37:10 73:22	hope 3:16 23:3
Guardsmen 195:11	happy 30:6 36:2	heat 16:18,18	74:12 174:3	26:12 65:9 71:9
guess 91:12 138:11	39:25 40:21 42:2	heavily 167:8	Higgins 72:15	99:20 112:15
148:24 189:19	88:7 106:12	172:14,15 174:21	high 89:16 109:22	139:15 148:22
195:2	126:21 128:5	195:6 197:10	178:4	170:11 177:7
guest 20:25 58:11	133:14 157:24	Heilman 22:20	high-caliber 35:17	199:4
Guglielmo 64:12	158:1 197:23	172:18 177:9,20	high-level 25:23	hopefully 7:9 18:6
73:18,21,22 77:15	198:2	185:1 186:18	high-priced 124:16	82:2 89:25 132:15
guidance 20:12	Harbor 119:6	190:8 193:15	high-speed 162:11	184:23
121:20	hard 71:21 120:3	helicopter 5:1	higher 30:12 40:21	hoping 9:8
Gulf 105:22	122:7 126:6	hell 120:13	54:1,19 55:8,25	horror 155:6
guy 101:21 120:20	146:17 160:7	Hello 138:2	127:9 140:20,21	horse 168:14
123:16 127:19	161:7	help 6:6 8:12,22 9:9	151:11 155:18	hose 8:21
180:3	harm 146:8	11:21 12:4 13:14	177:25 200:14	hospital 116:2
guys 16:14 49:9,11	Hartford 71:23	26:23 30:19,22	highest 21:8	hosted 26:9
50:1 97:8 123:21	Harvard 109:24	34:21 37:6 40:20	highlight 85:11	hosting 35:21
126:21 139:10	Harvey 112:13	42:13 53:5 57:19	134:8,11	hot 4:25 16:23 37:21
150:25 161:24	hat 42:23	61:10 76:4,15 85:4	Hill 65:7 124:13	hotel 120:5,11
176:20 180:25	head 6:3 11:15	93:17 95:5,20	193:1	hottest 38:2
183:25 187:22	heads 7:4	96:19 97:19 98:2	hindered 106:1	hour 5:19
190:25	heads-up 64:1	102:17 111:22	hire 54:9 67:19 70:2	house 8:21 16:4
GWAC 114:20,22	health 67:11,13,16	117:11 122:10	183:21 184:6	61:16 65:7 99:10
		l		l

56:22 57:15

incentives 42:18

incentivised 46:5

include 71:5 87:5,6

48:24 49:1 50:7

52:9,14,16 53:4

identifiable 137:3

identified 118:21

identifier 29:14,24

identify 38:6 40:5

53:5 58:16 59:21

170:19

	l .	Ī	Ī	î .	
99:13,14,16	146:22	141:5 189:23	123:4	164:6	
103:20 105:12	identifying 60:15	193:13	ineligible 83:15	instruct 87:12	
127:24	ignore 128:23	includes 48:21	infancy 128:3	instruction 27:8	
housekeeping 20:23	129:17 178:2	including 69:4 95:5	influence 193:16,23	28:1,5,7	
hover 43:5	ignored 121:20	169:1 170:4	infographic 139:21	instrumental 96:5	
HR-2499 58:22	II 139:24 173:16	172:20	139:23 140:11	insurance 180:2	
HUBZone 25:12	ilk 128:10 132:4	incomplete 40:8	164:15	185:16	
39:4 82:6,6,10,13	illegitimately 69:17	inconsistently 47:22	inform 171:4 178:23	integration 23:15	
83:4 106:17	Illinois 7:20	incorporate 41:2	179:2	intend 69:11 87:8	
108:22 125:25	imagination 136:14	76:4 93:5 126:14	information 9:3	intended 91:22	
126:5	imagine 68:7 160:8	157:16 158:5	15:8 29:23 30:22	92:11 141:14	
HUBZones 82:12	165:25	190:23	37:2,6,19 46:10,16	intent 66:9 122:5	
huge 18:11 110:6	imagining 159:8	increase 8:9 21:21	49:15 54:14 61:7	142:19	
111:1 116:14,15	immediately 181:2	27:25 43:3,12	88:5 118:8 133:12	Interagency 17:1	
130:19 192:20	impact 16:5 25:5	45:14 48:24 54:2	184:17 187:17,24	153:7 194:23	
huh 73:25	34:9 60:1,6,7,23	107:19 166:1	188:2,5,11 195:15	interest 22:21 55:1	
hundreds 180:21	144:8,8 165:18	increased 43:8	infrastructure	56:3 87:1 88:1	
188:13	166:13 167:2,7	45:16 52:3 53:22	29:23	97:6 153:23	
hungry 161:22,23	195:13	increasing 43:1 48:3	initial 78:20 159:23	interested 14:16	
husband 5:6 31:20	impetus 184:23	52:5 142:25 168:9	initiative 182:11	28:16 53:16 71:11	
husbands 5:18	impinged 104:8	incredible 22:25	initiatives 3:19	82:16 88:2 90:25	
hydration 136:12	implant 136:20	25:15 37:5 98:1	15:19 99:7	113:2 133:7 163:7	
	implement 69:3	incredibly 103:23	Inn 188:21	202:12	
I	86:16 87:24 96:23	112:20,24 113:6	inner 146:16	interesting 33:15	
Ian 104:16	127:14	114:2	innovation 125:20	34:3 123:5 160:2	
IATF 17:1,5,25 18:1	implementing 84:16	incubator 167:2	141:22	164:13 165:8	
92:16 191:8	84:25	174:11 196:2	innovative 7:10 8:8	interests 155:4	
IBM 65:12 68:11,12	implored 131:16	incubators 179:11	160:12	191:19,22	
68:12 69:20 75:19	importance 89:5,6	incumbent 122:22	input 126:16 192:1	interim 81:23	
79:5	important 30:16	independent 14:21	200:16,20	interject 189:2	
IBMF 175:11	55:4 59:17 71:15	33:12	inside 119:8 141:12	internally 59:24	
idea 19:5 66:20	83:15 98:9 105:24	Index 147:21	insights 167:12	international 24:20	
84:13 93:14	145:1 171:17	Indiana 7:14 96:4	185:22	76:11	
120:12 124:3	173:1 176:18	Indianapolis 96:4	inspiring 159:19	internationally	
141:19 158:25	177:18 178:5,19	indicate 178:22	installation 24:3	76:25 77:4	
179:21 185:16	196:9	indicating 176:7	192:19	interpret 121:16	
192:11 197:3	improve 54:9	individual 144:15	installations 194:15	122:4	
ideal 59:20	improvement 23:17	149:13 173:19	instance 46:7 66:3	interpreted 90:23	
ideas 7:11 8:25	in-house 99:4	187:1	68:5	182:18	
34:25 61:9 146:20	in-person 57:21	individuals 35:17	Institute 23:8 24:19	interpreting 121:18	
170:16 179:20	incentive 45:23	183:9	63:15 165:20	interrupt 28:12 32:6	
identificable 127.2	40.04.40.4.50.5	1. 1 1.1000	l • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • •	10 00 00 4 100 01	

industrial 129:9

Industries 97:12

industry 44:12,14

113:13 114:17,18

115:2,8,14 118:24

59:25 97:14

institution 23:7

149:14,23

108:19

institutionalized

institutions 148:4

institutional 144:16

43:23 80:6 102:21

interruption 66:12

intersection 36:4

intervention 30:9

interview 184:18

179:4

[218]

interviewed 147:22
intimate 4:7
intrigued 6:23
intro 4:2
introduce 11:9 14:5
63:5 197:23
introduced 53:9,21
introducing 3:23
11:5
invaluable 22:3
inventory 116:11
118:6
invest 144:18
145:15 178:9,11
185:7
investable 172:19
173:4
invested 8:2
investigation 29:8
investigations 32:13
investing 144:10
146:3 167:8 168:5
168:6 173:10,12
179:11 196:11
investment 72:14
91:5 144:9 165:6
169:20 171:2
179:15
investment-side
143:23
investor 144:12
149:6 160:10
167:18 174:5
185:5
investors 143:3
144:13 149:13,14
150:5 158:22
161:4 162:20
179:6,7,11 180:5
180:13
invitation 6:3 22:8
39:21 197:18
invite 39:10
invited 95:10
inviting 139:3
involve 188:4
involved 6:4,11 15:8

00.17 104.12
99:17 104:12 121:5 122:23,23
139:16 143:3
152:21 168:7
187:23 192:18,22
193:8,12 197:11
involvement 15:12
133:5 193:7
involving 35:5
iPads 176:23
iPhone 176:23
Iraq 5:8 63:2 140:18
147:9
Iraqi 63:3,4
issuance 90:1
issue 34:12 38:2,18
39:1,3 45:20 46:12
46:24,25 47:1,16
47:20,22 48:6
49:14,15 51:20
52:18,19 60:18
73:15 98:23
104:17 110:9
122:19 124:24,25
127:23 131:7
132:1 135:1 148:4
177:22 194:16
199:13
issues 17:20 32:19
33:6,9 34:8 35:5
36:7 37:4,7,8,12
37:15 38:7,9 39:13
39:17 44:14 45:10
72:25 73:24
100:20 104:14
106:13 116:7
128:1,9 131:20
132:7,11,14
185:19
issuing 86:1 93:9
items 116:8 117:7
153:22
IVMF 184:13 189:4
189:15
107.13
J
J&J 123:22
J

T 11 70 15
Jackie 70:15 Jan 129:25
January 45:8
January/December
85:10
Jay 64:12
Jeff 67:19
Jefferson 124:15
Jen 1:25 202:4,18
Jersey 100:16
101:20,21 133:3
Jim 4:3 10:20 12:22
20:6 39:7,9 43:25
63:8 72:19 75:5
78:21 106:13
124:8 133:15
138:3 181:23 182:18 198:22
job 13:17,18 23:8
36:16 52:21 56:13
56:16,17 162:11
165:2,14 195:17
198:7
jobs 56:17 84:17,25
Joe 12:16 19:24
24:10 72:25 73:1
104:16 191:7,20
193:2 198:15
John 29:9 41:18
130:1
join 3:4 72:18 74:4
148:2 154:6
joined 13:1 16:11 154:21 186:10
joining 20:7 31:14
152:9
joint 82:11,12 83:8
83:9,12,13,16
132:5
journey 164:1
judgmental 185:25
Julie 124:14
jump 37:23 195:18
June 23:22 25:18
26:17 39:22 81:22
103:16 111:24
115:23 119:1

191:6 199:10
K
Kaplan 130:1
Kauffman 176:13
keep 9:24 12:7
18:18 50:10 114:8
120:3 122:23
keeps 180:3
Keith 79:12,13 81:5 Ken 81:7 88:9,17
90:2,3 100:6,23
102:13 103:9,12
107:17 129:25
130:4,7
kept 124:2,2
key 66:8 75:14
100:13 112:25
167:10 168:17
169:9
kick 3:10 138:3
kicking 93:4
Kidalov 105:18
kids 110:4
kill 129:14
killing 102:11 kills 151:11
kind 4:21 7:2,8
16:10 17:8 18:9,14
28:21 34:3,6 37:20
37:21 40:18 44:13
44:16 53:11 73:16
80:19 90:18 95:9
103:2,3 126:8
142:6 146:10
149:14,17 161:10
166:23 183:9
187:7 188:5 190:2
kindred 31:22
kinds 8:6 68:9
154:19
King 79:12,14 81:5
Kingdomware 98:4
117:7,9 118:12 121:14,21 122:4
121.14,21 122.4
124:19 125:3
121.17 123.3

127:13 130:23 131:2,8 132:23 **Klare** 67:19 know 3:13 4:2,4,11 4:11,20 5:1,23 6:11,16,20,25 8:3 8:7,19,20,23 9:16 9:18 10:2,24 11:10 14:25 15:11 17:9 19:18,19 21:5 23:20 24:24 29:2 29:24 33:24 35:3 36:4 37:4 38:5,5,6 38:15 39:15 40:5,6 40:17 41:1 45:11 47:2 48:9 50:3 52:20 53:8,15 54:6 55:3,3,3 56:2,24 57:11,12,14,15,15 59:12,12,13,15 63:9 68:3,5,6 70:5 70:20 71:5 73:13 73:15,18 74:16,18 74:19,24,25 75:5 77:6 79:3.7 81:1 81:14 82:3 83:17 84:3,10,19 85:11 85:12,15 86:8,22 87:12 89:10,12,23 90:13,16,18 91:4,5 91:9,24 92:5,10,12 92:14 93:13,16,20 94:16,17,20,21,24 95:4,5,23,24 100:21 102:10,13 103:12,18 104:13 106:24 108:15 109:20 110:8,10 110:17 111:3 114:21 116:14,23 116:24,25 117:3 117:11 119:19 120:6,16 121:9,22 122:18 123:14,14 123:16,18 124:14 125:4,10,25 128:9 130:24 131:4,25

132:19 133:23	Labs 168:4 175:12	leaning 169:14	155:4 160:2,6,7	limited 147:13
134:7,10,13,15,18	180:8 187:18	learn 7:5 27:10		
134:19 135:16,17	189:9	30:22 108:1,2	, and the second	
138:19 139:4	lack 193:5	178:21 180:19	lends 150:17	LINC 147:12,13,14 153:12 154:6
142:2 144:3,25	lady 114:13,15	learned 23:4 24:1	leniency 150:24	Linda 3:12 41:12
146:4,16,17,18	lag 171:23	36:22 122:11	lent 158:15,16	57:23 61:24 72:22
147:1,14 148:18	land 37:20 192:12	128:24 145:17	Lesbian 76:15	Linda's 62:3
149:18 150:23	landscape 22:23	learning 7:3 8:18	lesson 145:18	line 14:18 28:9
151:6,8,11,12	large 12:14 38:9	32:21 56:3	200:22	68:23 121:1 132:8
152:5,22 153:13	79:6 84:2,5,8,11	leave 4:10 18:17	lessons 23:4 146:13	144:21 155:18
153:15 154:13,24	84:11 85:3,7,22,23	172:21 174:22	let's 44:17,19 47:4	156:19 163:16
155:3,12,13 157:4	97:4,20 98:14	177:11,11,21	82:5,15 84:15,24	lines 26:25 70:21
157:5,5 158:1,19	116:13 123:19	leaving 102:14	85:9,19 86:3,17	183:13 184:20
158:24 160:8	125:20 126:4	155:21	88:1,4 89:12	link 58:4
161:6,17 163:7	131:23 161:11	led 81:5 164:19	124:17 143:20	LinkedIn 70:8,8
164:22,23 166:20	194:13	166:8	153:9 154:8	lips 138:10
167:20 169:12	larger 21:5 46:1,4	leech 155:20,20	155:23 177:3,5,24	Lira 29:9
172:22 174:4,17	50:4 52:14 140:24	157:10	179:6 180:13	list 18:21 40:20,21
175:9 176:15	144:14	leeches 155:24	letter 83:18 99:11	60:3,5 68:3 78:24
177:12,23 178:2,2	largest 41:22 74:25	left 110:25 125:7	letters 117:11	97:14 113:3 118:3
178:4,5 179:5,15	200:2	140:2 143:25	letting 7:15,17 41:16	129:8 147:8 170:3
179:17 180:16,22	lastly 35:20 144:16	144:11 164:20	level 26:22 50:6	199:9
182:9,10 183:19	148:16 196:23	legal 19:3,6 37:11	61:13 66:17 71:7	listed 167:11
184:1,18 185:3,5,6	late 84:20,22	65:5	71:16 127:9	listen 93:13 122:7
185:12,15 186:19	laugh 10:23,24,25	Legally 94:16	134:12 135:19	listened 161:12
187:23 189:19,24	120:16	Legion 12:17 22:3	182:6,7,10 191:25	listening 32:20
190:6,9,17 194:2	launch 64:10 71:19	24:9 191:19,23	193:7,8,20,24	34:22 150:14
194:14 195:13,21	71:22 162:22	legislation 9:16	200:14	lists 150:11
195:25 196:1	195:22	81:14 87:20 90:19	levels 52:18 71:6	lithotripters 123:2
197:6,7,12 199:18	launched 143:1	92:4 105:25	75:10	little 4:9,11,21 5:3,5
199:23,24	144:23	157:12,13,24	licenses 182:10	5:14,24,25 6:18
knowing 14:16	launching 26:23	legislative 65:7	lieutenant 12:11	9:13 16:3 17:17
57:19	law 64:23 83:6 92:7	106:5 122:14	13:22 63:10	20:18 25:8 27:12
knowledge 148:19	121:15 122:5	125:16	life 9:10 21:16 33:21	32:3 34:5 49:12
193:5 194:6	Lawrence 72:16	lend 150:2 157:6	148:1 171:9	51:4 53:24 59:7
knowledgeable	laws 63:18	181:14,16,20	196:12	67:20 68:21 70:15
157:23	lawyer 157:25,25	lender 45:24 51:21	lifestyle 171:7	82:21 83:7 88:13
known 7:24 42:7	161:13,13	52:15 53:4 54:16	173:13 185:6,7,10	88:14 90:4 97:23
59:8	lay 37:20	155:11 182:7	187:3	108:18 109:20
knows 72:25 74:8	layer 48:8	lenders 46:13 51:23	lifetime 174:19	150:20 154:10
127:21 136:7	lead 3:20 168:13	52:6,10 57:2,2	lift 74:8	168:19 169:21
141:6 155:10	leader 67:2 174:22	59:11 141:8	light 18:20	184:22 198:25
175:19	leaders 67:7 164:25	154:14,22,23	lightly 23:12	199:8 201:3
Kreisers 116:21	leadership 3:19 20:8	155:4,24	limit 56:1	live 3:19 4:5 64:10
117:10	20:9 67:4 132:14	lending 42:24 43:1,6	limitation 29:12	66:8 68:24 183:24
	leading 63:2 164:20	43:7,17 48:3,20	limitations 29:3	lives 148:6
L	169:3	138:15 142:22	81:17	living 101:21

LLCs 181:14,20
loan 32:17 41:12,14
41:22 44:8 47:23
48:1,4 49:21 50:15
51:16,25 54:12,19
54:20 55:8 92:21
141:4,9 143:9
144:14,19 145:14
145:15 146:7
148:17 149:23
140.17 149.23
151:19 154:18
155:7,9 156:19,22
157:2,4,5,8 158:11
158:23 159:3,4,25
161:3 180:3
181:18,18 199:16
199:22 200:14
loaned 158:13
loans 44:4,6 45:5,7
45:14,19,21,25
46:1,3,4 48:13,14
48:15,21,21,23
50:4,15,23 51:18
52:6,7,14 54:1,1,3
57:6,7 58:17 61:10
92:21 104:3
138:18 143:11
144:15,18,20
146:4 149:19
150:7 154:20
156:6,12,18,20
157:1,10 158:11
158:22,24 159:6
160:9 161:6
174:20
lobbying 126:19
• -
193:1
lobbyists 124:16
local 66:17 71:16
192:2 193:7,20
197:23
localized 140:13
locally 73:9
located 28:6
location 191:7
197:24 198:4
Lockheeds 110:22

logistician 12:11 long 3:13 13:9 29:21
31:13 32:17 39:5 43:19 177:6 197:4
long-term 55:1
longer 6:12 40:13
46:2,4 49:2 83:20
91:10 200:3
look 4:8 7:22 8:7 9:2 9:3,9,14 20:19
23:2,13,18 25:18
33:3 38:7 44:9,16
58:25 59:12,23
60:23 61:14 80:4
86:19 87:13 93:15
93:21 94:9 103:8
105:10,10,25 107:25 113:22
126:10 127:18
131:21 135:9
163:13 166:22
173:9 174:9
175:11,13 176:1
178:16 182:24
184:15 198:17 looked 22:24 104:14
115:20 117:17
157:17
looking 3:23 6:13
8:11 10:11 15:11
19:23,24,24 24:16
28:19 35:21 38:8
49:8 59:25 60:2
66:2 74:24 107:17 112:7 118:2 155:3
156:6 171:11
174:6,15 175:5
179:22 180:15
182:19 185:22
189:1,7 199:20
looks 20:12 24:25
134:23 136:21 loopholes 93:18
losing 91:8
lost 8:4,4 200:6
lot 8:17 15:1 24:1
26.7 27.12 29.24

26:7 37:12 38:24

42:18 44:14 56:2 56:15,19 61:17 63:20 73:5 80:15 82:1,6,13,15 92:9 94:7,8,25 101:20 107:3,5,8 110:11 123:19 132:7 134:23 136:5 143:2 145:3 146:18 147:7 151:4,5,12 157:6 158:23 161:9,19 162:3 164:5 172:22 173:22 174:16 175:7 185:8 200:20 lots 155:6,6 love 12:8 28:24 43:13 101:21 134:20 146:1 152:20 197:5,19 197:25 loved 42:7 56:25 loves 119:17 low-drag 162:11 Lowder 138:13,13 161:8,15 162:2 lower 84:8,9 152:14 loyalty 146:10 lunch 130:25 137:16 Luncheon 119:13 Lynne 138:13,22
<u>M</u>
ma'am 3:24
machines 123:2,2

ma'am 3:24 machines 123:2,2 macroeconomic 177:17 Maggie 88:14 Maggie's 198:22 mailing 113:3 main 140:25 141:2 172:22 173:10 major 96:10 99:18 99:19 104:13 122:25 123:1

•
148:4 192:15
majority 91:23
131:13 172:20
174:19 177:13
making 17:23 40:17
42:12 100:7 126:7
158:21 189:17
man 161:19 162:7
manage 23:7 27:20
116:10
managed 144:17
\mathbf{c}
management 52:25
127:3 196:1
mandate 28:2
mandates 15:3
manufacturer 118:5
128:11
manufacturers 71:2
manufacturing
54:20 55:13,15
map 58:1
March 1:12 18:7
26:11 64:10
Marine 12:10 13:22
41:25 63:10 96:5
mark 43:6 50:2
138:25 139:1
150:20 151:24
158:8,10 161:8
163:4 164:14
165:4,10 170:6
175:7 178:10
181:12 197:6
Mark's 183:1
marked 199:16
market 63:20 101:6
101:14 115:2,5,5
135:13 136:10
143:18 168:25
marketing 19:14
64:12 115:4
marketplace 67:17
79:1 95:21,24
97:18 99:24,25
104:19 106:6,16
114:12 129:3
158:23 159:1,8

```
160:11 169:6,13
 171:24
marketplaces 184:2
married 31:19
Marriott 100:14
 112:25
marshall 132:19
marshalling 125:8
Maryland 196:20
match 101:10
 120:20
match-making
 66:25 67:1 112:18
matching 12:14
 111:19
material 85:20
math 158:12
matter 32:14 33:3
 37:19 182:21
matters 19:3
Maureen 189:3
Maureen's 190:17
Max 105:18
maxed 54:22
maxes 55:24
maximize 55:21
maximum 53:22
 55:11 153:23
Mayor 184:3
McAdams 14:7,7
 90:3,24
McCaffrey 26:11
 194:18,18 198:6
 198:13
McKesson 123:22
McKinney 67:3
McMahon 2:3 3:12
 3:21 4:1,18 5:7,22
 9:23,24 10:15
Meade 101:20
meals 120:11 121:5
mean 32:12 37:5
 46:21 53:15 80:24
 90:6 92:11 94:6,8
 103:4 108:11
 129:9 134:14
 143:6 155:22
```

Advisory	Committee o	on Veteran	Business Affairs

157:14 162:13,15	16:13 17:2 20:8	mention 12:25 58:8	Mike's 39:7	misinterpreted
174:4 176:14	22:10 39:22,23	75:23 112:17	Mikes 73:25	130:10
177:20 178:6	56:19 61:20 69:7	198:9 Milano 22:5		mission 6:19 14:20
179:11 200:12	80:12 89:16 92:16	mentioned 18:11	militarily 176:22	21:13,14,22 32:20
meaningful 152:10	95:14 103:16,20	27:16 31:2 34:3	military 4:20 6:7	42:9,14 52:25 53:6
165:7 179:24	119:4 122:20	40:1 58:14 100:6	10:7,9 11:20 13:15	64:19
means 30:20 117:5,6	123:10,18,21	149:9 167:24	21:15 23:9 27:5	Missouri 161:21
131:12	124:7 125:18	mentor-protegè	41:24 42:1 63:15	mistake 176:20
measurable 58:17	126:11,19 129:18	16:21 82:16,18,22	97:13 112:11	mistakes 10:23
measure 31:4 103:6	130:7,13 142:10	83:17,22 195:5	138:6 141:6,22	mobile 142:22
148:18 152:24,25	145:21,23 181:3	196:7,8,14	144:8 146:2,6,9	mobilize 145:9
153:3	191:5,6,9 197:12	mentored 12:25	148:2 151:4,13,15	model 52:4 65:16
measured 84:14	198:4 199:10	mentoring 71:11,14	151:18 161:24	69:4 71:13 76:4,6
153:1,1	201:11	113:9	165:20 186:10	76:20 78:1,12,18
measurement 28:22	meetings 19:20	mentors 27:19	192:19 195:16	79:16,22 80:25
measurements	124:13 128:7	85:15	Millennial 147:21	114:2 151:15
28:14	192:5	merchandise 70:23	millennials 147:22	154:9 155:11
measures 28:17,19	member 62:24 68:2	merchandising	147:25 148:3,9	modeling 50:18,19
mechanism 95:2	69:14 120:19	70:16	Miller 41:18	50:21 200:10
117:5	121:6	merger 91:7	million 45:21 46:1	models 50:8 168:12
mechanisms 173:13	member-funded	met 5:17 17:10 34:1	50:2 53:24 54:5,21	modular 23:6
179:20 187:12	143:5	82:7 87:8 89:10	55:8,11,15,17	moment 63:25
med-surg 115:24	members 6:14 13:16	119:15 123:9	131:11,13,24	148:1,5 174:9
117:2 118:13	14:6,14 17:19 18:1	Metcalf-Razzino	134:4 143:11	189:21
128:17 136:3,25	19:25 21:15 22:7	1:25 202:4,18	145:4,4 157:4	moments 21:20
Medal 72:6,8	26:4 27:5 29:9	metric 152:13	158:13,16 159:3	Monday 119:4
media 78:14	33:10,18 34:18,18	metrics 38:1 172:14	165:22,23 186:19	197:12
Medicaid 38:12	35:9,13,14 36:23	172:15 187:23	187:9,9 196:3	money 8:2,4 33:9
medical 38:25 96:3	58:9 63:5 64:17	mic 4:6,17	million-plus 183:8	43:15 98:7,7
96:3 115:15 123:1	65:22,24 69:5,5	mic'd 163:10	mind 33:16 46:19	110:23 112:22
123:8,22 124:12	96:1,21 97:24	Michael 49:13 57:22	157:25 198:22	120:12 124:21
128:19 129:7	103:14 111:16	106:11	mind-set 106:21	135:14 140:15
131:1 133:2 136:9	114:9 115:12	microlender 197:1	minimum 153:13,17	144:3,6 145:16
137:4 192:3,15,20	120:11 124:11	microlending 197:5	Minneapolis 117:16	155:12 160:7
194:15	142:25 143:6	microphone 20:25	minorities 192:13	161:7 162:21
medical-surge 116:5	154:11 179:14	78:10 174:1	minority 78:17	168:13 174:7
medically 13:22	188:12	191:21	minority-owned	184:8 199:2,6
63:10	membership 26:2	middle 175:19	25:12 125:24	monies 168:15
Medicare 38:12	64:25 68:8 70:9,12	Mike 13:2,3,21 16:9	126:5	180:4
medium 143:14	70:13 71:4 98:2	17:6,7 18:8 19:10	minted 10:21	monitor 15:6,14
Medline 116:17,19	119:4	20:9 49:8 63:4,9	minuses 200:15	monolithic 146:5
117:12	memorialization	64:5,15 73:18,23	minute 47:5 111:20	Monster 70:4
meet 22:8,11 27:11	59:4	73:24 80:7,13 81:2	113:25 116:4	month 23:4 24:14
123:5 143:14	memorialize 58:22	106:7 124:6	163:10	26:11 70:7 82:3
148:13 165:4	58:23 59:18	126:14 154:3	minutes 17:10 32:3	105:23 113:1,2,17
194:25	memory 125:17	157:19 181:1	62:19 111:14	114:3 123:5
meeting 1:9 3:9	men 4:25 5:2,16	200:21 201:6	161:4	160:15 190:12

[222]

196:15	123:22	60:1,24 65:8 67:12	new 3:11 7:10 14:2	nontraditional
monthly 100:13	narrowed 173:8	69:13 91:6 92:13	14:14 16:14 19:25	146:22 147:12
111:17 112:25	Natalie 31:10,14	92:14 95:23 97:18	20:1 24:2,14,17	nonveteran 152:15
113:16 114:7	36:3 37:10 39:8,10	104:23 113:24	* *	
months 45:12 88:8	39:20 41:4,23 42:2	117:5 120:12	44:20 50:20 59:15	164:10 nonveterans 91:22
101:24 127:19	nation's 163:23	124:6 126:16	61:10 66:4 72:14	151:5 166:22
131:12 142:19,21	national 2:16 20:18	127:8 130:22	73:24 88:17	170:25
145:18 156:10	26:15 31:11 34:2	132:23 133:5	100:16 108:6	noon 119:12
176:5 178:25	47:9 57:17 65:20	135:6,7,10 141:17	119:9 133:3 142:1	Norfolk 111:24
190:14	74:20,23 76:3,6,14	142:13 143:18	155:18,18 194:1	112:10 119:1,6
morning 3:3 4:9	78:23 79:7,9 81:4	145:5 154:6 156:1	newly 7:20 10:21	normally 42:19
6:22 9:13,19,24	95:12,18 96:1	157:2 159:24	news 65:13 169:18	175:2
10:1,13,18 11:13	97:11 100:4	161:12,18,18	newsletter 111:18	North 4:15 11:20,25
12:19 13:7 14:1,14	105:15 111:13	162:23 167:22	114:7	116:21
22:6 25:21 26:17	118:25 132:24	170:23,24 171:2,4	Newton 72:16	Northern 197:21
31:16 41:11 42:22	137:2 163:17	173:21 174:21	nice 4:2,9 179:14	note 40:1 150:8,15
60:4 62:23 81:10	194:20 195:11	178:22 184:5,16	nicely 158:6	noticed 61:5
95:17 104:4 138:6	nationally 47:10,16	184:17,19 188:5	Nick's 184:14	notify 52:15
142:5 195:3 199:7	71:17 72:2 76:9	189:2 192:23	night 100:13 121:24	notwithstanding
201:1	nature 157:10	194:9	188:22	16:16
mortgages 151:9	Naval 15:11	needed 7:25,25	nine 63:12 121:15	November 85:2
MOU 99:21 111:10	navigate 150:22	140:15 153:2	122:1 151:7 166:8	123:9 184:14
111:15 112:17	198:25	169:25,25	175:16 190:14	nugget 5:25
mouth 98:8 145:16	NaVOBA 77:7,13	needs 16:18 56:21	nods 37:7	number 25:13 32:15
move 14:12 20:4	77:13,13 78:7,9,13	85:17 99:1 113:21	nominated 126:25	34:4 35:11 38:11
40:20 45:4 93:23	78:18	114:11 116:1	nominations 35:8	39:3 43:5 44:4,5,6
138:11 171:17	NAVSO 168:17,21	117:22 145:22,23	35:18	44:10,12 58:17,20
moved 13:12 101:19	169:21 182:16	147:1 184:11	non-lifestyle 185:4	67:10 68:16 72:10
114:14 151:6,7	Navy 12:23 31:20	193:5 194:9	non-profit 71:4	89:14 100:22,25
152:12	42:2 74:4 120:21	196:13 199:13	77:17,20 168:22	101:12 102:25
movement 82:3	120:21,23	negative 59:3 87:21	168:25 169:22	104:18 105:7
106:15	NDAA 81:18 84:4	negotiate 110:24	174:24 176:9	110:17 114:4
MRI 123:2	85:9 88:25 90:5	neither 202:7	non-profits 22:1	121:9 122:5
multiple 24:17	NDIA 97:11	nephew 41:25	163:23 175:20	125:21,22 135:7
75:12,13 94:5	Neal 72:15	net 180:1	183:15	137:2 140:20,21
173:21	near 3:22 4:13 73:6	network 57:2,14,18	nonenforcement	140:23 142:25
municipal 66:5,22	nearly 165:23	147:6 193:23	32:16	145:19 153:25
71:7	neat 18:10	194:4	noninherently 98:22	156:6 161:25
mute 3:7	necessarily 53:10	networking 114:5	nonmanufacturer	162:2 166:13
myriad 101:6 102:5	108:5 169:11	147:15 175:9	128:19 129:1	173:16,16 186:15
N	181:9	networks 21:25	nonmanufacturers	186:23 190:7
	necessity 69:11	neutral 33:12	82:9	196:10
N 2:1,1 3:1	need 6:20 7:14 8:7	167:17 169:13	nonmilitary 183:23	numbers 39:11 44:8
NAC 132:24	16:18 18:18 21:7	never 22:19 53:14	nonsense 127:2	45:8,11 48:19
NAICS 83:21	29:10,22 30:7,13	56:16 82:7 86:7	nontech 170:21	53:23 54:6 89:24
nail 179:22	42:13 43:15 47:12	110:3,3 173:25	174:18 182:22	101:2 103:9
name 85:16 114:14	47:13 48:5 54:15	178:9	183:2,6	105:19 165:24

[223]

166:2 196:9	161:23 175:7	70:1 72:4 75:25	141:5,10 154:14	organization 11:11
NVSBC 74:17 75:15	193:15 194:11	77:12 81:6,16 85:9	onus 75:11	11:12 14:3 18:12
75:22 76:4,14	occurring 47:16	88:16 93:22 95:9	open 22:16 61:2	24:12 36:6 37:13
95:11,20 97:22	occurs 157:7	105:2 107:11	81:23 108:6	64:25 65:1,2,3,21
111:17 112:18,20	OCRM 53:14	109:10 123:15	135:13 136:10	76:10 79:4 80:17
117:23 119:21	October 83:24	129:19,25 130:16	153:16 172:4	97:18 98:1,3,5,6
121:6,8 125:9	offer 8:14 13:18	130:17,18 132:17	173:21	98:15,18,20,24
	24:20 25:17 50:6	132:20 133:10	operate 8:3 99:2	105:10 121:9
0	54:18 60:14 73:12	138:10,22,24	110:15 116:4	142:17 163:22
O 2:1 3:1	198:10	139:5,7 145:17	140:4	169:8 196:24
O'Farrell 9:22	offered 188:11	150:18 152:4	operates 116:23	198:2 200:25
10:11,18,21 12:22	offering 68:16 75:21	158:17 159:2,14	operating 4:24 5:2	organizational
12:22 14:4,11	135:15 190:22	160:23 161:1	5:17	64:23 65:20
17:15 18:8 36:3,23	offerors 22:24	163:3,5,12 174:3,5	operations 15:15,16	organizations 7:7
39:19 40:12 41:4,8	offers 110:21	174:6,21 175:3,15	15:18 16:6 117:17	15:9 35:21 76:25
43:22,25,25 44:3	offhand 58:19	178:14 182:20	opinion 45:2 117:6	78:22 79:4,15 81:1
44:19,22,25 46:19	office 2:9 12:20	188:4 191:3,6,14	121:14 122:4	98:12 119:15
46:25 47:4,10,13	20:16 27:16 32:3,7	193:14 198:4	158:2	163:18 168:7
48:12,18,25 49:7	34:6 37:18 41:8,11	201:7	OPM 36:20	169:3 170:3
49:24 51:1,7 53:10	41:19,21 49:16	old 42:23 54:13	opportunities 3:7	175:12,14 196:22
53:17 58:8 61:2,23	52:24 61:5 81:8	101:18,20 174:17	9:15 42:11 89:17	organize 97:8
62:6,12,16,22 80:6	83:10 87:20	174:17 190:4	100:7,22 134:9	organized 66:19
80:23 81:2,6 88:9	104:13 111:25	old-timers 99:8	165:13	126:9
88:13 90:2 93:22	113:19 129:5	OMB 36:18,20	opportunity 4:10	OSDBU 86:24
93:25 95:8,16	191:23 192:24	40:16 86:15	6:2 8:5 9:5,12	OSDBU's 85:14
102:19,21 103:17	officer 11:17 12:23	Ombudsman 20:18	31:16 35:22 41:7	OSDBUs 85:24
104:8 105:2 106:7	14:8 70:16 74:4	31:11,24 34:6 47:5	49:11 54:25 59:20	ought 185:23
108:3 124:8	94:23 108:19	62:12	93:12 96:19 109:9	outcome 28:14,19
125:17 133:16	135:19	on-the-record 191:4	134:16 162:6	28:22 30:8,16 31:4
137:12 138:2,3,10	officers 102:4	once 54:21 55:9,10	173:14 180:20	202:12
138:22 139:3	108:10,12,21	55:17 56:1 76:21	186:5 191:1	outcomes 23:19
163:4 174:2 179:4	offices 48:9 73:10	83:20 92:11 128:4	194:22,24	28:17 29:7 167:7
179:9 180:11	official 93:1	145:11 165:1	opposed 52:22	192:24
181:24 189:17	OFPP 86:15	one-man 178:10	106:19 197:21	outdated 147:8
190:6,15,24 191:3	oftentime 16:19	one-on-one 57:20	opposite 131:3	outgrowing 83:23
191:11,16 193:2	oh 6:17 77:25 93:24	one-shot 57:3	optics 182:21	outlays 171:1
193:14 198:3	123:25 133:19	one-stop 57:9	optimize 146:10	outperforming
200:18	184:3	one-year 29:1 70:8	option 54:23 187:19	166:22
objection 180:12	okay 6:18 10:18	ones 34:14 99:18	orange 27:12 190:4	outreach 8:15,16
objective 95:20	14:11,13 17:6 18:8	147:9 153:20	oranges 80:3	19:8,11 21:5,9
176:12	18:9 20:3 31:9	154:24 168:11	order 69:13 71:24	22:9 25:24 27:19
obligation 85:14	39:25 40:12 41:4	171:5 177:8,14,17	75:12 87:17 94:12	28:1 35:24 42:10
obvious 91:17	44:25 47:10,13	177:24	94:13 99:15	42:15 193:18
obviously 11:1 36:7	48:25 49:7 53:17	ongoing 51:20,23	114:21 118:8	194:19
56:8 69:8 72:12	53:17 60:9 62:14	52:16 67:13 189:6	125:13	outside 30:21 62:10
73:11 89:10	62:22 64:5,21	189:14	ordering 118:10	86:13 119:8 141:6
103:18 140:5	65:19 66:13 69:18	online 27:8 33:2	orders 115:10	142:18 152:22

154:7	paper 93:5 119:20	107:12 168:19	12:5,7 15:1 26:7	performance 85:5
outside-the-Beltw	123:3 126:18	170:11 188:16	32:15 33:19 36:11	86:5,8,9,25 88:22
25:4	129:19	partners 22:1,12	41:23 49:14 52:22	88:23 148:17
outstanding 51:24	paperwork 49:5	27:17 59:25 65:10	54:17 55:2 61:8	159:24
62:25 198:11	parameters 138:18	65:12 76:7 167:16	63:20 66:1 67:12	performed 84:22
OVBD 2:7	parent 196:24	168:18 171:18	68:4 79:15 92:9	85:7
overall 44:15 48:2	parents 4:14,16 5:18	190:11 196:18	93:18 100:18	performing 21:13
89:11 102:25	10:6	197:6	101:13,23 109:7,8	81:20
overcome 122:8	Paris 197:20,21	partnership 9:1	109:19,23 110:1,2	period 50:12 177:5
overkill 131:19	parity 42:5	26:10 69:21 73:8	110:24 111:8	permanent 106:5
overseas 3:15 4:23	part 4:20 12:8 17:11	77:8 189:9 196:25	114:9 119:18	perpetuated 127:2
23:14 24:22,23	20:4 38:12,13 52:4	partnerships 162:4	120:21,22,23	person 3:20 12:3
overview 14:16,25	70:12 75:15 77:23	parts 38:14 94:17	124:4 125:9 128:3	29:15,18 42:1
172:9	82:20 89:19 90:7	party 33:12 35:15	132:14 138:15	62:25 113:19
overwhelming	91:1 98:18 99:4,13	passed 51:22 63:18	143:2,14,17	118:7 136:14
110:25	99:14,18,19	121:15	146:17 147:3	160:5 175:4
owned 90:12,14	100:17 103:21	passionate 80:15	161:16,20 167:6	183:12,12,13
92:3 166:8 175:17	112:20 117:9	109:7 112:20	169:24,25 170:1	personal 5:25 45:1,2
188:12	125:10 127:5	Pat 64:14 72:12	175:4 176:24	61:6 175:10
owner 12:24 35:3,4	140:5,8 160:7	75:24 76:1	195:8 196:11	personally 24:11
72:24 75:11 91:9	168:24 175:6	path 93:4 179:25	perceived 97:5	personnel 110:16
113:11,12	177:10 192:10	186:11 187:5,13	percent 25:9,11,11	113:20
owners 11:24 12:3	198:1	patient 116:6	25:12,12 28:5,6	perspective 102:15
35:9 54:8 71:12	part-time 133:4	Patriot 144:21	29:25 30:2 31:3,3	108:4 132:5
97:6,25 112:22	participants 24:4	168:6 170:5	43:3 48:23 51:10	perspectives 27:22
114:9 192:21	114:3	175:11 180:8	51:12,13 52:3,4	pharmaceutical
ownership 25:16	participate 7:2	187:18	54:2,15 88:21,22	137:1,1
63:19 87:4,9,10,11	82:10 92:1	Paul 72:6	88:23 89:3,4,20	phase 171:15 183:4
87:13 90:7,21 91:1	participated 4:22	pause 10:17 20:22	90:12,13,21 91:2	189:21,23,24,25
owning 91:22	28:15	28:11	91:11 119:15,15	190:1
owns 90:13	participating 26:17	pay 51:10 52:9 78:3	140:3,18 143:1	Phil 123:6,9
P	26:19 103:8	121:2,4,11 145:12	144:1,2,5,6,24	philosophical
P 3:1	104:19 125:19	153:24	148:8 149:11,23	131:25
p.m 201:11	participation 21:21	payday 141:8	150:3,6 151:23	Phipps 13:2,3 16:10
p.iii 201.11 pace 53:25	53:1 96:13 101:8	paying 84:20 146:7	164:15,17,18	17:6,14,16 20:9
packet 32:2	135:8 168:20	payment 107:2	165:25 175:18	37:10 49:9,13,25
packet 32.2 pad 142:6	participations 143:6	payments 84:22	179:18 186:6,7,13	50:13 52:1 57:22
PAGE 2:2	particular 27:25	payrolls 165:23	190:7 192:8	57:22 58:6 61:11
Pages 68:2	102:18 103:1	PCR 132:21 133:2,4	percentage 48:22	61:24 62:3 78:21
paid 51:11,21	108:14 143:16	PCRs 85:15 132:23	126:4 138:19	79:11,17 80:5
149:11	171:11 178:23	peer 69:8 88:24 89:24	percentages 53:7 89:15	91:14 92:15 105:3
paint 188:3	particularly 67:14			105:6 106:10
Pam 72:17	97:14 104:17	penalties 32:13	Perduto 75:5	124:7 125:13
panelist 35:22	106:22 157:15 parties 202:8,11	155:14 penalty 144:3	Perez-Wilhite 11:13 11:14	134:22 150:20 154:3 157:9,21
panels 181:22	partner 22:3 27:15	penalty 144:3 penetrating 97:17	perform 15:4 145:5	158:3 172:6,13
pans 44:17 45:3	70:4,10 71:9 92:18	penetrating 97:17 people 5:21 8:22	145:10	181:1 186:13
r	10.7,10 /1.7 72.10	people 3.21 0.22	143.10	101.1 100.13

[225]

107.00.100.0	1 15 4 4 4 4 6 2 2	1. 1. 44.00	6 07 10	. 21 10 17 20
187:22 188:2	plans 15:6,14,16,20	policymakers 14:23	prefer 27:10	prior 31:18 45:20
190:5 191:8	84:10 85:25 86:2	political 35:15	premature 77:2	89:9 145:12
200:21	193:13	169:14	premier 74:7	prioritize 146:7
Phipps' 201:7	plate 122:22	pool 184:11	premium 70:8,12	priority 40:21
phone 3:4,7 11:6	platform 65:14	pooled 150:6	prepare 39:23 85:4	privacy 29:19
14:5 27:1 60:3	69:20 70:18	pooling 150:7	prepared 4:4	private 9:3 75:2
62:6 63:23 64:3	117:24	popular 106:3	preparedness	77:9 92:22 98:21
73:22 88:10 95:12	play 48:7 99:23	population 144:7	118:18	98:25 109:3,9
117:14 139:5,22	100:1	175:19 178:23	preparing 36:15	110:13,22 133:25
142:4,4,8,24	played 99:14,14	179:2	prepayment 155:9	134:9,17,25
143:24 148:13	please 3:7 11:14	populations 163:24	preponderance	165:16 166:7
163:9 190:18	14:5,24 35:6 55:12	portal 69:22	174:18	167:14 176:6
phones 165:11	58:11 61:19 64:18	portend 101:7	present 169:12	privates 183:15
phonetic 104:16	64:21 65:19 66:7	portfolio 109:13	presentation 39:12	privatization 74:14
phrase 185:25	68:20 69:18 70:1	154:7	41:14 51:3 138:25	privatize 66:15
picked 161:23	70:14 71:3,18 72:4	portion 51:24 143:9	161:9 163:13	68:22
picking 144:14	73:7 93:25 139:19	191:5	171:16 172:7	privilege 31:17
picture 188:3	141:20,24 156:16	portions 144:14	192:25	pro 144:10 150:5
pie 107:23	191:16	position 54:16 94:7	presentations 54:12	proactive 153:20
piece 67:5,21 71:15	pleased 3:11 20:14	129:16,18	presenting 14:1	194:17
73:12,19 74:23	27:24 62:23 81:6	positions 132:14	president 6:3 9:8	probably 49:12
77:19 80:22,22	pleasure 34:21	positive 60:7	14:23 34:1 64:12	65:24 82:16 89:23
178:19	96:15,20	possible 18:5 23:4	72:8 96:2 99:12	92:7 94:19 128:1
pieces 75:3	pledge 154:25	26:6 58:15 134:21	117:17	140:5 152:22
pilot 86:6,17	plenty 180:20	182:4 183:11	Presidential 31:23	155:2 183:24
pipeline 100:24	plug 62:25 154:11	possibly 142:7 160:5	pressure 177:2	195:21
pipelines 107:16	plummeted 115:1	post 4:20	pretty 91:18 92:4	problem 85:22
pitch 200:25 201:3	plural 27:3	post-9/11 139:20,25	186:9	90:11 101:4
Pitney 64:13 65:11	plus 195:6,24	146:24 166:23	previous 77:7	102:11 104:9
69:19,20 75:21	pluses 200:15	176:19	previously 28:17	115:4 117:20
place 6:20 47:17	podium 4:5	post-meeting 191:12	preying 141:10	118:22 122:3
62:9,13 87:14	point 4:15,15 10:5	Postgraduate 15:11	price 118:5	125:3 126:17
99:25 109:1	29:1 33:8 40:23	posts 34:22	primarily 45:15	127:6 128:25
114:24 132:25	65:11 70:6 78:20	potentially 3:22	66:17 100:16	129:15 131:3
141:5 155:3	83:25 92:20 100:6	24:17	primary 45:18	139:18 140:22
168:15 199:5	104:10 106:12,13	power 142:6	83:20 182:15	175:21
places 15:22 30:21	130:14 136:9	PR 119:19	prime 84:5,7,9,21	problems 90:8
194:1	148:24 162:13	practical 32:14	85:3,7,22 86:5,7	102:3,15 129:6
placing 52:22	163:1 165:8	practices 38:18	86:10,11 88:21	130:25 136:24
plan 7:25 40:13,14	174:13,22 176:12	47:19	89:2,5 96:9,10	179:3
40:14,16,24 84:13	188:15 190:17	precepts 169:22	97:5,20 115:24,25	procedural 87:24
109:17 115:18	points 3:8 52:2	predatory 154:13	116:12 117:2	proceed 29:13
128:4 148:11,11	139:11 151:17	155:11 157:7,10	119:3,14,16	proceedings 10:17
192:7	policies 15:19 96:12	180:3	126:20 128:17	202:5,9
planned 62:18	policy 14:21 55:14	predecessors 141:1	primed 76:13	process 22:21 33:2
119:23	97:3 110:9 129:20	predetermined	primes 84:3 85:23	34:8 37:25 68:22
planning 79:21	130:8,14,14	186:11	principles 146:15,20	69:10,19,21,23

[226]
------	---

75 20 76 21 70 10
75:20 76:21 79:18
83:3 99:11 117:9
118:10 121:5
122:13 129:14
133:6 134:13
procurement 24:20
24:23 26:20 98:13
102:3 103:8
119:10 133:6,25
134:19
procurements
131:23
produce 16:1
product 118:2,4
128:11,12 129:4
*
135:23 144:1,9,17
144:22 150:6,7
160:3
products 7:1 19:15
67:22,24 70:19,20
70:24 71:1 99:1
116:2,12 117:1,4
117:22 118:1,13
124:12 128:19
129:5,8,9,11 137:4
143:23 144:19
145:8,9 156:18
160:12
professionals
122:10
program 4:23 15:17
15:17 16:22 22:5
22:14 23:2 24:2
25:4 27:6,12 29:7
30:11,17 41:12,14
42:17,17,18 43:14
43:18,19,20 49:23
50:16 54:12,15,18
54:19,22 55:10,11
55:13 56:7,10,12
56:16,18 57:14
63:13 67:4,6,9,15
67:18 70:2,10 71:1
07.10 70.2,10 71.1
71:24 73:11 75:19
71:24 73:11 75:19 79:8 82:10,16,21
71:24 73:11 75:19 79:8 82:10,16,21 82:22 83:6 86:6,18
71:24 73:11 75:19 79:8 82:10,16,21

106.2 9 16 17 19
106:2,8,16,17,18 106:19,20 107:1
107:21 108:6,17
108:18,20,22,22
108:23 109:6,24
111:13 112:15
113:5,10 121:2
122:9,17 125:6
126:2 132:11
136:22 159:20
160:16 161:20
167:3 196:4,7
197:5
programs 6:19 7:6
8:13 15:7,14,20
19:8 25:6 41:22
42:9,11 66:8,23
77:1 82:7,12,14
83:4 91:19 92:10
92:13 96:19
110:15 130:21
156:7 195:4
196:21 198:10
200:8
prohibitive 157:13
project 2:21 54:15
55:6,19 163:7
164:8,8,11 166:3,3
170:4,13 171:14
171:20 172:2
180:13,17 194:13
projects 192:13,20 193:10,21
proliferate 167:15
promised 5:16
129:18
promote 15:7,14,15
15:19 35:24 63:19
96:12 99:22
111:15,22 141:14
196:21
promoter 30:14
promotion 151:21
proof 189:25
properly 127:15
property 192:9
proposal 85:4

122.14 125.16
122:14 125:16
proposals 22:18 188:18 190:11
propose 9:16 108:1 153:21
proposed 59:1,1
87:9 93:9
proposing 136:23
proposition 74:17
75:14 79:23
129:12 159:11
proprietor 186:14
proprietors 177:12
proprietorship
183:3
proprietorships
173:3 178:3,12
180:16
props 181:17
prosthetics 136:18
protect 92:18
protected 92:24
protects 93:20
protest 83:3 87:22 94:20 121:17
protested 83:2,13 proud 42:6 198:1
prove 199:25
proven 147:5,11
183:21
proverbial 175:4
provide 20:11 22:11
22:23 26:20 33:2
37:23 43:16,20
45:23 46:6,14,18
67:5 85:12 103:3
103:15,15 117:1,3
118:23 126:13
128:6 197:14
200:7
provided 19:6 24:16
43:7 51:22 128:12
128:14,23 179:13
providers 38:25
39:1 179:12
provides 106:8
113:19 129:4

```
providing 6:15 28:4
 34:24 50:16,23
 51:9 65:14
provisions 87:6,14
proxy 175:25 176:1
Prudential 72:15
psyche 108:16
PTAPs 196:17
public 1:9 14:15
  16:12 20:8 32:22
 55:14 58:9 61:3,8
 91:5,8 92:11,20
 93:10 110:8 111:6
 130:4 133:21
 134:24 141:18
 143:7 150:13
 154:25 158:12
 165:16 166:7
 167:14 176:5
 201:10
public/private 162:4
publications 78:15
publicly 33:24
 150:10
publish 171:22
published 59:2,10
 63:1 190:12
pull 86:19 115:17
 118:19 144:2
 175:22 183:4
pulled 198:24
pulling 81:15
purchase 118:8
 136:6.13
purchases 94:3
 136:10.10
purchasing 118:1
purple 27:7 68:2
purposes 7:16 46:18
pursue 178:4
pursuing 36:22
 173:17
push 66:10,16,16
  118:7 122:11
 183:8 194:10
push-back 193:4
pushbacks 184:13
```

```
pushing 18:19,19,19
 122:6
put 23:12 36:12 38:6
 58:24 59:1,7,16,17
 62:4 85:14 86:10
 98:7,7 111:7,18
 112:14 113:11
 114:13.23 117:13
 118:2 128:2
 131:13 132:3
 145:8,16 149:19
 154:5,5,10 157:5
 162:20 166:16
 197:17
puts 132:25
putting 16:18 17:6
 19:5 60:4 99:11
 126:15 144:1
 165:6,6 168:15
         0
qualified 102:4
qualify 56:22
qualitative 189:11
quality 69:3
quantify 154:4
```

quantitative 189:13 quarter 143:1 150:1 quarterly 15:25 **question** 20:23 31:5 33:5 36:9,15 37:1 49:25 58:12,13 62:7 74:13 75:8.24 77:6,23 80:1 95:10 103:18 133:19 135:2 138:14,23 154:12 157:18 163:20 168:24 174:4 177:6 198:23 **questions** 3:8 25:22 28:10 31:7 32:5 36:2,25 37:3 46:21 58:11 80:9,11 88:7 88:9 90:2 93:23 95:8 104:23

127:12,15,20,22

133:13,17 137:13
138:7 148:24
149:6,15 152:9
153:10 166:4
170:15 172:5
191:4 199:9
queue 159:5
quick 14:16,17,24
61:14 105:10,10
109:4 135:2
139:12 181:23,24
quickly 21:6 24:24
88:6 139:12
143:22 166:2
167:24 197:1
quiet 124:25
quite 35:11 159:15
164:11 171:13,25
173:8,15 189:5
quote 101:1 148:8
quotes 147:19
•

R

R 3:1 **R.J** 196:4 race 46:15 radar 127:8,23 **Ragged** 63:1 raise 33:6,9 120:12 132:13 raised 33:19 120:22 raising 55:4 186:11 ramifications 130:20 ran 96:17 **RAND** 29:5 102:7 169:7 range 109:14 151:21 156:16 **rapidly** 184:19 **RAs** 48:9 rate 30:12 69:9 111:21 151:10 153:23 155:8.18 rates 38:19 154:15 154:18,25 ratio 56:20

re-allocate 166:6 173:5 reach 12:2 26:7 34:22 75:17,17 169:5 reached 12:4 reaching 196:18 read 64:19 138:10 150:15 158:1 ready 26:20 89:25 126:20 149:25 159:25 168:12 195:22 real 14:24 47:20 127:25 139:12 157:7 165:24 166:21 181:23,24 193:16 201:4 realities 165:2 **reality** 168:14 **realize** 114:17 151:4 realized 4:6 **really** 4:10 5:19,22 6:1,13 8:3,7,20,22 9:19,25 10:3,12 14:17 22:10 24:24 33:11,21 34:4 35:11 37:17,20 38:2,13,21 68:7 76:19 84:2 90:16 90:25 91:25 97:17 104:23 112:15 119:25 120:1,3 123:24 126:6.16 133:25 136:14 145:1 152:23 160:19 161:11,15 163:14 166:4,14 167:17,19 171:10 173:2,4,13,22 181:4 182:22 **realtime** 183:18 194:6 reason 108:11 127:1 131:9 140:5

raw 182:19

Ray 22:5

147:13 173:10 181:16 reasonable 153:25 reasons 47:21 100:1 101:6,12 107:6 181:8 182:15 **Reboot** 22:4 24:1 27:12.13 **recall** 130:5 **receipt** 165:24 **receive** 15:22 48:22 **received** 21:5 59:2,3 86:7 receiving 27:8 52:7 reception 119:5 recertification 69:10 recertified 78:4 recess 62:21 137:16 **recipient** 3:16 72:7 recipients 91:22 recognize 119:13 recognized 76:22 recognizing 26:4 recommendation 40:22 60:13 61:12 74:9 110:2 181:25 185:1 186:3 189:19 recommendations 14:21 16:2 17:11 17:21,22 18:3,4 19:22 37:11 61:15 61:25 105:7.11.15 110:4 126:12,13 126:15.22 132:16 134:24 139:14,15 151:25 157:11 158:4 201:5 recommended 18:18 121:6 recommends 189:22 reconcile 79:1 reconstituting 63:4 reconvene 25:19 record 9:25 11:3 21:1 39:20 51:2

62:23 81:4 127:13 130:4 181:14.25 184:10 189:3 191:12,17 201:9 202:7 **recorded** 1:25 202:5 records 70:4 recouped 180:4 **recourse** 150:8,15 recovering 157:25 recreating 63:21 red 190:3.5 **Redmon** 195:25 **reduce** 56:11 116:5 186:14 **reduced** 45:17,25 50:22 51:15 202:6 reduction 55:16 102:24 reductions 52:18 Reed 192:3 193:4 194:13 reference 56:24 referral 21:25 **referred** 126:14 **refinance** 54:22,23 54:23,24,25 155:15 refinancing 197:8 **reflect** 48:20 **reformed** 157:25 **refute** 126:6 refuted 125:2 **reg** 58:21,23 59:7,13 59:15,15,18 **regard** 172:18 174:7 regarding 62:10 149:6 **regardless** 53:2 94:4 **region** 48:13,14 194:20 **regional** 48:7,10 66:3,5 74:15 76:6 **regions** 32:23 34:17 34:23 35:10,25 47:6.7 registered 25:3

68:14 75:18 182:10 registering 69:22 registration 120:10 registrations 75:12 regs 58:21,25 60:11 60:18,19 61:22 regulated 182:5,6 regulation 34:7 59:10 104:9 regulations 34:11 34:13 58:16 59:5 59:21 60:1,6,8,15 60:21,22 61:9,13 62:10 81:14 85:13 101:16 102:6,10 102:12 110:14 114:11 regulatorily 181:19 regulatory 31:25 32:8,11,21 34:16 35:5 44:11,13 58:15,20 **Reilly** 41:12,16 47:21,25 48:17 52:24 53:13 54:11 58:1,7 62:1,4 reimbursement 38:19 **relate** 119:11 related 202:7 relates 128:8 193:20 relationship 83:22 170:12 relationships 82:19 relative 202:10 release 40:18,19 relevant 138:16 171:24 reliable 149:25 ReliaONE 14:8 relief 43:20 45:16,17 45:22,25 46:2,5 48:22 49:22 50:12 50:15,17,25 51:8

52:5,7,11,13,15

53:3,7,20,21,22

				[==0]
54:3 56:7,8 200:3	25:1 42:3	196:18	109:17 110:1	158:25 159:16,16
200:5,13	representing 41:18	resources 13:18	reviewing 53:14	159:16,18 160:2,3
relieves 75:9,10	41:22	21:18 23:15 30:13	85:25	160:6,8,9,11,13,25
rely 81:19 188:25	request 50:11	30:15 85:12	revise 60:24	161:1,4 162:15,21
remain 87:14	102:23 104:20	142:12 143:20	revised 60:2,25	162:24 164:21
remaining 90:22	143:19 148:21	146:23 147:1,2,5,7	revolutionize	167:23 169:8
remains 200:5	200:9	165:3 166:7,16	115:18,19	170:15 171:11
remarkable 31:3	requested 143:10	173:5 194:12	rewarding 5:20 12:1	174:13 176:15
remarks 2:3,5,23	requesting 15:9	respective 34:23	12:8	177:1,1 178:20,24
100:6	requests 35:2 37:14	respond 28:24 36:2	RFP 22:22 190:9,10	179:1,10,12,16
remember 30:5 99:9	86:24 146:13,14	103:13 130:4	rich 14:7 188:13	180:2 181:9,20
101:2 114:20	158:24 159:3,4	143:18 167:21	190:20	183:4,22 184:2,14
129:24 130:1,12	require 36:19,20	181:23	Rick 72:17	184:21 185:6,8,9
136:2 195:21	56:17 84:5 91:20	responded 28:4 30:2	rid 125:13 185:4	185:15,17,19,21
remembers 105:18	95:1	respondents 29:25	right 5:9,22 16:23	186:5,10,11,22
remind 186:5	required 51:21	responding 165:13	19:23 22:16 25:3	187:3,17 188:9,9
reminder 3:6	114:21 120:9	168:4	26:24 38:1 42:1,22	191:10 193:12
remiss 95:25	requirement 56:12	response 22:25 29:3	43:6 44:7,10,11	Rights 154:12,22
remote 27:9	87:15 89:7 91:2,3	31:8 124:23	46:20 47:7 48:18	155:2
remove 66:9	135:25 181:19	127:18 138:9	49:24 50:13,14	ripe 153:19
removing 60:7,7	182:2	responsibility 200:7	53:18,19,23 54:10	rise 200:4
rep 186:24	requirements	responsible 28:4	57:21 59:24 60:3	rising 142:14
repay 155:13	109:16 110:16	49:16 85:25	60:12,20 61:1 63:8	risk 52:24 152:14
repay 155.15	111:1 113:24	responsibly 155:12	64:19,21 68:20	153:15,16 158:12
repealed 60:2,25	132:3 136:4	responsive 144:23	70:1 72:4 75:3,13	risks 150:12
repeat 54:13	requires 23:10 92:5	rest 71:25 172:20	77:14 79:20 80:21	risky 151:22
repeat 34.13	research 73:15	restate 58:10	81:15,23 82:23	road 21:19
173:4 185:3	104:22,23 105:8	Reston 142:18	87:1 88:19 89:1	roadblocks 35:4
repeatable/sustai	104.22,23 103.8	restraints 11:1	91:15 97:8,13	Robert 41:13 58:13
185:24	158:7 164:4	result 16:19 87:22	101:22 103:9	robert.carpenter
repeated 49:21	167:12 169:3	167:20	101.22 103.9	60:17
repeats 18:14	171:14 188:16	results 23:23 169:9	107:16 108:3	robust 20:15 76:16
replicate 112:16	189:6,13 190:11	171:23 179:16	117:19 120:13,16	76:19 170:8
190:21	research's 167:12	187:4	120:17 124:4	Rockefeller 138:25
	researcher 188:21	retail 67:21,22	120.17 124.4 129:7,23 130:12	
report 16:1 17:12,16 18:7 23:22 33:10	reservation 7:21	144:12	130:12 134:2	139:2,7 149:8,12 149:22 150:19
37:11 48:20 85:20	Reserve 24:6	retaliation 33:14,20	141:24 142:1,4,10	151:1 152:4,20
86:20 191:12	reserved 94:3	33:25		153:5 154:10,17
	Reserves 174:23	retired 12:10 13:22	142:23,24 143:12	′
reported 47:23,25 48:2	Reserves 174:25 Reservists 195:11	63:10 96:24	143:18 144:19	156:11,14,17,25
REPORTER 202:1	resolution 33:8	195:16	145:2,2,6,23	157:18,22 158:9
	34:13		146:23 147:6,8,16	158:15,18 159:4,9
reporting 89:22		return 149:11 returned 54:7	147:25 148:1,1,2,3	159:13,15 160:22
reports 48:10 85:24 192:25	resolving 94:20 resource 21:25		148:6,13,17 151:3	160:24 161:2,14
	27:15,17 31:12	returning 6:7 7:23 revenue 196:3	151:6,6,7,11,12,24	162:1,12 170:6 178:10 181:12
representative 184:11	57:18 59:25	review 15:6 69:8	152:23 155:22	182:4
	104:11 147:8	83:8 88:24 89:25	156:8 157:3,20	role 118:18 153:6
represented 17:4	104.11 147.8	03.0 00.24 89.23	158:5,16,19,20,23	1016 110.18 133.0
	l	1	l	l

D 110.5
Roll 2:5
rolled 53:12
rolling 162:7
Ron 149:5
room 3:4 10:8,8,11
11:6 18:22 20:24
22:6 99:9 124:18
139:3 168:23
root 46:20
roots 191:25 193:24
194:7
roughly 149:23
150:3 195:10
round 32:22 188:17
rounds 138:5 177:7
route 29:18
row 89:11
rub 199:19
rule 59:1 81:22,23
82:3 83:19 84:4,18
84:19,25 85:1 87:9
93:10 117:6
128:19 129:1
rulemaking 34:7
133:8
rules 82:11,13 83:11
83:14,17 84:16
86:12 87:10,12,13
87:24 90:9,10
93:11,17 102:5,9
102:12 110:14
114:10
ruling 122:6
run 69:2 71:1 95:4
110:3 116:2 147:3
161:20 162:24
175:17
running 69:2 83:24
151:22 161:10
165:5 171:21
runs 68:12 123:6
162:18
S
S 2:1 3:1
SAC 123:6
safe 186:9
sarc 100.)

e 4 100 1
safety 180:1
sake 167:12 178:8 sale 120:15,17
sales 200:25 201:3
sample 177:24
185:20,21
SAP 136:5
Sara 114:14,14
Saul 72:16
save 12:5
saved 138:5
saving 33:9 51:23
savings 51:13 53:24
196:12
saw 81:12 105:19
180:12 181:3
saying 16:12 44:17
48:13 59:19 69:6
80:2 122:21
135:20 158:12
160:19 175:16,18
177:14 180:18
195:20 200:1
says 5:12 20:25
37:15 87:2 90:11
124:16,17 136:15
141:20 166:21
176:13,14 187:14
SBA 2:13 6:3 8:9,23
14:22 16:24 20:10
20:19 21:25 23:15
29:14,23 31:19
34:14 37:14,23
41:22 42:14 43:6 45:24 50:15 58:3
61:13,22 62:10
71:9 73:8,9,12
74:10 76:19 84:18
85:15 86:22,23
87:3 94:2 99:18,19
99:22 103:2,21
104:11 105:13
106:1,24,25 107:1
111:11,16 112:1
113:10 119:25
128:8,13,18,22,23
129:1,13,16,16,18

182:24
- · ·
scoped 180:15
scoping 190:16
SCORE 27:18 71:12
scorecard 52:25
53:6 88:18 89:1
Scott 26:16 72:15
95:10,14,17
102:19 105:3,9
107:12 108:13
125:13 126:8
133:17 135:2
Scott's 136:9
scrape 184:16
screen 118:2 127:8
127:23
screens 135:9
SDVOs 82:19,20
95:6
se 167:20
Seaborn 41:20
seal 69:16
Seals 72:19
Sean 130:1
search 58:2 70:19
seasonality 45:10
seat 99:5
SEC 144:13 150:9
150:10,11,13
sec.gov 150:14
second 5:6 6:18
23:23 29:2 75:15
80:7 102:22 113:1
116:15 145:21
147:2 152:13
156:4 178:22
180:13 194:21
secondary 172:25
secondly 35:7
144:10
seconds 11:10
secretaries 66:19
196:19
secretary 126:25
127:11,19
Section 59:14 87:2
sector 9:3 75:2

[229]
98:21,25 109:3,9 110:13,22 133:21 133:25 134:9,17 134:25,25 167:14 168:25 sectors 25:17 180:23 190:2
securitize 149:20
security 149:18
150:10,13
see 15:4 18:21 21:20 22:22 25:14 27:1 29:4,11 37:8 42:24 44:17,19 45:3,4,6 48:3,4,5 50:18 53:20 59:14 60:24 66:24 69:1,22 71:25 72:20 82:2 88:8 92:9 93:15 101:13 105:4,11 105:24 107:16 119:19 136:1,24 140:2,10 141:7 145:3 157:12 164:2 170:9 172:13,16 173:11 184:2 189:20 191:23,25 195:15 195:19 199:2,20
200:4
seeing 18:23 37:7 43:2,4 44:7,8,13 44:14 48:24 49:3 50:22 53:19 54:5 57:11 107:19 195:22 200:1
seeker 13:17
seekers 13:19
seen 98:10 101:9 106:17 147:7 162:4,5 181:1
segment 107:23
segments 168:25
segregated 98:11
segue 95:9
select 188:15 selection 23:21
501001111 25.21

[230]

1800.0
self 83:3
self-certification
68:25 83:3 87:19
self-employed 180:2
185:10,17 187:6
self-identify 36:11
sell 67:22,22,24
70:18 160:4
selling 160:4
sells 114:2
semper 4:18
Senate 65:8 123:11
123:16 127:12,24
senator 7:13,19,20
send 27:1 33:11 58:4
60:17 61:6,19,24
62:1,5,5,9,13
92:25 93:2 114:9
172:9 179:17
senior 188:20
sense 35:16 90:14
94:15 142:20
sensing 142:1
O
sensitive 67:9 69:9
sent 127:11 179:24
separate 87:24
separating 159:2
September 39:22
serialized 69:16
series 145:8 156:20
serious 97:17
Seriously 198:5
Servants 10:6
serve 14:20 20:1,13
21:14 31:24 33:11
34:19,21 35:8,9,18
42:14 168:24
served 10:9 141:6
serves 175:18
service 4:14 11:12
13:16 21:14,16
26:4 27:5 31:21
68:9 69:14 96:21
111:19 135:23
179:12,14
service-disabled
25:10 37:16 82:24
23.10 37.10 02.2T

90:12 91:20 93:14
96:14 101:11
102:18 105:15
108:7 115:20
118:4,21 119:11
121:17,19 124:21
129:6 130:21 131:6,17 132:8
131.0,17 132.8
services 11:22 19:8
19:16 35:24 42:3
99:1 100:19
113:20,20 115:15
120:24 131:2,18
138:6 141:22 164:7 167:1 196:1
servicing 52:16
174:12
serving 34:22 41:25
56:10 96:20
163:24 164:16
186:7
session 138:1,4
sessions 66:25 67:1 set 37:25 56:8 69:7
76:16 87:24 92:2
130:25 148:16
152:6 155:18
161:20 165:4
187:16,22 188:13
set-aside 81:20
128:10 set-asides 92:14
193:22
sets 84:6 179:1
setting 4:7 103:19
192:12
seven 13:12 161:4
sexy 96:18
shameless 62:25 share 27:25 58:4
126:21 141:15
148:16 152:18
153:3,24 167:22
181:2 184:17
181:2 184:17
181:2 184:17 198:21

shared 148:19 153:1

sharing 9:4
sharks 141:4,9
Sharpe 12:16,16
19:25 24:10 191:9
191:14,17,22
193:6 194:8
sheer 38:10
sheet 145:15
Shelton 162:18
163:5 173:25
174:3,3 177:19
174.3,3 177.19
182:14 188:8
shift 166:6
shining 18:20
ship 27:9
shoot 168:12
shop 57:3 196:2
shopped 169:6
shops 57:9
short 32:9 177:4,6
shorted 24:7
shorter 62:17
shortly 20:16
show 10:10 13:3
46:7 103:23
118:20 120:18
127:7 133:7
135:22 143:14
192:22
showed 158:19
showing 71:20
shows 21:21 27:4,8
107:8 165:21
shrinking 89:17
Shulkin 126:25
Shulkin's 127:9
shut 67:10
shying 148:7
side 5:5 7:19 13:13
19:14 75:2 77:9
102:5 103:25
104:5,8 111:8
140:2 144:11,19
146:5 149:6 161:5
164:20,21 178:1,9
side/demand 103:24

sidebar 123:5
sides 72:25 132:17
2-0-0
146:2 159:1
176:10
sign 99:15
signal 6:18
signed 85:10 111:12
111:15
significant 68:18
77:21
significantly 53:21
silent 124:1,2,2
similar 77:1 106:7
156:20 187:1
195:15
similarly 81:19
simple 34:7 144:20
simplified 94:2
single 141:8 142:2
145:14,15 160:4
single-member
181:14,20
,
single-source 66:21
75:9
sink 194:21
sir 61:1 102:20
138:12 161:14
162:12 163:1
sister 76:10
sisters 5:18
sit 15:21 182:15
194:22
site 69:1
sitting 122:20
situated 41:10 81:19
situation 98:4 108:5
121:3,14 122:16
131:19
situations 192:19
six 31:18 101:24
109:12 131:12
151:7 195:8
Sixty 192:8
•
size 47:23 48:1
83:23 113:7
131:21,24 156:22
158:11
130.11

sizeable 178:25 **sizes** 48:4 **skill** 7:3,5 173:20 skills 161:23 164:25 175:8 slice 178:20 slick 116:24 slide 11:5,8 14:12,24 15:5 16:8 17:6 18:9,23 20:3 24:13 27:15,25 43:21,23 44:1 45:5 50:24 53:18 54:10 55:12 58:14 64:18,21 65:19 66:7 68:20 69:18 70:1 71:3,18 71:19 72:4 73:7 81:21 82:5,15 84:1 84:15,24 85:9,19 86:3,17 87:1 88:1 88:4 95:19 96:12 97:23 100:11 121:13 132:18 133:11 139:19 141:20,24 146:14 158:19 164:2,12 165:18 166:3,18 168:21 169:18 170:18 185:2 186:4 slides 27:1 32:1 81:12 **slight** 45:6 slightly 44:8 52:3 54:1 **small** 1:4 2:16 3:5 3:11,20 6:5 8:11 9:7,9 12:13,14 14:19 15:21 19:7 20:2 25:10,11,13 26:15 27:18 29:17 31:11 32:4,7,10,20 33:13,16,19 34:2,9 35:3,4,8 36:7 37:16,17 38:8,24 39:13 40:4 42:7 82:12,17,23,24,25

83:5,16,20 85:3,17	135:15 141:13
86:4 89:8,10 92:21	168:14
94:3,10,11,17 95:1	solutions 145:25
95:3,13,18 96:14	somebody 31:17
96:15 97:16,20,24	40:3 74:7 94:13
98:2,10,11 99:5,10	121:24 127:9
99:13,17 100:3,25	somebody's 185:25
101:5,7,10 102:17	somewhat 62:18
102:25 103:1,5	son 5:24
107:23 108:7	soon 65:9
112:5 113:7 114:5	SOP 106:25
116:14,19 119:16	sophisticated
122:17 123:24	144:12
125:18 128:10,13	sorry 4:16 13:5
129:12,14 130:20	36:20 66:13 73:21
131:25 132:3,4	78:11 124:9
138:18 153:7	133:15,19 179:4
154:11,18,19,21	sort 39:11 40:13
155:2,21 156:23	139:16 142:1
157:7,14,15	147:14,25 148:20
161:11 175:16	147.14,23 148.20
192:7	154:13 155:10
smaller 46:3 52:7	166:12 177:7,23
54:3 140:12 157:1	181:21,25 184:19
smart 95:4,5 146:20	185:25 190:4
smiling 107:12	sound 90:4 164:25
snapping 162:9	sounds 152:12
social 144:8,8	source 14:21 23:21
146:10	37:6
socialize 193:22	sources 52:12
socially 143:17	145:21 147:12
Society 72:9 97:12	148:12 150:2
soft 64:10 71:19	176:6 188:1
softball 127:20	sourcing 129:7
software 40:18	space 22:2 101:1
117:24	194:17
soil 197:16	span 171:9
sold 7:1	speak 24:24 26:13
sole 173:3 177:11	41:17 80:10 108:3
178:3,11 180:16	125:2 174:1
181:16 183:3	speaker 21:1 35:22
186:14	62:6 95:10
sole-source 108:8	speakers 58:11
solicit 32:25	100:14 119:23
soliciting 35:7	200:24 201:2
solid 31:4 158:22	speaking 63:24
solution 118:23	189:20
20144011 110.20	107.20

special 6:1,5 23:10 151:14 200:7,11
specialist 109:10
specialize 95:23
specific 15:2,3 20:11
35:10 39:12 58:16
83:11 106:24
126:22 139:14
146:13 193:9
specifically 29:5
39:14,18 42:19
60:18 67:1 76:25
77:12 103:7 128:8
151:3,15 157:12
164:7 188:2
200:21
specifics 194:3
spectrum 132:17
speculate 60:10
speech 4:4,7
spend 25:20 32:2
100:1 131:10
133:23 135:12
spending 135:14
179:16 199:2,3
spends 102:8 129:10
spent 102:9 131:10
spirit 10:13
spirits 31:22
_
split 150:4
spoke 74:2,3
spoken 16:9 189:10
197:18
sponsor 71:23
spot 17:7
spots 37:21 38:2
188:17,23
spousal 90:5
spouse 87:6 92:6
spouses 13:15 21:15
27:6 112:23
195:11
spring 21:11
Springfield 197:20
square 117:18
Stacy 195:25
staff 36:14 109:7,14

128:21
staffers 65:7
staffmembers 39:11
stage 11:16
stages 53:9 77:3
stand 73:22 96:2
120:19,20 125:5
125:10 163:9
standard 76:17
83:23 91:18
131:24
standardize 116:3
standards 113:8
131:21
standing 54:9 80:17
standpoint 110:8
120:4 132:1
178:15 196:15
Stanford 152:16
Star 69:12
Stars 115:5
start 6:9 7:17,23
11:4,8 21:4 24:9
30:12 37:23 38:1
57:12,20 65:25
69:13 81:16 82:17
108:24 112:5
119:4,7,7 125:6
137:3 140:14
155:3 157:16
162:9 166:2
168:13 174:10,13
174:14,24 179:2
179:18 186:12,24
187:11
started 13:23,24
30:1 42:6 63:12,16
76:15 83:24
101:22 106:24
115:2,22 128:17
130:8 134:11
149:2 175:13,14
176:23 195:7
196:1,16,25
starting 37:24 52:22
64:8 66:1 74:8
148:24 176:25,25
140.44 1/0.43,43

[231] 178:21 184:7 188:15 195:18 starts 30:10 115:7 124:13 176:19 **startup** 57:11 99:24 120:6 121:11 142:17 startups 171:5 173:6 174:6 state 11:25 13:8 19:8 28:5 40:8 45:23 57:4,6,15,16 58:3 63:19,22 65:21,23,23 66:11 66:17,20,22 71:7 74:16 79:7 112:12 134:16 166:5 176:10 182:6 192:10,11 193:20 state-by-state 182:9 state-funded 11:23 **stated** 182:3 statement 22:21 64:19 133:18 139:18 150:11 189:3,4 191:14 statements 93:1 states 14:1 25:1,5 27:14 28:7 63:7 64:8 66:1,3,18,19 74:22 76:12,22 128:13 statewide 11:22 statistical 105:20 statistically 183:19 **statistics** 31:1 40:7 100:22 104:16 status 45:23 46:6,8 46:14,16 83:2,13 88:17 91:9 statute 24:16 32:14 34:15 35:12 56:8 56:18 59:4,10,11 90:11 200:6 statutorily 50:14 **statutory** 21:12,22 stay 24:18 101:22

188:21	st
stayed 30:3	St
•	st
stays 43:19	Si
steadily 43:1	
steering 170:14	st
188:10,12	st
step 37:22 45:3	۰
67:12 122:22	st
153:2 188:9	st
Stephanie 113:19	St
stepping 161:12,13	st
steps 49:18 190:9	
stick 146:19	st
stock 90:22	
stood 72:2 163:22	
stop 172:4	
store 70:23,23	
stories 16:8 155:6	
195:24 196:8	
Storm 14:9	
story 5:5 195:16	
strategic 26:14	
65:10,11 73:8	
123:6 129:7	
strategies 96:13	st
strategy 97:3 195:25	
stratified 149:16	
Street 140:25 141:2	st
172:22	su
StreetShares 138:25	su
141:13 142:16,25	
144:10 150:3,5,8	
150:16,16 154:6	
154:23 159:17	su
162:21 168:5	
170:7 173:9	
178:11 181:13	su
197:7	
StreetShares'	su
144:20,21	su
strengthened	su
103:23	SU
stressing 46:12	SU
stretch 171:20	
strict 82:14 160:9	su su
strict 82:14 100:9 strictest 90:14	Su
strictly 50:7	

atnings 175.00	i
strings 175:22	
Stroman 13:5,7	S
strong 3:18 42:11	S
73:4 168:18	
strongly 200:9	
structure 54:4 65:20	
150:25 154:2	
structuring 55:19	
stuck 49:20	S
Student 170:7	S
studies 105:8 167:16	В
169:10	
study 5:9,11 29:5	
37:15 102:7 105:9	
148:8 152:16,23	
153:12,14 166:17	
167:10 168:1	
169:5 171:4,7,12	S
171:15,19 172:7	S
172:23 173:1	S
176:13 178:22	S
179:7 180:10,19	S
187:14,24 188:4	Ö
	١,
189:12	S
stuff 73:16 84:16	S
109:17 146:18	S
172:17 174:7	S
stunned 33:22	5
subcontract 84:7	
subcontracting	S
81:17 84:10,12	S
85:20,23,25 86:2	
88:23 89:4,6	
subcontractor 85:3	
85:4 86:7,25 96:9	
132:3	S
- '-	S
subcontractors 84:8	
84:9,20 86:4	
subcontracts 84:11	
subject 37:19 92:5	S
submission 17:24	İ
submit 136:7	İ
subsidiary 63:15	l
subsidized 43:19,20	l
subsidy 43:16,18	İ
49:23 50:8,9,10,12	İ
50:18,19,21 51:3	
50.10,17,21 51.5	

52:4
substantive 201:4
success 16:8 30:14
152:16 165:4
166:1,25 167:6
175:5 180:10
186:25 187:7,20
194:1 195:24
successes 166:15
successful 28:21
63:18 71:16 72:7
72:10 92:13 95:21
113:6,11,12 114:2
114:12 164:21
166:19 169:17
183:12,20 184:5
187:14
sucking 157:10
C
sucks 155:21
suggest 48:8
suggestion 104:10
suggestions 146:15
148:23
summed 164:11
summer 65:25
Summits 25:25
Sunday 5:9
Superstore 67:20
70:14,22
ŕ
supervision 202:6
supplier 64:14
65:14 66:25 67:4
68:11,14,18 69:22
75:19 79:25
supplies 8:1
supply 74:25 75:2
96:3 98:15,16
100:3 103:24
123:9 133:2 134:9
support 6:10 7:1
11:11 26:3 31:23
60:14 69:13 73:12
73:14 76:14 78:15
98:1 141:18 143:7
144:3 165:14
167:9 168:8,10,18
172:1 179:20

```
184:8 187:13
supported 119:2,3
supporters 162:20
supporting 3:13,14
 17:21 64:13,14
 127:13 173:6
supports 100:17
 170:21
supposed 155:8
suppression 60:15
suppressive 59:21
Supreme 98:4
 121:22,23 122:6
sure 5:21 6:15 18:22
 30:25 44:2 55:21
 57:16 61:7 62:14
 63:5 73:20 87:2
 88:19 91:21 95:16
 96:7 98:20,24 99:5
 125:9 147:2
 148:24 157:21
 158:21 174:3
 177:10 181:16
 182:14 183:24
 184:10 188:7
 189:5 193:9
 197:24
surface 19:21
surfacing 33:7
surprise 145:20
survey 15:8 23:23
 28:25 29:3,24 30:2
 30:3 31:2 147:20
 177:23.23 185:19
surveys 15:9 195:14
Survival 181:22
survive 113:22
surviving 87:6 90:5
 92:6
Susman 124:15
sustainable 185:3
 185:14 186:25
 187:1
sustainment 173:7
synergy 9:6
synopsis 172:7
 181:2,3
```

```
[232]
Syracuse 23:8
 165:21 170:5
  190:18
Syracuse's 167:4
system 70:25 103:13
 113:23 118:9,16
 136:12 151:3,20
 155:14
systems 29:23 103:9
 165:13 167:9
  170:21
         \mathbf{T}
T 2:1,1
T-shirt 120:15.17.23
T4 114:25 131:13,16
T4NG 131:5
table 9:18 58:12
 99:6 182:16
 188:11
tables 32:23
TAC 100:17 101:23
 114:25 133:3
tackling 168:23
Tag 6:24,25 18:11
taint 132:11
take 15:22 18:5 20:5
 32:5 33:4 45:3
 47:4 48:11 59:15
```

Γ	2	3	3	1

36:6 104:24	73:3,4 122:13	TFX 162:18 182:14	162:13 166:18	112:14 125:15
150:16 186:18	127:3 152:21	thank 4:1,1 6:12	177:3 181:13	127:5 129:5,5,7,20
talent 23:10 198:14	169:25	9:12,18,22,23	184:10 195:3	131:5,23 133:6,9
talk 5:23 19:2 20:21	tech 170:21 171:10	10:13,15,15,19	things 8:1,6 9:17	134:3 141:25
28:22,23 32:3	174:6,17 175:2	11:12 12:8 20:6,9	15:12 16:11,17	144:5 145:20
39:21 42:16,17	176:3 180:15	31:15 36:1 41:6,14	18:18 19:1,21	147:23 151:7
44:3 51:4 59:11	182:20 183:1,6	41:16 42:13 49:9	38:16 39:6 42:8	152:7 153:8 154:8
67:20 71:8 78:23	184:10	51:5 58:6 61:1	49:20 54:17 59:16	158:4 160:16
86:14 91:4 94:17	tech-enabled 174:21	62:15,20 63:8 64:4	67:8 76:2 78:14	164:10 166:20
94:18 96:25	technical 197:2,3	66:13 74:11 76:22	85:11 86:14,21	173:18 174:19
100:10,24 111:10	technology 141:16	81:10 89:21 90:24	91:1 92:15 93:2,16	176:16 178:6
111:14,20 113:25	142:23 143:15	93:6,7 95:7,15	93:21 98:22 100:9	179:2,20 180:18
124:17 127:4,14	146:9 161:2 165:9	102:14 116:4,18	100:21 105:14	180:23 182:4
138:20 164:3,7	165:12 166:23	130:18 137:14	106:4 110:11	184:22 186:8
168:19 175:21	167:25 176:24	139:2,6,7,9 151:24	115:10 120:2	189:4 190:15
182:16 191:20	Techstar's 175:11	157:9 158:8,9	123:1 127:10	192:17,24 193:6
talked 19:1 28:14	tell 5:5 15:24 28:25	161:14 162:1,12	132:21 135:6,11	194:1,16 200:15
33:16,18 58:15	53:15 57:23 87:12	163:3,15 172:6,17	135:15 136:17	201:1
67:7 68:20,21	103:5 104:22	191:1 198:6,13	151:9,13,16 154:1	thinking 7:9 35:1
70:15 77:13 79:13	122:24 124:10	200:17 201:9	154:4 155:1 156:1	37:4 47:14 134:11
79:14 90:4 126:11	138:17 153:13,20	thanks 6:2 10:19	158:5,7 163:6	168:14
132:20 167:16	156:16 169:24	17:13 18:8 20:7	167:6 175:8,24	third 19:19 33:12
171:16 195:3	178:9,10 187:17	24:10 26:1 28:8	176:4 178:21	38:21 115:15
talking 24:22,23	188:14,23 195:5	31:5,13 41:4 44:25	187:15 194:10	147:5
63:6 66:24 67:2	telling 4:3 6:22 23:2	64:1 80:13 81:2	195:14 198:20	third-party 54:16
74:18 75:5,7 77:3	25:18 36:17 49:16	134:21 137:11	200:22	190:22
92:19,20 103:7	194:8	138:22,23 149:4	think 3:21 6:24,24	thirdly 145:23
106:15 111:5	tells 40:3 168:3	161:8,13 163:4,12	7:4,13,13,17,22	thought 5:10 6:17
135:1 136:5 137:8	ten 32:23 34:15,17	163:14,16 189:17	8:6 9:4 21:17	38:5 39:8 104:25
137:11 163:6,18	35:13 37:4 47:6,7	190:24,24 198:5,8	25:14 30:7 33:23	110:18 124:1
165:19 181:5	79:6 101:3 103:10	198:11,15,18	34:18 37:1 39:8	153:25 161:9
189:7 190:3	tend 74:21 103:25	theme 140:9 143:19	40:1,23,24 44:11	thoughtful 20:1
tank 161:18	tens 70:5,6 162:15	145:1	44:16 45:3 46:11	thoughts 49:12
TAP 29:7 195:20	term 30:18 40:13	themes 139:13	47:1,21 48:5,12	108:13
tapped 5:11	73:6 90:15 156:18	theory 146:3	49:4 52:17 59:6,17	thousand 156:12,13
target 90:1	156:20 162:3	thing 5:20 6:1,25	60:6,22 71:15 73:4	158:11,13 195:10
targets 71:19	187:2,3	14:13 33:15 34:2	74:6 75:14 77:19	198:11
task 11:24 17:2	terms 34:7,20 38:4,8	42:12 48:7 51:1	78:21 79:16 81:15	thousands 70:5,6
153:7 168:5	38:9,10,19 40:2	56:6 75:23 76:23	85:10,16 87:6 88:4	156:9 162:15
173:10 194:23	63:3 91:5 155:9	88:24 91:11 99:21	88:25 89:9,12 90:9	188:13
tax 169:23	185:2 193:17	100:23 105:22	90:9,19,23 91:18	three 8:19 16:20
taxpayer 43:17	terrible 179:19,22	109:8 111:23	92:4 94:6,14,16,19	17:2 22:24 23:5
taxpayers 180:6	territory 28:8	120:25 122:11	94:25 97:7,9,11,12	28:21 34:20 35:2
teach 27:22	terse 117:15	127:21 130:24	97:23 100:2 101:8	35:14 38:14 86:18
team 20:12 29:9	test 154:9 156:2	134:22 138:15,16	101:11 103:2,10	88:8 89:11 143:22
50:9 51:3 61:14	testimony 15:22	143:23 151:2	107:7,13 108:25	145:9 146:12,12
63:5 64:17 72:5	text 36:13 40:9	160:18 161:10	109:11 110:11	149:10,16 168:1
- · · · · · - · ·				-,
		•	•	•

				[231]
168:24 171:8,23	title 13:9	102:13 111:9	166:13	195:17 200:23
174:16 175:5	today 3:10,23 10:20	112:6	trillions 134:3	two-day 30:8 195:9
183:2 190:1 195:6	10:23 15:25 19:2	tracked 40:2	true 148:10 166:25	two-hour 113:21
195:17	19:10 20:14 26:12	tracking 38:1 46:18	truly 48:6	two-person 196:1
three-generation	41:5,17,18 42:4,8	135:14	Trump 6:3 9:8	type 70:19 113:19
31:20	49:21 58:9,11 61:3	tracks 112:4	99:12 122:12	144:1 165:18
three-year 40:14	63:6 64:11 73:1	trade 32:24 97:15	trust 147:21 148:4	173:2 183:22
177:3	81:7 101:24	122:25	175:23 188:20,22	192:19
threw 102:24	108:24 110:21	trades 78:14	trusted 147:24	types 25:15,16 103:4
thrilled 12:24 25:7	136:11 140:17	traditional 145:21	trustworthy 147:4	173:12 175:23
throughput 27:4	163:12,16,20	train 111:7 198:9	try 62:18 93:5 117:3	typewriting 202:6
throw 109:15	164:3,7 190:25	trained 102:4	120:3 152:5	
thrown 162:3	191:2 194:22,23	training 19:3,6	153:10 174:24	U
Thursday 1:12	198:5 199:17	22:11 23:14 24:15	177:22	U.S 1:4 13:10 14:23
112:1 119:24	200:9 201:9	65:25 103:25	trying 8:20 9:24	25:25 31:11 79:3
tidbit 5:25	today's 164:18	104:1,2,2,2 111:13	26:18 29:13 46:17	Uber 142:5,6,9,11
tied 38:14 165:11,11	173:16	113:16 118:25	83:16 92:9 93:17	ultimate 91:15
173:18	Todd 7:13	179:13 196:21	94:9 106:4 107:25	183:19
tier 84:6,8,9	told 28:18 129:25	197:14	110:4 115:8	ultimately 94:22
tight 146:7 150:23	196:13	transcribed 202:9	162:22 168:7	99:16
tilling 197:16	tomorrow 8:19	transcriber 6:17	175:21 177:10	Um-hum 134:6
time 5:11 9:19,25	136:11	transition 6:7 24:7	180:9 181:5	177:19
12:5 18:16 20:22	ton 174:6	25:24 31:23 44:15	184:24 193:19,22	umbrella 13:10 65:2
21:11 22:15 25:20	tons 179:12	51:13 86:15 96:22	195:12 198:23	65:20
29:2,21 31:13 33:9	tool 135:3,20,21,24	122:12 153:18	199:11	unbiased 169:9
36:1 39:5,23 40:25	tools 67:7 107:4,8	192:8	Tuck 67:3	170:19
43:12 45:9 47:17	top 37:4 38:9 39:1	transitioning 13:16	Tuesday 119:6,12	undergird 163:22
53:7 63:2 83:1	79:6	21:15 26:3 179:14	tune 191:24	169:16
85:24 86:19 87:10	topic 18:24	195:10	tuned 24:18 30:13	underserved 48:20
94:25 96:17,25,25	topics 16:22,23,23	translating 65:17	turn 3:24 28:10 31:9	understand 40:15
102:14 104:16	17:3,3,20 18:16	transparency	42:21 118:1 156:3	46:15 47:15 48:12
109:13 118:17	19:17	154:25 182:17	162:24 177:2	59:19 91:17 111:6
121:18,19 126:20	Torch 2:21 163:8	199:6	turned 21:6 32:17	152:18 160:19
138:13 141:4,8	164:8 166:3	trap 155:9	turning 13:5 145:24	164:9,23 165:15
162:2 163:15	180:14,14,17	traunches 149:19	162:16 186:7	166:14 168:10
165:9 169:24	total 43:4,5 53:19	travel 120:10	two 6:4,6 10:3 13:1	170:18,23 171:3,4
176:18 177:5	54:2 186:16	Treasury 60:19	14:10 15:10 31:1	177:9,10,21 178:7
179:15 184:8	touch 169:2 180:9	treatment 151:20	35:15 41:22 52:12	178:15 181:1 192:15 193:19
188:24 196:24	touched 29:16	tremendous 9:1	59:16 69:11 71:19	192.13 193.19
197:4	134:10	57:18	75:3 82:1,4 84:6	understanding
timeline 53:11,11	tough 110:13	tremendously 172:2	98:12 103:4 112:4	40:10 152:25
171:21 190:8	tour 197:25	trend 26:25 43:2	116:13,13,19	154:2 165:2 166:5
timely 33:8	town 96:11 98:12	183:13 184:20	119:7 125:22	understands 177:15
times 15:1,22 22:14	112:10 119:3	trending 30:6	138:15 148:1	understands 177.13 understood 60:20
28:14 57:10 99:2	122:25 124:15 trook 20:15 18 36:8	trends 43:13	149:2 153:8 162:2	170:1
146:6 151:7	track 29:15,18 36:8	tried 99:3 115:7	171:9 175:4 187:4	underwrite 145:14
200:13	36:13 40:7 53:1	trillion 165:25	187:8 189:23,25	didd will its.it
	I	I	I	I

[235]

151:15 157:4	V	73:11 112:11	92:17,18,24 95:18	164:19 165:22
underwriting 151:3	V-to-B 27:4	147:6 194:4	96:14,18,21 97:16	172:21 177:13
151:14,20 158:21		197:19,24	97:17,20,24 98:5,6	180:22 188:14
unemployment	VA 87:3,8,17,18,23 92:22 96:16,22,23	VBOCs 28:8 73:10	98:10 99:5 103:1,6	189:8
180:1 185:16	100:19 101:22	111:16	103:25 105:21	veteran-run 77:15
unfair 32:10	100.19 101.22	vehicle 114:25	106:14,16,22	77:16,18
unfortunately	113:10 114:24	vehicles 197:10	108:7,23 111:11	Veteran-Serving
100:10	115:10 114.24	vendor 115:24,25	111:15,22 114:8	163:18
unhappy 32:16	116:1,1,8,23 117:1	116:12 117:2	120:18 139:10,20	VeteranCrowd
UNIDENTIFIED	117:4,8,15,22	128:18	141:25 142:2,12	170:5
63:23 64:4 116:17	117.4,6,13,22	vendors 136:4	142:14 143:4,25	veterans 2:11 3:5,14
uniform 164:24	121:13,15,17,19	161:20	144:4 145:3 146:6	4:13 6:6,7,16 7:1,2
165:1	121:21 122:3,13	venture 82:11,12	150:6 152:14	7:15 8:10,15,17
union 182:8	123:4,7 124:20,21	83:8,9,12,13,16	153:7 154:7	9:6 10:12,22 11:11
unique 29:14,24	125:4,15,19 127:1	109:10,11 132:5	159:19 160:16	11:19 12:21 13:15
79:16 115:17	127:3,4,11 128:17	142:17 162:19,24	162:14,22,25	13:24,25 14:2 16:6
139:19 151:13	128:20,21 129:15	169:19 174:5	163:24 164:9	18:4 19:7 21:4,9
United 14:1 25:5	129:21 130:24	176:3,3 182:19	165:5 166:1,5,9	22:9 23:8 24:5,19
27:13 63:7 64:8	131:1,4,4,9,16,22	verbal 171:18	167:18 168:8,23	26:8,15 27:3,19
76:12,22 128:13	132:21,23 133:6	verification 196:6	169:20 170:7	36:5,12 37:3,5
University 63:13	133:22 135:3,12	verifications 126:2	171:2 172:3	42:5,12,15,19 45:6
64:6 161:21	135:13,24 136:2	verify 52:17	173:17 174:22	45:7,14,20 46:2
165:21 170:6	192:20 194:15	versus 34:6 44:5	175:17 176:19	48:14,22,23 50:7
unsuccessful 152:6	196:6	74:17 77:21	178:24 179:17,18	50:17 51:19 52:6
update 2:14 81:9,13	VA's 87:7 90:10	166:21 176:12	183:16 184:4	53:2,5 54:3 55:18
128:7	100:17 122:8	183:15 187:7	185:9,23 187:12	56:5,10,14 57:16
updates 2:7 20:22	vacancies 35:10,11	vested 97:6	189:10,16 192:6	58:22 59:9 61:10
updating 40:13 84:3	valuable 185:21	vet 96:14 118:21	192:17 193:18,22	63:7,14,15,17 64:7
upstairs 4:2	value 73:5 74:16	120:21 121:19	194:14 196:19,21	64:7,8 67:10,14,21
usaspending.gov	75:14 79:23 91:10	122:8 130:22	197:8,13 199:15	70:5,6,9,14,18,22
198:24	108:17 129:12	132:8 133:9	199:16 200:2	70:24,25 71:13,14
USDA 197:13	159:10 165:25	138:13 147:2	veteran's 180:9	72:1,11,15,17
use 7:17 13:19 43:18	173:11 174:8	Vet-Force 73:1	veteran-focused	75:18 76:5,24
50:3 70:24 85:5	177:17	veteran 1:7 2:16	162:19	77:22 78:1 79:9
86:5,11 87:5 95:3	value-add 68:19	7:21 11:24 12:2,23	veteran-owned 16:7	80:16 81:4 90:18
118:11,15 129:17	77:21	14:9 15:12 19:9,10	19:6 20:2 24:21	93:14 95:13,20
134:14 137:10	value-adding	24:15 28:1 30:23	25:10 37:16 39:17	96:7,19,25 99:23
148:12 156:2	177:25	31:21 36:8 42:10	40:4 65:18 67:16	105:17,23,24
171:4 175:1 useful 168:2	value-adds 75:22	45:22,23 46:6,8,14	67:24,25 68:1,17	106:9 112:3,5,6,12
uses 85:3	values 156:15	46:15 48:15 54:8	70:20 71:2 77:10 77:11 78:3 82:25	114:8 123:11,17
USO 3:17	variables 107:24	56:21,23 63:11,11 63:19 66:18 67:2,5	91:3,10 93:3 100:3	126:1 127:12,24 138:17 140:3,14
usually 20:19	various 3:8 36:7	67:18,19 68:5,13	104:1 105:16	140:15 141:10
USVCC 69:16	81:24,24	70:11 71:11,11,12	104.1 103.10	143:16 146:2,9,24
usvcc.org 64:9	varying 154:19	73:5,9 74:3 75:11	114:11,22 119:16	147:10 150:21
utilizes 52:25	vast 131:13 172:20	76:20 77:19 78:25	122:17 134:4,12	151:3,4,16,18
utilizing 76:4	177:13	90:12,14 91:8,20	155:7 157:15	156:8 161:17
winzing / O. T	VBOC 26:10,11	70.12,1171.0,20	155.7 157.15	150.0 101.17
	1	1	1	1

111:3 112:5 113:9 113:15 115:11 116:2,3 117:13

164 25 165 12 20
164:25 165:13,20
166:9,10,19,21,23
170:7,24 173:12
173:17 176:16
183:20,21 184:1,1
184:6 187:5 189:7
191:24 192:1,8,14
193:9,13 194:19
195:10,19 200:8
veterans' 72:23
veteransbusiness
27:3
VetFirst 87:15
VetFran 170:5
183:5
vetrepreneurs 141:
145:19,24
vetrepreneurs'
148:17
vets 56:2 101:11
102:18 111:12,23
114:20 115:1,3,6
115:20 117:6
118:4,25 119:11
120:1 121:17
122:14,21 123:3
124:19,21 125:13
127:3 129:6 131:6
131:17 132:22
vetted 161:17
viability 178:13
Vic 101:17
vice 64:12 117:16
Vietnam 18:4
164:16
view 7:15 108:11
Vince 5:7,22
VIP 25:6 196:5
Virginia 112:13
142:18 196:19
197:20,21,21
virtual 70:22,23
virtually 68:4,7
virtually 08.4,7 virtuous 145:11
vis-à-vis 16:6
vision 8:9
visit 5:2,8 22:9 69:1
123200.2,0 22.7 07.1

•
198:8
visits 4:23
visual 199:12
Vivian 74:12 133:20
voice 11:14 33:13
58:12 97:9
void 184:1
volume 38:10 44:8
186:16
voluntary 46:9,16
49:18
volunteers 112:21
VOSB 19:15
VSOs 142:2

 \mathbf{W}

wait 32:6 171:24

waiver 42:20 59:9

waivers 128:15,16

Walgreens 70:16

walk 175:16,17

Walmart 134:4

wannabe 113:11

Walter 192:3 193:3

want 7:3 8:12,13,23

8:25 10:25 20:5

24:21 25:23 26:5

28:12 34:8 42:5,9

49:4 51:1 53:4,14

56:16 66:17 67:15

68:4,8,10,21,24,25

69:1 73:8,11 75:4

75:23 76:23 81:3

89:18 90:16 92:1

99:23 100:10

102:10 106:8

107:14 108:5

54:13 55:18,20

21:1,16 23:20

Wallet 142:11

194:13

128:14,18,23

waiting 153:21

188:18

129:17

129:2

wake 162:10

118:15 124:3,4 126:23 128:23 130:3,10 135:18 138:19 140:19 141:15 142:7 143:2,7,16 144:15 146:8 148:14 150:9 156:25 157:1 159:7 160:4 162:9 164:7 166:18 167:11,13 167:14,20 169:11 169:12 171:8,22 171:23 172:8 173:5,11,17 174:10,12 175:24 176:14,15 178:3 181:7,7,13 182:15 183:5,6,6,10,13,14 184:9 185:9,10,14 185:15,20 186:4 187:13,15 188:8 190:20,21 195:2 197:15 199:15 200:18 wanted 7:23 10:20 11:4,19 12:24 14:13 38:5 58:8 74:1 101:22 107:9 111:10 112:17 127:20 138:7,21 139:11 152:1,16 181:21 wants 74:17 75:24 War 105:23 139:24 173:16 175:13 Washington 6:23 32:25 57:6 97:15 109:6 192:4,12 wasn't 61:5 62:14 115:4 186:9 watch 159:24

20.11.2521
way 20:11 26:24
27:10,14,22 29:15
38:4 40:6 47:14,17
80:3 91:7 94:20
95:4,5 102:16
115:19 118:10
121:16,17 122:4
128:1 132:2,9
135:13 143:17,21
145:2,6 154:18
163:1 173:19
179:10 180:12
182:6,18 183:7,16
185:18,24
ways 153:8 160:12
173:21
WBENC 64:15
65:15,15,16 68:23
72:12 74:6 76:1,4
76:7,10 77:6 78:1
78:6,16
WBENC-certified
76:8
70.0
wo'll 10.0 18.11
we'll 40:9 48:11
93:12 125:11
93:12 125:11 199:9,18
93:12 125:11 199:9,18 we're 11:22,23 14:3
93:12 125:11 199:9,18 we're 11:22,23 14:3 15:24 17:23 19:17
93:12 125:11 199:9,18 we're 11:22,23 14:3 15:24 17:23 19:17 24:22,23 25:6 26:3
93:12 125:11 199:9,18 we're 11:22,23 14:3 15:24 17:23 19:17 24:22,23 25:6 26:3 30:6 34:4 35:18
93:12 125:11 199:9,18 we're 11:22,23 14:3 15:24 17:23 19:17 24:22,23 25:6 26:3 30:6 34:4 35:18 37:13,14 43:2,4,5
93:12 125:11 199:9,18 we're 11:22,23 14:3 15:24 17:23 19:17 24:22,23 25:6 26:3 30:6 34:4 35:18 37:13,14 43:2,4,5 44:7,8,10,13,14,16
93:12 125:11 199:9,18 we're 11:22,23 14:3 15:24 17:23 19:17 24:22,23 25:6 26:3 30:6 34:4 35:18 37:13,14 43:2,4,5 44:7,8,10,13,14,16 46:12,13,17 49:22
93:12 125:11 199:9,18 we're 11:22,23 14:3 15:24 17:23 19:17 24:22,23 25:6 26:3 30:6 34:4 35:18 37:13,14 43:2,4,5 44:7,8,10,13,14,16 46:12,13,17 49:22 54:2,5 56:14,16,17
93:12 125:11 199:9,18 we're 11:22,23 14:3 15:24 17:23 19:17 24:22,23 25:6 26:3 30:6 34:4 35:18 37:13,14 43:2,4,5 44:7,8,10,13,14,16 46:12,13,17 49:22 54:2,5 56:14,16,17 59:25 60:2,3,4
93:12 125:11 199:9,18 we're 11:22,23 14:3 15:24 17:23 19:17 24:22,23 25:6 26:3 30:6 34:4 35:18 37:13,14 43:2,4,5 44:7,8,10,13,14,16 46:12,13,17 49:22 54:2,5 56:14,16,17 59:25 60:2,3,4 63:25 66:24 67:2,3
93:12 125:11 199:9,18 we're 11:22,23 14:3 15:24 17:23 19:17 24:22,23 25:6 26:3 30:6 34:4 35:18 37:13,14 43:2,4,5 44:7,8,10,13,14,16 46:12,13,17 49:22 54:2,5 56:14,16,17 59:25 60:2,3,4 63:25 66:24 67:2,3 67:9,12,18 69:9
93:12 125:11 199:9,18 we're 11:22,23 14:3 15:24 17:23 19:17 24:22,23 25:6 26:3 30:6 34:4 35:18 37:13,14 43:2,4,5 44:7,8,10,13,14,16 46:12,13,17 49:22 54:2,5 56:14,16,17 59:25 60:2,3,4 63:25 66:24 67:2,3 67:9,12,18 69:9 73:7 79:24 80:3
93:12 125:11 199:9,18 we're 11:22,23 14:3 15:24 17:23 19:17 24:22,23 25:6 26:3 30:6 34:4 35:18 37:13,14 43:2,4,5 44:7,8,10,13,14,16 46:12,13,17 49:22 54:2,5 56:14,16,17 59:25 60:2,3,4 63:25 66:24 67:2,3 67:9,12,18 69:9 73:7 79:24 80:3 82:5 84:3 87:3,8
93:12 125:11 199:9,18 we're 11:22,23 14:3 15:24 17:23 19:17 24:22,23 25:6 26:3 30:6 34:4 35:18 37:13,14 43:2,4,5 44:7,8,10,13,14,16 46:12,13,17 49:22 54:2,5 56:14,16,17 59:25 60:2,3,4 63:25 66:24 67:2,3 67:9,12,18 69:9 73:7 79:24 80:3
93:12 125:11 199:9,18 we're 11:22,23 14:3 15:24 17:23 19:17 24:22,23 25:6 26:3 30:6 34:4 35:18 37:13,14 43:2,4,5 44:7,8,10,13,14,16 46:12,13,17 49:22 54:2,5 56:14,16,17 59:25 60:2,3,4 63:25 66:24 67:2,3 67:9,12,18 69:9 73:7 79:24 80:3 82:5 84:3 87:3,8
93:12 125:11 199:9,18 we're 11:22,23 14:3 15:24 17:23 19:17 24:22,23 25:6 26:3 30:6 34:4 35:18 37:13,14 43:2,4,5 44:7,8,10,13,14,16 46:12,13,17 49:22 54:2,5 56:14,16,17 59:25 60:2,3,4 63:25 66:24 67:2,3 67:9,12,18 69:9 73:7 79:24 80:3 82:5 84:3 87:3,8 87:23,23 89:1,16
93:12 125:11 199:9,18 we're 11:22,23 14:3 15:24 17:23 19:17 24:22,23 25:6 26:3 30:6 34:4 35:18 37:13,14 43:2,4,5 44:7,8,10,13,14,16 46:12,13,17 49:22 54:2,5 56:14,16,17 59:25 60:2,3,4 63:25 66:24 67:2,3 67:9,12,18 69:9 73:7 79:24 80:3 82:5 84:3 87:3,8 87:23,23 89:1,16 89:23,23 92:19,20 92:25 93:4,16 97:23 98:11,18
93:12 125:11 199:9,18 we're 11:22,23 14:3 15:24 17:23 19:17 24:22,23 25:6 26:3 30:6 34:4 35:18 37:13,14 43:2,4,5 44:7,8,10,13,14,16 46:12,13,17 49:22 54:2,5 56:14,16,17 59:25 60:2,3,4 63:25 66:24 67:2,3 67:9,12,18 69:9 73:7 79:24 80:3 82:5 84:3 87:3,8 87:23,23 89:1,16 89:23,23 92:19,20 92:25 93:4,16 97:23 98:11,18
93:12 125:11 199:9,18 we're 11:22,23 14:3 15:24 17:23 19:17 24:22,23 25:6 26:3 30:6 34:4 35:18 37:13,14 43:2,4,5 44:7,8,10,13,14,16 46:12,13,17 49:22 54:2,5 56:14,16,17 59:25 60:2,3,4 63:25 66:24 67:2,3 67:9,12,18 69:9 73:7 79:24 80:3 82:5 84:3 87:3,8 87:23,23 89:1,16 89:23,23 92:19,20 92:25 93:4,16
93:12 125:11 199:9,18 we're 11:22,23 14:3 15:24 17:23 19:17 24:22,23 25:6 26:3 30:6 34:4 35:18 37:13,14 43:2,4,5 44:7,8,10,13,14,16 46:12,13,17 49:22 54:2,5 56:14,16,17 59:25 60:2,3,4 63:25 66:24 67:2,3 67:9,12,18 69:9 73:7 79:24 80:3 82:5 84:3 87:3,8 87:23,23 89:1,16 89:23,23 92:19,20 92:25 93:4,16 97:23 98:11,18 99:4,11 100:7,12
93:12 125:11 199:9,18 we're 11:22,23 14:3 15:24 17:23 19:17 24:22,23 25:6 26:3 30:6 34:4 35:18 37:13,14 43:2,4,5 44:7,8,10,13,14,16 46:12,13,17 49:22 54:2,5 56:14,16,17 59:25 60:2,3,4 63:25 66:24 67:2,3 67:9,12,18 69:9 73:7 79:24 80:3 82:5 84:3 87:3,8 87:23,23 89:1,16 89:23,23 92:19,20 92:25 93:4,16 97:23 98:11,18 99:4,11 100:7,12 102:11 106:2,4

[236]
112:23 114:1,16 117:18,22,23,24 118:24 119:5,24 121:8,9,11 122:9,9 122:16 123:20 124:23 125:6,11 126:15 128:3,7 129:14 131:11 132:16 135:15 136:4,22 138:20 141:25 144:5 151:21 154:11 156:8 158:24 160:10 162:16,25 165:18 166:9 169:13,14,15,18 171:11 172:15 174:15 175:5 178:20 179:16 181:7 184:3,15 186:8 188:18,22 189:1,13 190:2,11 190:18 192:20 195:5,22 197:10 we've 58:20 69:10 82:7,8 87:8 112:22 113:13 117:20 119:22 127:25 128:25 131:18 132:2,7,11,12,19 136:17 141:15 142:19 158:16
169:6 170:15 178:2 195:13
197:3
webpage 147:6 website 58:3 64:9
121:7
Wednesday 113:1
119:22 week 60:6 65:25
120:7,8 122:24
168:9
weeks 8:19 64:23 82:2
Weidman 72:18
weigh 50:10

water 8:21 136:11

137:6

3/9/2017

weight 131:15
welcome 35:22
95:14 139:1
welcoming 8:25
went 5:11 11:17
29:16 51:9 64:9
88:6 97:4 114:24
115:1 121:17,22
123:16 124:11,22
127:16 128:24
140:4,14 187:5,8
195:16,19 196:4
weren't 186:10
Wesley 64:13
Wharton 109:24
110:5
whatever's 117:19
whatnot 163:11
whatsoever 59:3
Wheeler 72:8
white 16:4 61:16
99:9,12,13,16
103:20 105:12
123:3 126:18
whittled 107:5
wide 34:14
widget 160:5
O
widgets 160:4
Williams 72:19
willing 35:17 93:13
93:21 111:7
152:18 153:24
170:9
win 11:21 83:1,12
winds 91:12
Wisconsin 72:17
witness 176:5
wives 5:18
women 3:18 4:25
5:2,16 8:17 12:2,2
19:7 24:15 74:5
176:2,2,2,2 192:13
women's 3:18 8:15
27:17 29:17 76:17
108:22 196:17
women-owned
25:13,13 65:17

76:8 82:24 83:5
91:19,25 106:18
125:24 126:5
women-run 74:3
wondered 62:8
88:16 94:1
wonderful 194:25
wondering 90:3
172:23
word 56:4 112:4
125:1 175:1,2
words 40:15 44:20
47:1 67:25
work 3:17 8:17 9:5
12:12,15 13:9,17
23:15,16 26:3
32:18 49:5 69:12
69:19 73:9,13,14
74:9,22 76:13 77:2
79:6,17 96:9
112:19 115:1
120:3 124:21
126:1 131:15
132:4 139:22
143:22 146:21
149:21 150:24
152:7 157:24
164:4,5,6 165:7
169:6 170:4 179:3
189:14 190:20,21
190:23 194:2
195:1 196:6,16
198:8
worked 10:6 70:17
78:13 79:5,5
152:15 197:7
workforce 69:15
164:18
working 6:13,14
14:3 24:17 29:4,9
29:9,22 33:7 55:6
63:11,25 65:4,6
67:3,18,23 71:22
77:7 78:7,8,12
87:23 89:23 92:25
100:18 101:1
109:13 112:11

yeah 5:21 47:24
48:19 51:7 60:16
75:7 79:13 80:6
90:8 91:17 93:8
94:6 106:11 107:7
125:17 129:16,25
130:7 132:18
135:24 136:8
149:22 151:1,1
152:20,20 154:10
156:14,14,17,17
156:23,25,25
157:8,18,22
158:18 159:4
161:6 184:15
186:2,18 190:16
year 4:24 13:4 15:10
16:1 17:10,18
18:14,15 19:1,18
19:19,21 20:9 21:6
28:3 30:4 33:11
36:18 43:4,8 45:7
45:9,13,15,16,17
45:21 49:2 51:12
51:14,23 52:4 54:6
58:21 61:12 71:1
72:3 78:1 82:6,18
88:20 89:1,9,9,18
89:20 102:7
107:13 111:13
117:16 119:18
120:2,12 122:20
129:10 131:10,12
133:24 134:5
156:8 168:3
171:15,22 176:22
190:13 195:9
198:11 200:20
year-end 72:1
years 11:18,21 13:1
13:12,13 14:10
15:10,17 16:24
21:12 22:24 23:5
28:21 31:18,21
34:20 38:11 39:4
10 05 10 0 15 10

42:25 43:2 45:13

Y

53:20 55:1 63:12 63:16,16 69:11 82:8 86:18 89:11 96:6,16,24 97:21 99:3 101:3,25 103:10 104:15 107:5 109:20 110:17 121:15,16 149:3 151:7 162:5 168:1 171:23 174:16,17 183:2,2 192:16 195:17 197:1 200:23 **years'** 171:9 **yep** 44:24,24 150:19 **yesterday** 17:2,25 34:1 42:23 49:11 49:20 74:2 81:11 81:12 92:16 113:18 138:15 170:25 194:22 196:4 yield 144:5,6 185:22 **yielding** 179:15 York 66:4 72:14 73:24 194:1 **young** 5:16 7:13 114:13,15 124:10 148:6 161:19 162:7 177:9 younger 177:7 **Yukon** 13:23

 \mathbf{Z}

Zacchea 13:21.21 19:10 58:13 59:19 60:9,12,20 61:1 63:8,9 64:2,5,5 66:13 73:20,25 75:3,7 76:23 77:12 77:16,25 78:19 79:13,20 80:7,21 80:24 90:25 91:15 93:6 158:10,17 159:2,5,10,14 160:18,23 161:1 zero 43:19,19 49:22

X 168:5 173:10

x-ray 123:2

[238]

50:10	114:3	88:25	40,000-plus 143:5,9	189:10
zip 47:12,14 57:7	150,000 51:16	2016 17:16 37:11	400 143:10 159:3	6000 116:9
zones 5:1	171:14	84:18 88:25	400,000 116:1,8	63 25:12
	159 2:19	126:15 191:13	4000 57:2	65,000 56:13,20
	15th 112:1 191:6	2017 1:12 18:9,24	41 31:21	
0.17 144:5	16 44:5 53:22	26:18 85:10 90:5	424,000 186:19	7
0.473 52:3	111:12 127:19	126:16 176:17	44 25:10 195:9	7 54:2 176:21
0.546 52:3	17 44:5 53:23	2022 88:3	46 2:9	7(a) 41:14 42:16,18
	111:23 118:25	214 199:24	47.3 51:24	42:24 43:6 44:4
1	120:1	218 2:23	49 140:3	50:23 51:16,18
1 50:3 51:12 138:13	17's 54:6	22 2:7 158:16		54:19 55:10,11,20
144:2 164:15	18,000 121:1	22,000 156:22	5	55:24,24,25 56:7
165:25 175:18	1832 87:2 90:5	158:11	5 45:21,25 50:2	57:2,15
180:14 186:6	187 2:21	220 158:13	55:11,15 143:25	7.6 48:23
1.1 165:25 166:12	1945 140:3	23 25:12 197:1	144:6 145:4	700,000 51:14
1/2 13:13 21:12	1996 32:8	23rd 26:11	149:11 150:6	71 148:8 162:5
51:12 54:2 164:17	1999 14:20	24 43:6,10	157:4	72 2:11
1:15 137:14	1st 64:10	25 102:8 140:18	5.5 54:20 55:8,16	75 109:15
10 88:22,23 89:19		144:24 179:18	165:23	750 131:12
145:4	2	250 131:11	50 28:6 51:13 55:23	
10,000 120:20	2 50:3 53:24 151:23	250,000 197:9	89:3	8
147:22 160:15	180:17 183:8	260 57:3	500 74:19 78:20	8 119:15
100 28:4 71:24	186:4 187:9	27 43:8,10 131:24	133:24	8(a) 82:21 83:4,7,8,9
109:15	2.4 186:18		500,000 46:1 49:22	91:19,24 106:8,20
100,000 157:5	2.5 165:21	3	50:23 51:15,18	107:1,16,18 108:9
100K 145:5	2:38 201:11	3 13:13 21:12 51:10	54:22 183:9	108:17,18,20
101 112:9	20 21:10,23 55:1	119:15 164:17	5000 72:2	109:5,24 116:20
102 192:16	82:8 89:4 96:16	30 33:17 36:15	500K 156:22	196:3
104 82:18 83:25	187:8,9 196:3	101:25 142:19,21	500s 74:25	80 88:21
109 2:17	20,000 157:2	145:18 156:9	501 (C)(3) 64:25	82nd 41:25
11 2:5 11:21	200 6:22 15:18	30,000 142:24	501 (C)(4) 65:1	87 25:9
12 18:13 43:3	165:23	300 134:4	501 (C)(6) 64:24	9
111:24 119:1	2001 175:14 176:19	300K 145:5	504 41:12 42:17,19	9 1:12 164:18 186:7
176:5 178:25	2004 70:3	31st 45:8	43:1 54:12,14,18	186:13 190:6
120 59:14	2005 176:20	3200 156:8	54:22 55:13,14,20	9/11 148:2
120K 156:19	2005-'6 176:18	33 54:6	55:24,25 56:12	9:00 1:13
13 11:18 25:1,11	2007 103:6 176:13	33,000 142:24	57:6	90 11:10 30:2 31:3
59:13 60:18,21	200K 145:5	35 29:25 31:3 54:5,7	508 72:1	9000 126:3
13-360 114:21	2010 84:17 85:1	350 51:17	51 90:11,13,21 91:2	92 2:14
13,000 140:11	175:15	350,000 48:21 50:16	91:11	72 2.14
131 196:2	2012 195:7	390,000 117:7,25	52 25:3 90:13	
14 66:1 76:6 131:5 131:14	2013 27:7 81:18	4	55 143:1	
	163:22		56,000 27:5	
14th 191:8	2014 22:14 30:5	42:3	6	
15 44:5,9 53:22	53:21 84:5 143:2	4.9 151:23 40 54:15 82:19	6 176:20	
111:24 119:1	195:21	150:3		
150 52:8,8 71:25	2015 58:23 83:6	130.3	60 68:15 149:23	
	1	1		